

rooms are unlocked but are controlled by on-site personnel.

### 3. *Procedural and Technical*

**Safeguards:** A password is required to access the terminal, and a data set name controls the release of data to only authorized users. All users of personal information in connection with the performance of their jobs (see Authorized Users above) protect information from public view and from unauthorized personnel entering an unsupervised office.

4. **Contractor Guidelines.** A contractor who is given records under routine use 4 must maintain the records in a secured area, allow only those individuals immediately involved in the processing of the records to have access to them, prevent unauthorized persons from gaining access to the records, and return the records to the System Manager immediately upon completion of the work specified in the contract. Contractor compliance is assured through inclusion of Privacy Act requirements in contract clauses, and through monitoring by contract and project officers. Contractors who maintain records are instructed to make no disclosure of the records except as authorized by the System Manager and as stated in the contract.

### RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

Parking records are maintained for varying periods of time, in accordance with NARA General Records Schedule 11 (parking permits). Disposal of manual records is by shredding; electronic data is erased.

PSC Transhare records are retained for a maximum of two years following the last month of an employee's participation in the PSC Transhare Program. Paper copies are destroyed by shredding. Computer files are destroyed by deleting the record from the file.

### SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

Office Manager, Parking and Information Office, Building Management Branch, Division of Property Management, Administrative Operations Service, PSC, Room 5B-07, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857.

### NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES:

Same as Access Procedures. The requester is required to specify reasonably the contents of the records being sought.

### RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

To determine whether information about themselves is contained in this system, the subject individual should contact the System Manager at the above

address. The requester must also verify his or her identity by providing either a notarization of the request or a written certification that the requester is who he or she claims to be. Individuals must provide the following information for their records to be located and identified: (a) Full name, (b) parking space number (if appropriate); (c) vehicle license number (if appropriate) and (d) for the PSC Transhare Program, the requester must provide the commuter card number and the dates of participation in the Program. The requester must also understand that the knowing and willful request for acquisition of a record pertaining to an individual under false pretenses is a criminal offense subject to a fine. An individual who is the subject of records maintained in this records system may also request an accounting of disclosures that have been made of his or her records.

Requests by telephone: Since positive identification of the caller cannot be established, telephone requests are not honored.

### CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

Contact the System Manager specified above and reasonably identify the record, specify the information to be contested, the corrective action sought, and your reasons for requesting the correction, along with supporting information to show how the record is inaccurate, incomplete, untimely or irrelevant.

### RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Records are developed from information supplied by applicants and, for handicapped parking assignments, by physicians and supervisors.

### SYSTEM(S) EXEMPTED FROM CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE ACT:

None.

[FR Doc. 99-17762 Filed 7-13-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4168-17-M

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Public Health Service

#### Nation Toxicology Program; Meeting

National Toxicology Program Public Meeting to receive comment on the review procedures and listing criteria used in the preparation of the DHHS Report on Carcinogens (RoC); September 15, 1999, Ronald Reagan Building, The International Trade Center, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Horizon Room, Washington, DC, beginning at 9 a.m.

The National Toxicology Program announces a public meeting for the purpose of reviewing nominations for listing in or delisting from the RoC and the current listing criteria used for evaluation of the nominations to the RoC. The purpose of this public meeting is to obtain input and to provide all interested parties an opportunity to express their views about the review process and/or the evaluation criteria and to comment on the views expressed by others.

The meeting will begin at 9 a.m. and will conclude at 5 p.m. or at the conclusion of the public comment and discussion, if sooner. On-site registration will begin at 8:30 a.m. Details regarding registration follow. Attendance at the meeting is limited only by the space available.

### Background

The DHHS Report on Carcinogens (RoC) is a public information document prepared for the U.S. Congress by the National Toxicology Program in response to Section 301(b)(4) of the Public Health Service Act, as amended. The intent of the document is to provide a listing of those agents, substances or exposure circumstances which are either "known" or "reasonably anticipated" to cause cancer in humans, and to which a significant number of people in the United States are exposed. The first edition of the report (then known as the Annual Report on Carcinogens) was published in 1980, and similar criteria and review processes were used to consider nominated substances for listing through preparation of the 7th edition published in 1994. In 1994 Dr. Ken Olden, Director of NTP and NIEHS established an ad hoc working group of the NTP Board of Scientific Counselors and charged them to review and make recommendations on two issues: the adequacy of the existing criteria and the incorporation of mechanistic data as part of the criteria for listing substances in future Reports. In addition Dr. Olden directed that the process used to review nominations for listing in or delisting from the Report be revised to allow more public input throughout the process and to add external review to broaden the scope of scientific review. As a consequence, in 1994 and 1995 the criteria were examined by a panel whose membership included academia, industry, labor, public/environmental organizations, state and local health departments and government who met in public session in public meetings. Recommendations were made for revising the listing criteria and the nomination review process which were

approved by the Secretary, HHS on September 13, 1996 [**Federal Register**: September 26, 1996, Volume 61, Number 188, Page 50499–50500]. The substances newly included in the 8th edition of the Report on Carcinogens (1998) and the nominations for listing in or delisting from the 9th edition were evaluated using these revised review process and criteria.

#### *Public Review and Comment Encouraged*

A panel to include NTP staff and representatives of the NTP Board of Scientific Counselors and the NTP Executive Committee will receive comments and participate in the discussion. NTP staff will summarize the process and the listing criteria. Presentations from the panel will be tentatively from 9–10 a.m. The remainder of the time will be devoted to public comment and discussion.

The NTP welcomes the continued and meaningful input from all stakeholders in reviewing the RoC process and the listing criteria as we move forward to the 10th edition of the RoC. The experience and perspective of all stakeholders are critical to ongoing evaluations of nominations to the RoC.

Written comments are welcome and can be sent to the address given below. Written comments must include name, affiliation, mailing address, phone, fax, e-mail, and sponsoring organization (if any). Comments received by September 15 will be considered for their implications with respect to the reviews of nominations for the 10th edition of the RoC and the December 16–17, 1999, public review.

Oral comments may be presented to the panel described above. Each speaker will be asked to identify their supporting organization (if any). The time allotted for each presentation will be largely dependent upon the number of individuals who register to speak at this one day meeting. Speakers will be registered and assigned time on a first-come, first-served basis. Registration to speak at this meeting will be accepted until the 9 a.m. start of the meeting. It is anticipated that at least 10 minutes will be available for each presenter to address the panel. When oral comments are read from printed copy, it is requested that 10 copies of the text be provided when registering at the meeting to be distributed to the panel members and to supplement the record of the meeting.

#### **RoC Listing/Delisting Procedures and Listing Criteria**

The current procedures and listing criteria follow this announcement.

Revised criteria were announced first in the **Federal Register** and other publications in 1996 [**Federal Register**: September 26, 1996, Volume 61, Number 188, Page 50499–50500] and clarified in the FR and other publications in April 1999 (FR Vol. 64, No. 63, pp 15983–15984 and Vol. 64, No. 74; pp 19188–19189). The procedures and criteria can also be found on the NTP website located at [www.ntp-server.niehs.nih.gov](http://www.ntp-server.niehs.nih.gov)

#### **Registration for Meeting**

Pre-registration to attend this meeting can be made by notifying Ms. Angie Wilson by mail at NIEHS, Building 101, Room A328, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park NC 27709, by phone at (919) 541–3971, by FAX at (919) 541–0295, or by e-mail at [wilson9@niehs.nih.gov](mailto:wilson9@niehs.nih.gov). Please indicate if you wish to make an oral presentation. On site registration will be available the morning of September 15, 1999 from 8:30 am to 9:00 am. If possible, those wishing to speak should provide a written copy of their statement before the September 15th meeting, to speak should provide a written copy of their statement before the September 15th meeting, to permit copying for the panel members. Those registering on site are requested to provide 10 copies of their statement for distribution to the panel and to supplement the record of the meeting. Written statements should supplement and may expand on the oral presentation, or may be submitted in lieu of an oral presentation. When registering to comment, please provide your name, affiliation, mailing address, phone, fax, e-mail, and sponsoring organization (if any).

Dated: July 1, 1999.

**Kenneth Olden,**

*Director, NTP and NIEHS.*

#### **Report on Carcinogens Listing/Delisting Procedures**

Petitions for listing or delisting an agent, substance, mixture, or exposure circumstance in the Report on Carcinogens (RoC) should be submitted to the National Toxicology Program (NTP)<sup>1</sup>. Petitions must contain a rationale for listing or delisting as either a “known human carcinogen” or a “reasonably anticipated human carcinogen.” Appropriate background information and relevant data (e.g. journal articles, NTP Technical Reports, IARC listings, exposure surveys, release inventories, etc.) which support a petition should be provided or referenced.

An agent, substance, mixture, or exposure circumstance petitioned for listing or delisting will be announced in the **Federal Register**, trade journals, and NTP publications to solicit public comment. The original petition and all comments received will be evaluated by a National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS/NTP) Report on Carcinogens Review Committee (RG1), composed of scientists from the NIEHS/NTP, to determine if the information provided is sufficient to merit further consideration. If it is determined the petition warrants formal consideration, the NTP may initiate an independent search of the literature and prepare a draft review document for the substance under consideration. Draft documents will be prepared according to the following general format:

- 1.0 Introduction
  - 1.1 Chemical Information  
Synonyms, trade names, CAS #'s, molecular formula, molecular structure, etc.
  - 1.2 Physical-Chemical Properties
  - 1.3 Identification of Structural Analogs
- 2.0 Exposure Assessment
  - 2.1 Production
  - 2.2 Use
  - 2.3 Environmental Exposure  
Environmental occurrence, environmental release, drinking water and food content, consumer products, occupational exposures, biomarkers of exposure
  - 2.4 Regulations  
Occupational Exposure Limits (standards and criteria), “other” standards and criteria
- 3.0 Human Studies
  - 3.1 Epidemiology Studies  
Occupational studies, clinical trials, consumer exposure, other “non-occupational” exposures
  - 3.2 Laboratory Studies  
Controlled exposures
  - 3.3 Poisonings  
Case reports, accidents, symptoms and clinical signs
- 4.0 Animal Carcinogenicity Studies  
Subdivided by species
- 5.0 Genotoxicity
- 6.0 Mechanistic and Other Relevant Studies

Data used in the preparation of Sections 3 through 6 of the draft document must come from publicly available, peer reviewed sources.

If it is determined that the petition contains insufficient information to warrant consideration by the NTP, it will be returned to the original petitioner who will be invited to resubmit the petition with additional justification, which may include new data, exposure information, etc. A notice, stating the action taken for a petitioned substance found to contain insufficient justification for consideration, will be published in the

**Federal Register**, trade journals, and NTP publications, and included in subsequent editions of the RoC with the reason(s) why it was not considered further. This decision will also be forwarded to the NTP Executive Committee and Board of Scientific Counselors.

#### Formal Review Steps

The following describes the review process for petitions that are considered by the NTP for listing in or delisting from the Report on Carcinogens.

#### *NIEHS/NTP Review Committee for the Report on Carcinogens (RG1)*

The original petition and all public comments received in response to a petition will be reviewed by RG1. Assignment of a primary and secondary reviewer will be made upon receipt of a petition. Reviewers will lead discussions concerning the adequacy of the petition. If the petition warrants formal consideration, a search of pertinent databases will be performed and available citations will be reviewed by the primary reviewer. The primary reviewer will identify the relevant articles. After consultation with the secondary reviewer, the identified literature will be obtained and a draft summary of all available information from the original petition and the literature search will be prepared. The primary and secondary reviewers will examine the petition, the literature citations, and the draft document for completeness and adequacy. The draft document will be revised if necessary and presented by the primary reviewer to the RG1. Public comments received in response to announcements of petitions will also be considered. The RG1 will make a formal recommendation for those petitions determined to contain sufficient information for listing or delisting in the RoC. The petition then continues through the review process.

Petitions reviewed by RG1 for which sufficient information could *not* be obtained will not proceed further. The other RoC review groups, as well as the NTP Executive Committee, will be informed of this action. The original petitioner will be notified of the RG1 action and invited to resubmit the petition with additional justification. All petitioned agents, substances, or mixtures reviewed by RG1 but not selected for listing or delisting will be included in the subsequent edition of the RoC with the reason(s) why they were not considered further.

#### *NTP Executive Committee's Interagency Working Group for the Report on Carcinogens (RG2)*

The second review phase of petitions will be done by the NTP Executive Committee's Interagency Working Group for the Report on Carcinogens (RG2). RG2 is a Governmental interagency group that assesses whether relevant information on the petitioned agent, substance, or mixture is available and sufficient for listing in or delisting from the RoC. A reviewer for each petition will be assigned from the RG2 who will be responsible for reviewing the draft document and for leading the Working Group's discussion of the petition. Public comments received in response to announcements of petitions will also be considered by RG2 during the review. Upon completion of its review, RG2 will provide comments and recommendations for any changes and/or additions to the draft document and also make its recommendation for listing or delisting. The petition then continues through the review process.

#### *Board of Scientific Counselors RoC Subcommittee (External Peer Review)*

The third review phase for petitions will be performed by a subcommittee of the NTP Board of Scientific Counselors. This subcommittee serves as another independent peer review group that assesses whether the relevant information available is sufficient for listing in or delisting. The NTP Board RoC Subcommittee will review petitions in a public meeting. Prior to public review, a notice will be published in the **Federal Register**, trade journals, and NTP publications, soliciting public comment. The notice will also invite interested groups or individuals to submit written comments and/or to address the NTP Board RoC Subcommittee during the review meeting. Reviewers for each petition will be assigned from the NTP Board RoC Subcommittee who will be responsible for reviewing the draft document and leading the subcommittee's discussion of the petition. Upon completion of its review, NTP Board RoC Subcommittee will provide comments and recommendations for any changes and/or additions to the draft document and also make its formal recommendation for listing or delisting the petitioned agent, substance, or mixture.

Upon completion of the reviews by RG1, RG2, and NTP Board RoC Subcommittee, those petitioned agents, substances, mixtures, or exposure circumstance which are recommended for listing in or delisting from the RoC,

will be published in the **Federal Register**, trade journals, and NTP publications, and public comment and input on the recommendations will be solicited.

#### *NTP Executive Committee*

The independent recommendations of RG1, RG2, and NTP Board RoC Subcommittee and all public comment will be presented to the NTP Executive Committee<sup>2</sup> for review and comment.

#### *NTP Director*

The Director, NTP receives the four independent recommendations from RG1, RG2, NTP Board RoC Subcommittee, and the NTP Executive Committee and makes the final decision regarding the proposed listing and/or delisting and submits the RoC to the Office of the Secretary, DHHS. Upon review and approval by the Secretary, DHHS and submission to Congress, a notice of the RoC publication, indicating all newly listed or delisted agents, substances, mixtures, or exposure circumstance will be published in the **Federal Register**, trade journals, and NTP publications.

National Toxicology Program, Report on Carcinogens, P.O. Box 12233, 79 Alexander Drive, Bldg. 4401, Room 3127, MD-EC-14, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

For information contact: Dr. C.W. Jameson, phone: (919) 541-4096, fax: (919) 541-2242, email: jameson@niehs.nih.gov

Agencies represented on the NTP Executive Committee include: Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Food and Drug Administration (FDA), National Center for Toxicological Research (NCTR), National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), National Institutes of Health (NIH), National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Library of Medicine (NLM), and National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences/NTP (NIEHS/NTP).

#### Report on Carcinogens

##### *Criteria for Listing Agents, Substances or Mixtures*

##### 1. Known to be Human Carcinogens

There is sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity from studies in humans which indicates a causal relationship between exposure to the agent, substance or mixture and human cancer.

## 2. Reasonably Anticipated To Be Human Carcinogens

There is limited evidence of carcinogenicity from studies in humans which indicates that causal interpretation is credible but that alternative explanations such as chance, bias or confounding factors could not adequately be excluded; or

There is sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity from studies in experimental animals which indicates there is an increased incidence of malignant and/or a combination of malignant and benign tumors: (1) In multiple species, or at multiple tissue sites, or (2) by multiple routes of exposure, or (3) to an unusual degree with regard to incidence, site or type of tumor or age at onset; or

There is less than sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in humans or laboratory animals, however; the agent, substance or mixture belongs to a well defined, structurally-related class of substances whose members are listed in a previous Report on Carcinogens as either a known to be human carcinogen, or reasonably anticipated to be human carcinogen or there is convincing relevant information that the agent acts through mechanisms indicating it would likely cause cancer in humans.

Conclusions regarding carcinogenicity in humans or experimental animals are based on scientific judgment, with consideration given to all relevant information. Relevant information includes, but is not limited to dose response, route of exposure, chemical structure, metabolism, pharmacokinetics, sensitive sub

populations, genetic effects, or other data relating to mechanism of action or factors that may be unique to a given substance. For example, there may be substances for which there is evidence of carcinogenicity in laboratory animals but there are compelling data indicating that the agent acts through mechanisms which do not operate in humans and would therefore not reasonably be anticipated to cause cancer in humans.

[FR Doc. 99-17930 Filed 7-13-99; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4140-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Periodically, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) will publish a list of information collection requests under OMB review, in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these documents, call the SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer on (301) 443-7978.

#### Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) Annual Report—New

The Center for Mental Health Services awards grants each fiscal year to each of the States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the

Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands from allotments authorized under the PATH program established by Public Law 101-645, 42 U.S.C. 290cc-21 *et seq.*, the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Amendments Act of 1990 (section 521 *et seq.* of the Public Health Service (PHS) Act). Section 522 of the PHS Act requires that the grantee States and Territories must expend their payments under the Act solely for making grants to political subdivisions of the State, and to non-profit private entities (including community-based veterans organizations and other community organizations) for the purpose of providing services specified in the Act. Available funding is allotted in accordance with the formula provision of section 524 of the PHS Act.

This submission is for approval of the annual grantee reporting requirements. Section 528 of the PHS Act specifies that not later than January 31 of each fiscal year, a funded entity will prepare and submit a report in such form and containing such information as is determined necessary for securing a record and description of the purposes for which amounts received under section 521 were expended during the preceding fiscal year and of the recipients of such amounts and determining whether such amounts were expended in accordance with statutory provisions.

The estimated annual burden for these reporting requirements is summarized below.

Respondent	Number of respondents	Number of responses/respondent	Average burden/response	Total burden hours
States—automated .....	34	1	26	884
States—hard copy .....	22	2	28	616
Local provider agencies—automated .....	213	1	31	6,603
Local provider agencies—hard copy .....	142	1	24	3,408
Total .....	411	.....	.....	11,511

Written comments and recommendations concerning the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of this notice to: Daniel Chenok, Human Resources and Housing Branch, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: July 7, 1999.  
**Barbara M. Prince,**  
*Acting Executive Officer, SAMHSA.*  
[FR Doc. 99-17900 Filed 7-13-99; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4162-20-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4443-N-07]

### Notice of Proposed Information Collection for Public Comments, for Family Self-Sufficiency Program

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.