

\$5,000,000, and small agricultural producers are defined as those having annual receipts of less than \$500,000. The majority of Colorado Area III potato handlers and producers may be classified as small entities.

This rule would increase the assessment rate established for the Committee and collected from handlers for the 1999–2000 and subsequent fiscal periods from \$0.01 per hundredweight to \$0.02 per hundredweight of potatoes handled. The \$0.02 assessment rate was approved by all seven of the Committee members who cast votes during a fax vote held between May 14 and May 19, 1999. The proposed assessment rate is \$0.01 greater than the rate currently in effect. The Committee recommended the increased assessment rate because the current rate would not generate enough income to adequately administer the program. The anticipated fresh potato crop of 792,000 hundredweight is approximately 380,000 hundredweight less than the 1998–99 crop. The \$0.02 rate should provide \$15,840 in assessment income, which, when combined with interest income of \$3,000, rental income of \$1,500 from the sublease of office space to the State Inspection Service, and \$4,110 from the operating reserve, would be adequate to meet the 1999–2000 fiscal period's budgeted expenses.

In a fax vote of six in favor and one opposed, the Committee recommended 1999–2000 expenditures of \$24,450, which is \$1,603 less than last year's budgeted expenses. Prior to recommending this budget, the Committee considered historical income and expenses, current income and expense levels, the 1999–2000 estimated crop production, current and projected operating reserve levels, and input from the Committee officers. The major expenditures recommended by the Committee for the 1999–2000 fiscal period include \$10,500 for the manager's salary, \$3,000 for rent, and \$2,000 for office supplies. Budgeted expenses for these items in the 1998–99 fiscal period were \$11,500, \$3,000, and \$2,000, respectively.

A review of historical data and preliminary information pertaining to the upcoming season indicates that the price to producers for the 1999–2000 Colorado Area III potato season could average \$5.30 per hundredweight of potatoes. Therefore, the estimated assessment revenue for the 1999–2000 fiscal period ( $\$0.02 \times 792,000 \text{ cwt} = \$15,840$ ) as a percentage of the projected total revenue at the farm gate ( $\$5.30 \times 792,000 \text{ cwt} = \$4,197,600$ ) would be 0.37 percent. This figure indicates that the \$0.02 assessment rate recommended

by the Committee would have an insignificant impact on the Colorado potato industry.

This action would increase the assessment obligation imposed on handlers. While assessments impose some additional costs on handlers, the costs are minimal and uniform on all handlers. Some of the additional costs may be passed on to producers. However, these costs would be offset by the benefits derived by the operation of the order. In addition, the Committee's meeting was widely publicized throughout the Colorado potato industry and all interested persons were invited to attend the meeting and participate in Committee deliberations on all issues. Like all Committee meetings, the May 13, 1999, meeting was a public meeting and all entities, both large and small, were able to express views on this issue. Finally, interested persons are invited to submit information on the regulatory and informational impacts of this action on small businesses.

This proposed rule would impose no additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either small or large potato handlers. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies.

The Department has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

A 30-day comment period is provided to allow interested persons the opportunity to respond to this request for information and comments. Thirty days is deemed appropriate because: (1) The Committee needs to have sufficient funds to pay its expenses which are incurred on a continuous basis; (2) the 1999–2000 fiscal period began on July 1, 1999, and the order requires that the rate of assessment for each fiscal period apply to all assessable potatoes handled during such fiscal period; and (3) handlers are aware of this action which is similar to other assessment rate actions issued in past years.

#### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 948

Potatoes, Marketing agreements, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 948 is proposed to be amended as follows:

#### PART 948—IRISH POTATOES GROWN IN COLORADO

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 948 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

2. Section 948.215 is revised to read as follows:

#### § 948.215 Assessment rate.

On and after July 1, 1999, an assessment rate of \$0.02 per hundredweight is established for Colorado Area III potatoes.

Dated: July 8, 1999.

**Robert C. Keeney,**

*Deputy Administrator, Fruit and Vegetable Programs.*

[FR Doc. 99–17892 Filed 7–13–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Agricultural Marketing Service

**7 CFR Parts 1000, 1001, 1002, 1004, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1012, 1013, 1030, 1032, 1033, 1036, 1040, 1044, 1046, 1049, 1050, 1064, 1065, 1068, 1076, 1079, 1106, 1124, 1126, 1131, 1134, 1135, 1137, 1138 and 1139**

[DA–97–12]

### Milk in the New England and Other Marketing Areas; Decision on Proposed Amendments to Marketing Agreements and to Orders; Correction

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; Correction.

7 CFR part	Marketing area
1000 .....	General Provisions of Federal Milk Marketing Orders.
1001 .....	New England.
1002 .....	New York-New Jersey.
1004 .....	Middle Atlantic.
1005 .....	Carolina.
1006 .....	Upper Florida.
1007 .....	Southeast.
1012 .....	Tampa Bay.
1013 .....	Southeastern Florida.
1030 .....	Chicago Regional.
1032 .....	Southern Illinois-Eastern Missouri.
1033 .....	Ohio Valley.
1036 .....	Eastern Ohio-Western Pennsylvania.
1040 .....	Southern Michigan.
1044 .....	Michigan Upper Peninsula.
1046 .....	Louisville-Lexington-Evansville.
1049 .....	Indiana.
1050 .....	Central Illinois.
1064 .....	Greater Kansas City.
1065 .....	Nebraska-Western Iowa.
1068 .....	Upper Midwest.
1076 .....	Eastern South Dakota.
1079 .....	Iowa.
1106 .....	Southwest Plains.
1124 .....	Pacific Northwest.
1126 .....	Texas.
1131 .....	Central Arizona.
1134 .....	Western Colorado.

7 CFR part	Marketing area
1135 .....	Southwestern Idaho-Eastern Oregon.
1137 .....	Eastern Colorado.
1138 .....	New Mexico-West Texas.
1139 .....	Great Basin.

**SUMMARY:** The Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS), USDA, published in the **Federal Register** of April 2, 1999, a final decision that consolidated the current 31 Federal milk orders into 11 orders to comply with the 1996 Farm Bill and made other order changes. Inadvertent errors and omissions were made in the supplementary information and in the regulatory text. This document makes corrections to the final decision. These corrections do not change the conclusions contained in the final decision and do not substantively alter the regulatory provisions.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John F. Borovies, Branch Chief, USDA/AMS/Dairy Programs, Order Formulation Branch, Room 2971, South Building, P.O. Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090-6456, (202) 720-6274, e-mail address John.Borovies@usda.gov.

### Corrections

In the final decision published on April 2, 1999 (FR Doc. 99-6547), beginning on page 16026, the following corrections are made in the supplementary information and regulatory text sections. The corrections do not change the conclusions contained in the final decision and do not substantively alter the regulatory provisions. The corrections are being made for several reasons. First, the corrections more accurately reflect the results of the USDA multi-regional economic model. Second, the corrections provide conformity throughout the supplementary information and regulatory text sections. And third, corrections are made to include information inadvertently omitted.

In the supplementary information section of the final decision 28 corrections are made. Eighteen corrections (corrections numbered 1-10, 12-16, 20, and 25-26) are made in connection with the USDA multi-regional dairy sector economic model results. The model quantitatively examined the impacts of the changes under consideration in the classified pricing of milk and dairy products in the milk order system. These were discussed in the supplementary information of the final decision. Inadvertently, the model results contained a pricing point data-entry error. Correction of this error results in

minor changes in the results. These corrections do not alter conclusions contained in the final decision. A detailed description of the model is contained in the final decision (64 FR 16110) and in the Regulatory Impact Analysis.

The remaining corrections are as follows: two corrections (corrections numbered 11 and 28) are made to conform the supplementary information to the regulatory text and two corrections (corrections numbered 17 and 18) are made to correct mathematical errors. Three corrections (corrections numbered 21, 23, and 24) are made for the following reasons:

Correction 21 changes the last sentence on page 16099, first column, third paragraph of the final decision, which explains the modification of the protein price calculation in the final decision to incorporate the additional value of butterfat in cheese with the protein price. The final decision differed from the proposal in that valuation of total nitrogen protein was changed to valuation of true protein. However, the description of the factors used to compute the resulting ratio was inadvertently not changed from that contained in the proposal. The sentence is in error and is being corrected to accurately reflect the method of calculating the ratio.

Correction 23 removes the words "in several counties" in reference to the Northeast because there are more than several counties, and adds counties inadvertently omitted.

Correction 24 (which adds a table) is made for the purpose of clarifying the discussion in the final decision of the 1A pricing option differential levels. The decision indicates that changes to the 1A price surface were made, but those changes were not specifically identified. The table lists the changes in detail for purposes of clarification.

One correction (correction numbered 22) clarifies the data used in the model, and two corrections (corrections numbered 19 and 27) are made to correct typographical errors. These corrections and additions do not impact the conclusions contained in the final decision but are provided for clarification purposes.

In the regulatory text of the final decision, 27 corrections are made. Eight corrections (corrections numbered 7, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, and 19) are made as a result of typographical errors. Eleven corrections (corrections numbered 4, 6, 11, 15, 18, 20-24, and 26) are made to clarify a price computation procedure used in each order. This clarification does not change the price computation in the final decision but more fully

explains a step in the price calculation of each order. Six corrections (corrections numbered 1, 3, 5, 9, 13, and 25) add a clarifying word or phrase that was inadvertently omitted. One correction (correction number 2) removes obsolete language which should have been deleted. A final correction is made to the authority citations for each Part (correction numbered 27) to add to the citations a reference to 7 U.S.C. 7253. These corrections do not make any substantive changes to the regulatory text.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated in the preamble, the final decision published on April 2, 1999 (FR Doc. 99-6547) at 64 FR 16026 is corrected as follows:

### Supplementary Information Corrections

The corrections to the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** of this document are:

1. The fourth and fifth columns of the table on page 16032 should be corrected to read as follows (the numbers followed by an \* are corrected):

Final decision	Modified option 1B
-0.29 .....	-0.69
-0.19 .....	-0.49
-0.15* .....	-0.38
-0.02 .....	-0.10
0.00 .....	-0.05
8.0* .....	-131.1*
14.8* .....	-91.2*
42.1* .....	106.8*
37.8* .....	99.0*
-2.8* .....	-128.7*
3.2* .....	-90.1*
-0.02 .....	-0.04
-80.4* .....	-215.6*
-79.3* .....	-209.9*
77.6* .....	86.9*
82.5 .....	119.8

2. On page 16032, second column, second paragraph, \$0.50 is corrected to read \$0.56.

3. On page 16032, second column, third paragraph, \$222.3 is corrected to read \$222.0.

4. On page 16032, third column, first paragraph, \$2.5 is corrected to read \$2.8.

5. On page 16032, third column, second paragraph, 14 is corrected to read 13 and 17 is corrected to read 18.

6. On page 16033, first column, fourth paragraph, \$0.61 is corrected to read \$0.66 and \$128.4 is corrected to read \$128.7.

7. On page 16033, second column, second paragraph, 106.7 is corrected to read 106.8.

8. On page 16040, first column, fifth paragraph, Five is corrected to read Four.

9. On page 16040, second column, second paragraph, 5 is corrected to read 4.

10. On page 16041, first column, second paragraph, 17 is corrected to read 18, \$0.04 is corrected to read \$0.02, Ohio Valley is corrected to read Greater Kansas City, 14 is corrected to read 13, \$0.08 is corrected to read \$0.10, and Greater Kansas City is corrected to read Eastern South Dakota and Indiana.

11. On page 16041, second column, second paragraph, the words "all-metal" are removed.

12. On page 16041, third column, first paragraph, \$0.50 is corrected to read \$0.56, \$222.3 is corrected to read \$222.0, and \$2.5 is corrected to read \$2.8.

13. On page 16041, third column, second paragraph, 209 is corrected to read 206, 74 is corrected to read 73, \$0.04 is corrected to read \$0.02, 69 is corrected to read 72, 22 is corrected to read 23, and \$0.08 is corrected to read \$0.10.

14. On page 16041, third column, third paragraph, -\$0.61 is corrected to read -\$0.66, and \$100 is corrected to read \$128.7.

15. On page 16042, first column, first paragraph, 98.8 is corrected to read 99.0.

16. On page 16042, first column, fourth paragraph, \$482.1 million is corrected to read \$104.9 million with adjustments and .02 is corrected to read .01.

17. On page 16051, Table 1 is corrected by changing the total in the column headed "Producer milk" from 7,756,390 to 8,268,876.

18. On page 16052, Table 2 is corrected by changing the total in the column headed "Manufacturing and supply plants" from 669 to 658.

19. On pages 16097 and 16098, all references to \$0.015 or \$.015 are corrected to read \$0.0015.

20. On page 16098, first column, third paragraph, fourteen is corrected to read fifteen.

21. On page 16099, first column, third paragraph, the last sentence is corrected to read as follows: "The ratio of butterfat to protein, 1:1.28 is calculated from the protein and butterfat content of cheese (25.8 percent protein and 33.1 percent butterfat)."

22. On page 16108, second column, third paragraph, the last sentence is corrected to read as follows: "The model uses data from May and October 1995."

23. On page 16110, second column, second paragraph, the second sentence is corrected to read as follows: "The changes only involved adjusting certain county specific differentials to provide for more appropriate price alignment in the northeast, seven counties in Florida, one county in North Carolina, one county in Georgia, and two counties in South Carolina."

24. On page 16110, second column, at the end of the second paragraph add the following table:

FINAL DECISION OPTION 1A DIFFERENTIALS AND CHANGES FROM THE PROPOSED RULE

County/parish/city	State	FIPS __ code	Final decision 1A class I dif- ferential ad- justed for	Change from proposed 1A differential
FAIRFIELD .....	CT	09001	3.15	0.05
HARTFORD .....	CT	09003	3.15	0.05
MIDDLESEX .....	CT	09007	3.15	0.05
NEW HAVEN .....	CT	09009	3.15	0.05
NEW LONDON .....	CT	09011	3.15	0.05
TOLLAND .....	CT	09013	3.15	0.05
WINDHAM .....	CT	09015	3.15	0.05
KENT .....	DE	10001	3.05	0.05
NEW CASTLE .....	DE	10003	3.05	0.05
SUSSEX .....	DE	10005	3.05	0.05
DE SOTO .....	FL	12027	4.00	-0.30
HARDEE .....	FL	12049	4.00	-0.30
HIGHLANDS .....	FL	12055	4.00	-0.30
MANATEE .....	FL	12081	4.00	-0.30
OKEECHOBEE .....	FL	12093	4.00	-0.30
SARASOTA .....	FL	12115	4.00	-0.30
ST. LUCIE .....	FL	12111	4.00	-0.30
MCINTOSH .....	GA	13191	3.45	0.15
CARROLL .....	MD	24013	2.90	0.10
CECIL .....	MD	24015	3.05	0.05
FREDERICK .....	MD	24021	2.90	0.10
WASHINGTON .....	NC	37187	3.20	-0.10
ATLANTIC .....	NJ	34001	3.05	0.05
BURLINGTON .....	NJ	34005	3.05	0.05
CAMDEN .....	NJ	34007	3.05	0.05
CAPE MAY .....	NJ	34009	3.05	0.05
CUMBERLAND .....	NJ	34011	3.05	0.05
GLOUCESTER .....	NJ	34015	3.05	0.05
SALEM .....	NJ	34033	3.05	0.05
ALBANY .....	NY	36001	2.70	0.10
BROOME .....	NY	36007	2.70	0.10
CHEMUNG .....	NY	36015	2.50	0.10
CHENANGO .....	NY	36017	2.50	0.10
CLINTON .....	NY	36019	2.30	0.10
COLUMBIA .....	NY	36021	2.70	-0.10
CORTLAND .....	NY	36023	2.50	0.10
DELAWARE .....	NY	36025	2.70	0.10
ESSEX .....	NY	36031	2.30	-0.10
FRANKLIN .....	NY	36033	2.30	0.10
FULTON .....	NY	36035	2.50	-0.10

## FINAL DECISION OPTION 1A DIFFERENTIALS AND CHANGES FROM THE PROPOSED RULE—Continued

County/parish/city	State	FIPS __ code	Final decision 1A class I dif- ferential ad- justed for	Change from proposed 1A differential
GREENE .....	NY	36039	2.70	0.10
HAMILTON .....	NY	36041	2.50	0.10
HERKIMER .....	NY	36043	2.50	0.10
JEFFERSON .....	NY	36045	2.30	0.10
LEWIS .....	NY	36049	2.30	0.10
MADISON .....	NY	36053	2.50	0.10
MONTGOMERY .....	NY	36057	2.70	0.10
ONEIDA .....	NY	36065	2.50	0.10
ONONDAGA .....	NY	36067	2.50	0.10
OTSEGO .....	NY	36077	2.50	–0.10
RENSSELAER .....	NY	36083	2.70	0.10
SARATOGA .....	NY	36091	2.70	0.10
SCHENECTADY .....	NY	36093	2.70	0.10
SCHOHARIE .....	NY	36095	2.70	0.10
ST. LAWRENCE .....	NY	36089	2.30	0.10
TIOGA .....	NY	36107	2.50	0.10
TOMPKINS .....	NY	36109	2.50	0.10
WARREN .....	NY	36113	2.50	–0.10
BRADFORD .....	PA	42015	2.50	0.10
BUCKS .....	PA	42017	3.05	0.05
CENTRE .....	PA	42027	2.50	0.20
CHESTER .....	PA	42029	3.05	0.05
CLINTON .....	PA	42035	2.50	0.20
COLUMBIA .....	PA	42037	2.70	0.10
DELAWARE .....	PA	42045	3.05	0.05
FULTON .....	PA	42057	2.70	0.10
JUNIATA .....	PA	42067	2.70	0.10
LACKAWANNA .....	PA	42069	2.70	0.10
LANCASTER .....	PA	42071	2.90	0.10
LUZERNE .....	PA	42079	2.70	0.10
LYCOMING .....	PA	42081	2.50	–0.10
MIFFLIN .....	PA	42087	2.70	0.10
MONTGOMERY .....	PA	42091	3.05	0.05
MONTOUR .....	PA	42093	2.70	0.10
NORTHUMBERLAND .....	PA	42097	2.70	0.10
PERRY .....	PA	42099	2.70	0.10
PHILADELPHIA .....	PA	42101	3.05	0.05
POTTER .....	PA	42105	2.50	0.20
SNYDER .....	PA	42109	2.70	0.10
SULLIVAN .....	PA	42113	2.50	–0.10
SUSQUEHANNA .....	PA	42115	2.50	–0.10
TIOGA .....	PA	42117	2.50	0.20
UNION .....	PA	42119	2.70	0.10
WAYNE .....	PA	42127	2.70	0.10
WYOMING .....	PA	42131	2.50	–0.10
MARION .....	SC	45067	3.30	0.20
MCCORMICK .....	SC	45065	3.10	–0.20
YORK .....	PA	42133	2.90	0.10
CHITTENDEN .....	VT	50007	2.50	–0.10
ESSEX .....	VT	50009	2.40	–0.20
LAMOILLE .....	VT	50015	2.50	–0.10
WINDSOR .....	VT	50027	2.80	0.20

25. On page 16114, second column, third paragraph, 4 is corrected to read 2, Ohio Valley is corrected to read Greater Kansas City, 8 is corrected to read 10, and Greater Kansas City is corrected to read Eastern South Dakota and Indiana.

26. On page 16114, third column, first paragraph, 8.3 is corrected to read 1,556.6.

27. On page 16115, second column, first paragraph, “support USDA of” is corrected to read “supporters of”.

28. On page 16152, first column, first paragraph, lines 3 and 4 are corrected by removing the words “by up to 10 percentage points”.

#### Regulatory Text Corrections

The corrections to the regulatory text of this document are:

1. On page 16178, second column, in § 1000.50, paragraph (q)(1)(i) is corrected by adding the words “2 most recent” before the word “NASS” to read as follows:

#### § 1000.50 Class prices, component prices, and advanced pricing factors.

\* \* \* \* \*

(q) \* \* \*

(1) \* \* \*

(i) Following the procedure set forth in paragraphs (n) and (o) of this section, but using the weighted average of the 2 most recent NASS U.S. average weekly survey prices announced before the 24th day of the month, compute a protein price and an other solids price;

\* \* \* \* \*

2. On page 16223, third column, Subpart J-Miscellaneous Provisions, § 1000.90 is corrected by removing the words "or announcement" after the word "payment" to read as follows:

#### Subpart J—Miscellaneous Provisions

##### § 1000.90 Dates.

If a date required for a payment contained in a Federal milk order falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or national holiday, such payment will be due on the next day that the market administrator's office is open for public business.

3. On page 16224, third column, in § 1001.4, paragraph (b)(2) is corrected by adding the words "the removal of water from milk; or" at the end of the paragraph to read as follows:

##### § 1001.4 Plant.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(2) An on-farm facility operated as part of a single dairy farm entity for the separation of cream and skim milk or the removal of water from milk; or \* \* \*

4. On page 16228, second column, in § 1001.61, paragraph (c) is corrected to read as follows:

##### § 1001.61 Computation of producer price differential.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) Add an amount equal to the minus location adjustments and subtract an amount equal to the plus location adjustments computed pursuant to § 1001.75.

\* \* \* \* \*

5. On page 16231, first column, in § 1005.7, the first sentence of paragraph (a), paragraph (b), and the first sentence of paragraph (c) are corrected by adding the word "physically" before the word "received" to read as follows:

##### § 1005.7 Pool plant.

\* \* \* \* \*

(a) A distributing plant, other than a plant qualified as a pool plant pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section or section 7(b) of any other Federal milk order, from which during the month 50 percent or more of the fluid milk products physically received at such plant (excluding concentrated milk received from another plant by agreement for other than Class I use) are disposed of as route disposition or are transferred in the form of packaged fluid milk products to other distributing plants. \* \* \*

(b) Any distributing plant located in the marketing area which during the month processed at least 50 percent of the total quantity of fluid milk products

physically received at the plant (excluding concentrated milk received from another plant by agreement for other than Class I use) into ultra-pasteurized or aseptically-processed fluid milk products.

(c) A supply plant from which 50 percent or more of the total quantity of milk that is physically received during the month from dairy farmers and handlers described in § 1000.9(c), including milk that is diverted from the plant, is transferred to pool distributing plants. \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

6. On page 16234, first column, in § 1005.61, paragraph (b)(2) is corrected to read as follows:

##### § 1005.61 Computation of uniform prices.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(2) Add an amount equal to the minus location adjustments and subtract an amount equal to the plus location adjustments computed pursuant to § 1005.75.

\* \* \* \* \*

##### § 1005.62 [Corrected]

7. On page 16234, § 1005.62 appears twice. The second appearance of § 1005.62 titled "Announcement of uniform price, uniform butterfat price and uniform skim milk price" at the top of the second column is removed.

##### § 1005.75 [Corrected]

8. On page 16235, second column, in § 1005.75 the reference to § 1005.50 is corrected to read § 1005.51.

9. On page 16237, second column, in § 1006.7, the first sentence of paragraph (a), paragraph (b), and the first sentence of paragraph (c) are corrected by adding the word "physically" before the word "received" to read as follows:

##### § 1006.7 Pool plant.

\* \* \* \* \*

(a) A distributing plant, other than a plant qualified as a pool plant pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section or section 7(b) of any other Federal milk order, from which during the month 50 percent or more of the fluid milk products physically received at such plant (excluding concentrated milk received from another plant by agreement for other than Class I use) are disposed of as route disposition or are transferred in the form of packaged fluid milk products to other distributing plants. \* \* \*

(b) Any distributing plant located in the marketing area which during the month processed at least 50 percent of the total quantity of fluid milk products physically received at the plant

(excluding concentrated milk received from another plant by agreement for other than Class I use) into ultra-pasteurized or aseptically-processed fluid milk products.

(c) A supply plant from which 60 percent or more of the total quantity of milk that is physically received during the month from dairy farmers and handlers described in § 1000.9(c), including milk that is diverted from the plant, is transferred to pool distributing plants. \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

10. On page 16240, first column, in § 1006.61, paragraph (b)(1) the reference to § 1005.60 is corrected to read § 1006.60.

11. On page 16240, first column, in § 1006.61, paragraph (b)(2) is corrected to read as follows:

##### § 1006.61 Computation of uniform prices.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(2) Add an amount equal to the minus location adjustments and subtract an amount equal to the plus location adjustments computed pursuant to § 1006.75.

\* \* \* \* \*

##### § 1006.75 [Corrected]

12. On page 16241, third column, in § 1006.75 the reference to § 1006.50 is corrected to read § 1006.51.

13. On page 16242, third column, in § 1007.7, the first sentence of paragraph (a), paragraph (b), and the first sentence of paragraph (c) are corrected by adding the word "physically" before the word "received" to read as follows:

##### § 1007.7 Pool plant.

\* \* \* \* \*

(a) A distributing plant, other than a plant qualified as a pool plant pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section or section 7(b) of any other Federal milk order, from which during the month 50 percent or more of the fluid milk products physically received at such plant (excluding concentrated milk received from another plant by agreement for other than Class I use) are disposed of as route disposition or are transferred in the form of packaged fluid milk products to other distributing plants. \* \* \*

(b) Any distributing plant located in the marketing area which during the month processed at least 50 percent of the total quantity of fluid milk products physically received at the plant (excluding concentrated milk received from another plant by agreement for other than Class I use) into ultra-pasteurized or aseptically-processed fluid milk products.

(c) A supply plant from which 50 percent or more of the total quantity of milk that is physically received during the month from dairy farmers and handlers described in § 1000.9(c), including milk that is diverted from the plant, is transferred to pool distributing plants. \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

14. On page 16245, second column, in § 1007.61 paragraph(b)(1) the reference to § 1005.60 is corrected to read § 1007.60.

15. On page 16245, second column, in § 1007.61, paragraph (b)(2) is corrected to read as follows:

**§ 1007.61 Computation of uniform prices.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(2) Add an amount equal to the minus location adjustments and subtract an amount equal to the plus location adjustments computed pursuant to § 1007.75.

\* \* \* \* \*

**§ 1007.75 [Corrected]**

16. On page 16246, third column, in § 1007.75 the reference to § 1007.50 is corrected to read § 1007.51.

17. On page 16250, second column, in § 1030.10, paragraph (a) is corrected by adding the word "area" after the word "marketing" to read as follows:

**§ 1030.10 Producer-handler.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(a) Operates a dairy farm and a distributing plant from which there is route disposition in the marketing area during the month;

\* \* \* \* \*

18. On page 16253, first column, in § 1030.61, paragraph (c) is corrected to read as follows:

**§ 1030.61 Computation of producer price differential.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) Add an amount equal to the minus location adjustments and subtract an amount equal to the plus location adjustments computed pursuant to § 1030.75.

\* \* \* \* \*

**§ 1032.2 [Corrected]**

19. On page 16255, second column, in § 1032.2, subheading "Colorado Counties", the word "Freemont" is corrected to read "Fremont".

20. On page 16259, third column, in § 1032.61, paragraph (c) is corrected to read as follows:

**§ 1032.61 Computation of producer price differential.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) Add an amount equal to the minus location adjustments and subtract an amount equal to the plus location adjustments computed pursuant to § 1032.75.

\* \* \* \* \*

21. On page 16266, second column, in § 1033.61, paragraph (c) is corrected to read as follows:

**§ 1033.61 Computation of producer price differential.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) Add an amount equal to the minus location adjustments and subtract an amount equal to the plus location adjustments computed pursuant to § 1033.75.

\* \* \* \* \*

22. On page 16273, first column, in § 1124.61, paragraph (c) is corrected to read as follows:

**§ 1124.61 Computation of producer price differential.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) Add an amount equal to the minus location adjustments and subtract an amount equal to the plus location adjustments computed pursuant to § 1124.75.

\* \* \* \* \*

23. On page 16278, third column, in § 1126.61, paragraph (c) is corrected to read as follows:

**§ 1126.61 Computation of producer price differential.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) Add an amount equal to the minus location adjustments and subtract an amount equal to the plus location adjustments computed pursuant to § 1126.75.

\* \* \* \* \*

24. On page 16284, first column, in § 1131.61, paragraph (b)(2) is corrected to read as follows:

**§ 1131.61 Computation of uniform prices.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(2) Add an amount equal to the minus location adjustments and subtract an amount equal to the plus location adjustments computed pursuant to § 1131.75.

\* \* \* \* \*

25. On page 16289, third column, in § 1135.60, paragraph (h) is corrected by adding the phrase "and the corresponding step of § 1000.44(b)" after the reference to § 1000.44(a)(3)(i) to read as follows:

**§ 1135.60 Handler's value of milk.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(h) Multiply the difference between the Class I price applicable at the

location of the nearest unregulated supply plants from which an equivalent volume was received and the Class III price by the pounds of skim milk and butterfat in receipts of concentrated fluid milk products assigned to Class I pursuant to § 1000.43(d) and § 1000.44(a)(3)(i) and the corresponding step of § 1000.44(b) and the pounds of skim milk and butterfat subtracted from Class I pursuant to § 1000.44(a)(8) and the corresponding step of § 1000.44(b), excluding such skim milk and butterfat in receipts of fluid milk products from an unregulated supply plant to the extent that an equivalent amount of skim milk or butterfat disposed of to such plant by handlers fully regulated under any Federal milk order is classified and priced as Class I milk and is not used as an offset for any other payment obligation under any order.

\* \* \* \* \*

26. On page 16290, first column, in § 1135.61, paragraph (c) is corrected to read as follows:

**§ 1135.61 Computation of producer price differential.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) Add an amount equal to the minus location adjustments and subtract an amount equal to the plus location adjustments computed pursuant to § 1135.75.

\* \* \* \* \*

27. The authority citations for 7 CFR Parts 1000, 1001, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1030, 1032, 1033, 1124, 1126, 1131 and 1135 are corrected to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 601-674, and 7253.

Dated: July 8, 1999.

**Enrique E. Figueroa,**

*Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 99-17893 Filed 7-13-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-12-P

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

#### 9 CFR Parts 94 and 96

[Docket No. 95-027-1]

#### Importation of Pork and Pork Products

**AGENCY:** Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** We propose to amend the regulations concerning the importation of pork and pork products into the United States. Specifically, we propose to allow pork that originates in a region