

SPINNING AND WEAVING CORPORATION,  
P.O. Box 795, Khartoum, Sudan  
[SUDAN]

SUDAN COTTON COMPANY, Khartoum,  
Sudan [SUDAN]

SUDAN COTTON COMPANY LIMITED, P.O.  
Box 1672, Khartoum, Sudan [SUDAN]

SUDAN OIL CORPORATION, P.O. Box 2,  
Khartoum North, Sudan [SUDAN]

SUDAN SOAP CORPORATION, P.O. Box 23,  
Khartoum North, Sudan [SUDAN]

SUDANESE INTERNATIONAL TOURISM  
COMPANY, c/o TOURISM AND  
HOTELS CORPORATION, P.O. Box  
7104, Khartoum, Sudan [SUDAN]

SUDANESE MINING CORPORATION, P.O.  
Box 1034, Khartoum, Sudan [SUDAN]

SUGAR AND DISTILLING CORPORATION,  
New Mustafa el Amin Building,  
Barlaman Avenue, P.O. Box 511,  
Khartoum, Sudan [SUDAN]

TAHA, Rifa'i Ahmad (see MUSA, Rifa'i  
Ahmad Taha) [SDT]

TAHA MUSA, Rifa'i Ahmad (see MUSA,  
Rifa'i Ahmad Taha) [SDT]

TAYSIR (see ATEF, Muhammad) [SDT]

THABIT 'IZ (see MUSA, Rifa'i Ahmad Taha)  
[SDT]

TOURISM AND HOTELS CORPORATION,  
P.O. Box 7104, Khartoum, Sudan; Ed  
Damer, Sudan; El Fasher, Sudan;  
Khartoum Airport, Sudan; Port Sudan,  
Sudan [SUDAN]

WAD MADANI DUTY FREE SHOP, Wad  
Madani, Sudan [SUDAN]

WAU FRUIT AND VEGETABLE CANNING  
FACTORY, P.O. Box 110, Wau, Sudan  
[SUDAN]

WHITE NILE BREWERY, P.O. Box 1378,  
Khartoum, Sudan [SUDAN]

WHITE NILE TANNERY, P.O. Box 4078,  
Khartoum, Sudan [SUDAN]

WORLD ISLAMIC FRONT FOR JIHAD  
AGAINST JEWS AND CRUSADERS,  
THE (see ISLAMIC ARMY) [SDT]

2. Appendix A to 31 CFR chapter V  
is amended by revising the following  
existing entries to include additional  
identifying information in appendix A,  
section I to read as revised as follows:

PUBLIC ELECTRICITY AND WATER  
CORPORATION (a.k.a. CENTRAL  
ELECTRICITY AND WATER  
CORPORATION), P.O. Box 1380,  
Khartoum, Sudan [SUDAN]

INGASSANA HILLS MINES CORPORATION  
(a.k.a. INGESSANA HILLS MINES  
CORPORATION), P.O. Box 2241,  
Khartoum, Sudan; P.O. Box 1108,  
Khartoum, Sudan [SUDAN]

SACKS FACTORY (a.k.a. PLASTIC SACKS  
FACTORY), P.O. Box 2328, Khartoum,  
Sudan [SUDAN]

3. Appendix A to 31 CFR chapter V  
is amended by removing in its entirety  
the entry for the name "DE BOCCARD,  
Phillipe (a.k.a. DE BOCCARD,  
Philippe)" from appendix A, section I.

Dated: June 23, 1999.

**R. Richard Newcomb,**

*Director, Office of Foreign Assets Control.*

Approved: June 24, 1999.

**Elisabeth A. Bresee,**

*Assistant Secretary (Enforcement),  
Department of the Treasury.*

[FR Doc. 99-16726 Filed 6-28-99; 9:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-25-F

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA079-149; FRL-6363-2]

### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection  
Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is finalizing the approval of revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) proposed in the *Federal Register* on April 16, 1999. The revisions concern rules from the Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD). This approval action will incorporate these rules into the Federally approved SIP. The intended effect of approving these rules is to regulate permitting of stationary sources in accordance with the requirements of the Act, as amended in 1990. EPA is finalizing the approval of these revisions into the California SIP under provisions of the CAA regarding EPA action on SIP submittals, SIPs for national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards and plan requirements for nonattainment areas.

**DATES:** This action is effective on August 2, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the rule(s) and EPA's evaluation report for each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule(s) are available for inspection at the following locations:

- (1) EPA Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.
- (2) California Air Resources Board, 2020 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.
- (3) Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District, 24580 Silver Cloud Court, Monterey CA 93940.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Roger Kohn, Permits Office, [AIR-3], Air  
Division, U.S. Environmental Protection  
Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne  
Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901;

Telephone: (415) 744-1238; E-mail:  
kohn.roger@epa.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Applicability

The rules being approved into the California SIP include: MBUAPCD Rules 200 (Permits Required), 204 (Cancellation of Applications), 207 (Review of New or Modified Sources), 213 (Continuous Emissions Monitoring), 215 (Banking of Emissions Reductions), and 436 (Title V: General Prohibitory Rule). These rules were submitted by the California Air Resources Board to EPA on June 9, 1987 (Rule 200), February 10, 1986 (Rule 204), March 3, 1997 (Rule 207), March 29, 1994 (Rule 213), June 3, 1997 (Rule 215), and August 10, 1995 (Rule 436).

### II. Background

On April 16, 1999 in 64 FR 18858, EPA proposed to approve the above rules into the California SIP. A detailed discussion of the background for each of the above rules is provided in the proposed rule cited above.

EPA has evaluated the above rules for consistency with the requirements of the CAA and EPA regulations and EPA interpretation of these requirements as expressed in the various EPA policy guidance documents referenced in the proposed rule cited above. EPA has found that the rules meet the applicable EPA requirements. A detailed discussion of the rule provisions and evaluations has been provided in the proposed rule and in the technical support document (TSD), dated April 1, 1999, which is available at EPA's Region IX office.

### III. Response to Public Comments

A 30-day public comment period was provided in 64 FR 18858. No comments were submitted to EPA during the comment period, which ended on May 17, 1999.

### IV. EPA Action

EPA is finalizing this action to approve the above rules for inclusion into the California SIP. EPA is approving the submittal under section 110(k)(3) as meeting the requirements of section 110(a) and parts C and D of the CAA. This approval action will incorporate these rules into the Federally approved SIP. The intended effect of approving these rules is to regulate stationary sources in accordance with the requirements of the CAA.

V. Administrative Requirements

A. Executive Orders 12866 and 13045

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this regulatory action from E.O. 12866 review.

The [proposed/final] rule is not subject to E.O. 13045, entitled "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks," because it is not an "economically significant" action under E.O. 12866.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 600 et seq., EPA must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis assessing the impact of any proposed or final rule on small entities. 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604. Alternatively, EPA may certify that the rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and government entities with jurisdiction over populations of less than 50,000.

SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Clean Air Act do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not impose any new requirements, the Administrator certifies that it does not have a significant impact on any small entities affected. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the CAA, preparation of a flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of State action. The Clean Air Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. Union Electric Co. v. U.S. EPA, 427 U.S. 246, 255-66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

C. Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that

may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the approval action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new Federal requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

D. Submission to Congress and the General Accounting Office

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. This rule is not a "major" rule as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

E. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions [On signature page-add header and suppress page #] for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by August 30, 1999. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Note: Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of

California was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1982.

Dated: June 9, 1999.

Nora L. McGee,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart F—California

2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(168)(i)(F)(2), (c)(173)(i)(C)(2), (c)(196)(i)(E), (c)(224)(i)(D), (c)(224)(i)(A)(3) and (c)(258)(i)(A)(2) to read as follows:

§ 52.220 Identification of plan.

- \* \* \* \* \*
(c) \* \* \*
(168) \* \* \*
(i) \* \* \*
(F) \* \* \*
(2) Rule 204, amended on July 17, 1985.
\* \* \* \* \*
(173) \* \* \*
(i) \* \* \*
(C) \* \* \*
(2) Rule 200, amended on December 17, 1986.
\* \* \* \* \*
(196) \* \* \*
(i) \* \* \*
(E) Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District.
(1) Rule 213, amended on February 16, 1994.
\* \* \* \* \*
(224) \* \* \*
(i) \* \* \*
(D) Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District.
(1) Rule 436, adopted on May 17, 1995.
\* \* \* \* \*
(244) \* \* \*
(i) \* \* \*
(A) \* \* \*
(3) Rule 207, amended on December 18, 1996.
\* \* \* \* \*
(258) \* \* \*
(i) \* \* \*
(A) \* \* \*
(2) Rule 215, amended on March 26, 1997.
\* \* \* \* \*