Advisory Committee were published in the **Federal Register**.

Throughout the planning process for this demonstration which began in May 1998, all entities impacted by the demonstration including health plans, providers, employers and beneficiaries have been educated on the types of specific information required in the bid solicitation package. In response, written comments and recommendations have been received from the public on all aspects of the demonstration design and implementation during our Federal Advisory Committee meetings. This information collection package could not be prepared until several specific decisions were made by the advisory committees. These included the formula for determining the government contribution, the standard benefit package upon which plans bid, the county or counties upon which the bid is based, and the service included in the demonstration. Final decisions occurred on May 12, 1999, and, as a result, HCFA could not reasonably comply with the normal clearance procedures. Also, due to the fact that the Kansas City demonstration is scheduled to begin on January 1, 2000, at the direction of the CPAC, HCFA must have the collection approved by July 1, 1999 to allow potential bidders enough time to plan for, and submit their applications. The Bid Solicitation Package for

The Bid Solicitation Package for Kansas City will be used to determine the government's contribution to premiums for Medicare+Choice plans that are participating in the Competitive Pricing Demonstration. HCFA will use the information to determine the higher of the weighted average or median of all

submitted bids.

HCFA is requesting OMB review and approval of this collection within 2 days of publication, with a 180-day approval period. Written comments and recommendations will be accepted from the public if received by the individual designated below, within 2 days of publication.

During this 180-day period, HCFA will pursue OMB clearance of this collection as stipulated by 5 CFR 1320.

Type of Information Collection Request: New Collection;

Title of Information Collection: Medicare Competitive Pricing Demonstration Bid Solicitation Package for Kansas City;

Form No.: HCFA-R-0288;
Use: This information collection
"Medicare Competitive Pricing
Demonstration Bid Solicitation Package
for Kansas City" will be used to
determine the government's
contribution to premiums for

Medicare+Choice plans that are participating in the Competitive Pricing Demonstration. HCFA will use the information to determine the higher of the weighted average or median of all submitted bids:

*Frequency:* One time;

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit;

Number of Respondents: 9; Total Annual Responses: 9; Total Annual Hours: 360.

To obtain copies of the supporting statement and any related forms for the proposed paperwork collections referenced above, access HCFA's Web Site address at http://www.hcfa.gov/regs/prdact95.htm, or E-mail your request, including your address, phone number, OMB number, and HCFA document identifier, to Paperwork@hcfa.gov, or call the Reports Clearance Office on (410) 786–1326.

Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding the burden or any other aspect of these collections of information requirements. However, as noted above, comments on these information collection and record keeping requirements must be mailed and/or faxed to the designee referenced below within 2 days of publication:

Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Information Services, Security and Standards Group, Division of HCFA Enterprise Standards, Room N2–14–26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850. Fax Number: (410) 786– 0262 Attn: John Burke HCFA–R–0288

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, Fax Number: (202) 395–6974 or (202) 395–5167 Attn: Allison Herron Eydt, HCFA Desk Officer.

Dated: June 22, 1999.

#### John P. Burke III.

HCFA Reports Clearance Officer, HCFA, Office of Information Services, Security and Standards Group, Division of HCFA Enterprise Standards.

[FR Doc. 99–16615 Filed 6–29–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4120–03–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4410-C-04]

FY 1999 Super Notice of Funding Availability (SuperNOFA); Modifications and Clarifications Regarding Funding Under the Fair Housing Initiatives Program

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: On February 26, 1999, HUD published its Fiscal Year (FY) 1999 Super Notice of Funding Availability (SuperNOFA) for HUD's Housing, Community Development, and Empowerment programs. This notice advises of certain modifications and clarifications to funding provided under the Fair Housing Initiatives Program (FHIP).

**DATES:** The FHIP application due date of June 30, 1999, is not changed by this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For the FHIP, please contact the office or individual listed in the "For Further Information" portion of the section of the individual programs that are part of the SuperNOFA, published on February 26, 1999 at 64 FR 9618.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Background

On February 26, 1999 (64 FR 9618), HUD published its FY 1999 SuperNOFA for HUD's Housing, Community Development, and Empowerment programs. The FY 1999 SuperNOFA announced the availability of approximately \$2.4 billion in HUD program funds covering 32 grant programs and program components administered by the following HUD offices: the Office of Community Planning and Development (CPD); the Office of Housing-Federal Housing Administration (FHA); the Office of Public and Indian Housing (PIH); the Office of Policy Development and Research (PD&R); the Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity (FH&EO); and the Office of Lead Hazard Control.

On April 27, 1999 (64 FR 22634), HUD published a notice that extended the application deadlines of two programs (HOPE VI and FHIP) and made certain corrections and clarifications to four programs (FHIP, Lead-Based Hazard Control Program, Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Program; and Section 811 Supportive Housing for Persons with Disabilities Program).

On May 8, 1999 (64 FR 27120), HUD published a notice that, among other things, extended the deadline for certain programs in the SuperNOFA to accommodate areas that were designated disaster areas as a result of the tornados in early May 1999.

The purpose of this notice is to advise of certain modifications and clarifications to funding under the FHIP Program. These changes do not alter the selection factors of the FHIP NOFA.

These changes only clarify certain FHIP requirements.

Accordingly, in the SuperNOFA for Housing, Community Development, and Empowerment Programs, notice document 99–4476, beginning at 64 FR 9618, in the issue of Friday, February 26, 1999, the following corrections are made to the Fair Housing Initiatives Program (FHIP) section of the SuperNOFA, found at 64 FR 9677–9690.

- 1. On page 9682, first column, a new paragraph (11) is added to Section IV(A), and existing paragraphs (11), (12), and (13) are redesignated (12), (13), and (14) respectively. New paragraph 11 adds language to assure high quality performance of all grants, contracts, or cooperative agreements resulting from awards made under this NOFA. HUD is implementing a process to consider complaints from the public regarding FHIP funded activities. New paragraph (11) reads as follows:
- (11) To assure high quality performance of all grants, contracts, or cooperative agreements resulting from awards made under this NOFA, HUD is implementing a process to consider complaints from the public regarding FHIP-funded activities. If, after notice and consideration of relevant information, HUD concludes that there has been inappropriate conduct, such as a violation of FHIP program requirements, grant, contract or cooperative agreement terms or conditions; or any other applicable statute, regulation or other requirement, HUD will take appropriate action to address its determination. Such action may include: written reprimand; consideration of past performance in ranking future FHIP applications; reimbursement of FHIP funding; and temporary or permanent denial of participation in the FHIP program.
- 2. On page 9683, in the middle column, paragraph (8) pertaining to "Conflict of Interest and Use of Settlement Funds Certification," is amended by revising paragraph (8)(b) to clarify the disposition of funds received as a result of activities funded in whole or in part by the FHIP program. Paragraph (8)(b) is revised to read as follows:
- (b) When you receive funds as the result of enforcement activities funded in whole or in part by the FHIP program, including testing, you shall reimburse the United States for the FHIP-funded activities. To accomplish this, you shall reimburse the United States for the FHIP-funded activities in accordance with procedures set forth in your grant, contract or cooperative agreement.

- 3. On page 9683, in the middle column, Section IV(C)(1) pertaining to "Additional Requirements for Private Enforcement Initiative" is revised to remove the requirement that applications to provide projections of the number of complaints to be referred to HUD for enforcement purposes, and to make clear that applications already submitted which contain such projections needed not be revised because HUD will not consider such projections when evaluating the application. Paragraph (1) in Section IV(C) is revised to read as follows:
- Your proposal must include a description of the enforcement proposals to be referred to HUD to increase enforcement actions. Therefore, you must state what information you intend to collect and analyze, the kind of complaints you anticipate referring to HUD for enforcement purposes and a method for referring such complaints. If applications are submitted with projections of the number of complaints expected to be referred to HUD for enforcement purposes, they need not be revised because HUD will disregard any such projections when evaluating the application. Your application should explain how you plan to structure tests, train investigators, conduct investigations, etc. This description should make clear the safeguards to be used to ensure that complaints referred to HUD for enforcement actions are fully jurisdictional under the Act and supported by credible and legitimate evidence that the Act has been violated.
- 4. On page 9686, first column, paragraph (1), the Description of Proposed Activities (which is under Rating Factor 3), is revised to remove the requirement that applications contain projections of the number of enforcement proposals to be referred to HUD. All the text under the "Description of Proposed Activities" is removed and replaced with the following language:

How your proposed activities will result in the referral of enforcement proposals to HUD. Specifically, your description should explain how the activities performed during the period of performance of the grant will result in cases being referred to HUD. If applications are submitted with projections of the number of enforcement proposals to be referred to HUD, they need not be revised because HUD will disregard any such projections when evaluating the application. In responding to this factor, describe the methods to be developed or used to identify and refer enforcement proposals to HUD. If your past activities have resulted in successful enforcement

proposals being referred to HUD, describe these actions and the outcome of such referrals.

Dated: June 25, 1999.

#### Susan Forward,

General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity. [FR Doc. 99–16719 Filed 6–28–99; 9:25 am]

# BILLING CODE 4210-32-P

# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## Fish and Wildlife Service

# Receipt of Application for Endangered Species Permit

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of receipt of application for endangered species permit.

**SUMMARY:** The following applicants have applied for permits to conduct certain activities with endangered species. This notice is provided pursuant to Section 10(c) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

**DATES:** Written data or comments on these applications must be received, at the address given below, by July 30, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Documents and other information submitted with these applications are available for review, subject to the requirements of the Privacy Act and Freedom of Information Act, by any party who submits a written request for a copy of such documents to the following office within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1875 Century Boulevard, Suite 200, Atlanta, Georgia 30345 (Attn: David Dell, Permit Coordinator). Telephone: 404/679–7313; Facsimile: 404/679–7081.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Dell, Telephone: 404/679–7313; Facsimile: 404/679–7081.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicant: Dr. Lawrence H. Herbst, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, TE013719–0.

The applicant requests authorization to take (capture, retain for disease trials, and release or euthanize) the endangered green sea turtle, *Chelonia mydas* throughout the species range in Florida for the purpose of enhancement of survival of the species.

Applicant: Michael Gangloff, Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama, TE013722–0.

The applicant requests authorization to take (capture, identify, and release) thirteen species of threatened and