

standards instead of government-unique standards in their regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., material specifications, test methods, sampling and analytical procedures, business practices, etc.) that are developed or adopted by one or more voluntary consensus standards bodies. Examples of organizations generally regarded as voluntary consensus standards bodies include the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), and the Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE). The NTTAA requires Federal agencies like EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, with explanations when an agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

This action does not involve the proposal of any new technical standards. Therefore, NTTAA requirements are not applicable to today's proposal.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 63

Environmental protection, air pollution control, hazardous substances, reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: June 24, 1999.

Carol M. Browner,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 99-16636 Filed 6-29-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[AD-FRL-6369-7]

RIN 2060-AD06

Hazardous Air Pollutants: Regulations Governing Constructed or Reconstructed Major Sources

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule, amendments.

SUMMARY: On December 27, 1996, the Agency published a rule in the **Federal Register** implementing certain provisions in section 112(g) of the Clean Air Act (Act). After the effective date of that rule, all owners or operators of major sources of hazardous air pollutants (HAP) that are constructed or reconstructed are required to install maximum achievable control technology (MACT) (unless specifically

exempted), provided they are located in a State with an approved title V permit program. When no applicable Federal emission limitation has been promulgated under section 112(d) of the Act, the Act requires the permitting authority (generally a State or local agency responsible for the program) to determine a MACT emission limitation on a case-by-case basis. If the permitting authority has not yet established procedures for requiring MACT on constructed or reconstructed major sources by the required date, the rule provides that the EPA Regional Administrator will determine MACT emission limitations on a case-by-case basis for a period of up to one year. This action proposes to amend the rule governing constructed or reconstructed major sources—by providing a longer time period (up to 30 months) during which the EPA Regional Administrator may determine MACT emission limitations on a case-by-case basis—if the permitting authority has not yet established procedures for requiring MACT on constructed or reconstructed major sources. This action is needed in order to ensure that major sources can obtain MACT determinations required for construction or reconstruction in those jurisdictions where permitting authorities require extra time to establish procedures to implement the section 112(g) rule. Because the ability of major sources to obtain permits after June 29, 1999 depends upon the timely issuance of this rule, this amendment is being issued as a direct final rule in the final rules section of this **Federal Register**.

DATES: *Comments.* EPA will accept comments regarding this proposal on or before July 10, 1999. Additionally, a public hearing regarding this proposal will be held if anyone requesting to speak at a public hearing contacts the EPA by July 7, 1999. If a hearing is requested, the hearing will be held on July 14, 1999 beginning at 10:00 a.m.

ADDRESSES: *Comments.* Comments should be submitted (in duplicate, if possible) to: Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (6102), Attention Docket No. A-91-64 (see docket section below), Room M-1500, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460. EPA requests that a separate copy also be sent to the contact person listed below.

Public Hearing. If a public hearing is held, it will be held at the EPA's Office of Administration Auditorium, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina on July 14, 1999 beginning at 10 a.m. Persons requesting to speak at or interested in

attending a public hearing concerning this proposal should contact Ms. Kathy Kaufman, Information Transfer and Program Integration Division (MD-12), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, telephone (919) 541-0102.

Docket. Docket No. A-91-64, containing the supporting information for the original Regulations Governing Equivalent Emission Limitations by Permit rule is available for public inspection and copying between 8:00 a.m. and 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, at the EPA's Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (6102), 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460, or by calling (202) 260-7548. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying. An electronic version of this rule is available for download through the EPA web site at: <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/oarpg>. For further information and general questions regarding the Technology Transfer Network (TTNWEB), call Mr. Hersch Rorex (919) 541-5637 or Mr. Phil Dickerson (919) 541-4814.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Kathy Kaufman, Information Transfer and Program Integration Division (MD-12), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, telephone (919) 541-0102.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If EPA does not receive timely adverse comments or a timely hearing request concerning this proposed rule, no further action will be taken concerning this proposal, and the direct final rule in the final rules section of this **Federal Register** will automatically go into effect on the date specified in that rule. If EPA receives timely adverse comment or a timely hearing request, we will publish a withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the direct final rule will not take effect. In that event, we will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposal. The EPA will not provide further opportunity for public comment on this action. All parties interested in commenting on this amendment must do so at this time. Electronic comments and data may be submitted by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: a-and-r-docket@epamail.epa.gov. Submit comments as an ASCII file, avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Comments and data will also be accepted on diskette in Word Perfect 5.1 or 6.1 or ACSII file format. Identify all comments and data in electronic form by the docket numbers A-91-64. No Confidential Business Information (CBI) should be submitted

through electronic mail. Electronic comments may be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries.

This action extends the time period (up to 30 months) during which the EPA Regional Administrator may determine MACT emission limitations on a case-by-case basis, if the permitting authority has not yet established procedures for requiring MACT on constructed or reconstructed major sources. For an additional explanation of the nature of the proposed amendment, the detailed rationale supporting the amendment, and the rule provision, see the information provided in the direct final rule in the final rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Administrative Requirements

A. Docket

The docket for this regulatory action is A-91-64, the same docket as the original final rule, and a copy of today's amendment to the final rule will be included in the docket. The principle purposes of the docket are: (1) to allow interested parties a means to identify and locate documents so that they can effectively participate in the rulemaking process; and (2) to serve as the record in case of judicial review (except for interagency review materials) (Section 307(d)(7)(A) of the Act). The docket is available for public inspection at the EPA's Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, the location of which is given in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

B. Paper Reduction Act

The information collection requirements of the previously promulgated rule for Regulations Governing Equivalent Emission Limitations by Permit were submitted to and approved by the Office of Management and Budget. A copy of this Information Collection Request (ICR) document (ICR No. 1658.01) may be obtained from Sandy Farmer, OPPE Regulatory Information Division (2136), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460, or by calling (202) 260-2740. Today's change to the final rule does not affect the information collection burden estimates made previously. Therefore, the ICR has not been revised.

C. Analysis Under E.O. 12866, the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, and the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996

Because the regulatory revisions that are the subject of today's notice would delay an existing requirement, this

action is not a "significant" regulatory action within the meaning of Executive Order 12866, and does not impose any Federal mandate on State, local and tribal governments or the private sector within the meaning of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995. Further, the EPA has determined that it is not necessary to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis in connection with this action under the Regulatory Flexibility Act and the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996. The regulatory change proposed here is not expected to affect the regulatory burdens on small businesses, and will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Therefore, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

D. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Under Section 12 of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995, the EPA must consider the use of "voluntary consensus standards," if available and applicable, when implementing policies and programs, unless it would be "inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical." The intent of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act is to reduce the costs to the private and public sectors by requiring federal agencies to draw upon any existing, suitable technical standards used in commerce or industry.

A "voluntary consensus standard" is a technical standard developed or adopted by a legitimate standards-developing organization. The Act defines "technical standards" as "performance-based or design-specific technical specifications and related management systems practices." A legitimate standards-developing organization must produce standards by consensus and observe principles of due process, openness, and balance of interests. Examples of organizations that are regarded as legitimate standards-developing organizations include the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), International Organization for Standardization (ISO), International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC), American Petroleum Institute (API), National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) and Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE).

Since today's action does not involve the establishment or modification of technical standards, the requirements of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act do not apply.

E. Executive Order 13045—Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks

Executive Order 13045, entitled Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), applies to any rule that (1) OMB determines is "economically significant" as defined under Executive Order 12866, and (2) EPA determines the environmental health or safety risk addressed by the rule has a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety aspects of the planned rule on children, and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

These regulatory revisions are not subject to the Executive Order because it is not economically significant as defined in E.O. 12866, and because the Agency does not have reason to believe the environmental health or safety risks addressed by this action present a disproportionate risk to children.

F. Executive Order 13084—Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

Under Executive Order 13084, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the tribal governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies by consulting, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of Indian tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities."

Today's rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. These rule

revisions impose no enforceable duties on these entities. Accordingly, the requirements of Section 3(b) of Executive Order 13084 do not apply to this rule.

G. Executive Order 12875: Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership

Under Executive Order 12875, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute and that creates a mandate upon a State, local or tribal government, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by those governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies by consulting, Executive Order 12875 requires EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected State, local and tribal governments, the nature of their concerns, copies of any written communications from the governments, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 12875 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of State, local and tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory proposals containing significant unfunded mandates."

Today's rule changes do not create a mandate on State, local or tribal governments. The rule changes do not impose any additional enforceable duties on these entities. Accordingly, the requirements of Section 1(a) of Executive Order 12875 do not apply to this rule.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 63

Environmental protection, Administrative practices and procedures, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: June 24, 1999.

Carol M. Browner,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 99-16682 Filed 6-29-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 80, 85 and 86

[AMS-FRL-6369-5]

RIN 2060-A123

Control of Air Pollution from New Motor Vehicles: Proposed Tier 2 Motor Vehicle Emissions Standards and Gasoline Sulfur Control Requirements

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Clarification of Proposed Rule, Provision of Supplemental Information and Request for Comment.

SUMMARY: EPA published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) on May 13, 1999, proposing a major program designed to significantly reduce the emissions from new passenger cars and light trucks, including pickup trucks, minivans, and sport-utility vehicles (the "Tier 2 program"). This program would provide for cleaner air by significantly reducing vehicle emissions that contribute to increased ambient levels of ozone and particulate matter (PM), as well as other types of pollution. The proposed program combines requirements for cleaner vehicles and requirements for lower levels of sulfur in gasoline. On May 14, 1999, a panel of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit ruled, among other things, that the recently-promulgated national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for ozone and PM represented unconstitutional delegations of authority, and remanded the record to EPA for further consideration. This document clarifies that the decision of the panel does not change EPA's proposed requirements for a Tier 2 program and does not impact EPA's proposed determination that the Tier 2 program is a necessary and appropriate regulatory program that would provide cleaner air and greater public health protection. This document also provides additional ozone modeling information that was not included in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. EPA welcomes comment on this document.

DATES: *Comments:* We must receive your comments on the May 13, 1999 NPRM and on this document by August 2, 1999.

ADDRESSES: *Comments:* You may send written comments in paper form or by E-mail. Send paper copies of written comments (in duplicate if possible) to Public Docket No. A-97-10 at the following address: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Air Docket (6102), Room M-1500, 401 M Street,

S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460. If possible, we also encourage you to send an electronic copy of your comments (in ASCII format) to the docket by e-mail to A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov or on a 3.5 inch diskette accompanying your paper copy. If you wish, you may send your comments by E-mail to the docket at the address listed above without the submission of a paper copy, but a paper copy will ensure the clarity of your comments.

Please also send a separate paper copy to the contact person listed below. If you send comments by E-mail alone, we ask that you send a copy of the E-mail message that contains the comments to the contact person listed below.

EPA's Air Docket is open from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except on government holidays. You can reach the Air Docket by telephone at (202) 260-7548 and by facsimile at (202) 260-4400. We may charge a reasonable fee for copying docket materials, as provided in 40 CFR Part 2.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carol Connell, U.S. EPA, National Vehicle and Fuels Emission Laboratory, 2000 Traverwood, Ann Arbor, MI 48105; Telephone (734) 214-4349, FAX (734) 214-4816, E-mail connell.carol@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

A. Tier 2 Proposal

On May 13, 1999, EPA published in the **Federal Register** its proposal to reduce emissions from light-duty vehicles (LDVs) and light-duty trucks (LDTs). 64 FR 26004. The proposal would also significantly reduce sulfur content in gasoline. The proposed program would phase in beginning in 2004. The program is projected to result in reductions of approximately 800,000 tons of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) per year by 2007 and 1,200,000 tons by 2010. It would eventually result in reductions of about 70 percent in emissions of NO_x from LDVs and LDTs nationwide by 2020. In addition, the proposed program would reduce the contribution of vehicles to other serious health and environmental problems, including particulate matter, visibility problems, toxic air pollutants, acid rain, and nitrogen loading of estuaries.

EPA proposed the standards for LDVs and LDTs pursuant to its authority under section 202 of the Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act). In particular, section 202(i) of the Act provides specific procedures that EPA must follow to determine whether Tier 2 standards for