under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

## The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

## § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Aerospatiale: Docket 98-NM-201-AD.

Applicability: Model ATR42–300 and ATR42–320 series airplanes, serial numbers 3 through 59 inclusive; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent fatigue damage on the outer wing and consequent reduced structural integrity of the wing, accomplish the following:

## **Corrective Action**

- (a) Prior to the accumulation of 33,000 total landings, or within 2,000 landings after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, accomplish paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this AD in accordance with Avions de Transport Regional Service Bulletin ATR42–57–0050, dated April 17, 1998.
- (1) Perform a high frequency eddy current inspection to detect cracking of the fastener hole located on the lower surface of the outer

wing near the spar/rib 15 junction. If any cracking is found, prior to further flight, repair the cracking in accordance with a method approved by either the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, or the Direction Générale de l'Aviation Civile (or its delegated agent).

(2) Perform cold working of the fastener hole located on the lower surface of the outer wing near the spar/rib 15 junction, and install a new fastener in the hole.

#### **Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

#### **Special Flight Permits**

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in French airworthiness directive 98–147–075(B), dated April 8, 1998.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 17, 1999.

## Vi L. Lipski,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 99–15929 Filed 6–22–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 96-NM-226-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737–200 Series Airplanes Modified in Accordance With Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) ST00969AT

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 737–200 series

airplanes. This proposal would require removal of the existing emergency floor path lighting system and replacement with an FAA-approved emergency floor path lighting system. This proposal is prompted by information indicating that the existing emergency floor path lighting system does not provide adequate lighting and cueing for safe evacuation of the airplane in the event of an emergency. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent such inadequate lighting and cueing, which could delay or impede the flight crew and passengers when exiting the airplane during an emergency.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by August 9, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 96–NM–226–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, Campus Building, 1701 Columbia Avenue, Suite 2–160, College Park, Georgia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Angela Compton, Aerospace Engineer, ACE-116A, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, One Crown Center, 1895 Phoenix Boulevard, suite 450, Atlanta, Georgia 30349; telephone (770) 703-6070; fax (770) 703-6097.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 96–NM–226–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

## **Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 96-NM–226-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

#### Discussion

The FAA has received information indicating that the photoluminescent emergency floor path lighting system installed on Boeing Model 737–200 series airplanes that have been modified in accordance with Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) ST00969AT does not provide adequate lighting and cueing for safe evacuation of the airplane in the event of an emergency. (This STC entails the installation of a SAF–T–GLO Aerospace Limited emergency floor path lighting system.)

As specified in section 121.310(c)(3) of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 121.310), airplanes that are type certificated after January 1, 1958, must, after November 26, 1986, include floor proximity emergency escape path marking requirements which meet the requirements of section 25.812(e) of this chapter that were in effect on November 26, 1994. Investigation revealed that the system does not comply with the certification requirements specified in section 25.812 as of November 26, 1994.

Such inadequate lighting and cueing of the escape path, if not corrected, could impede or delay the flight crew and passengers when exiting the airplane during an emergency.

## **Issuance of New Design Information**

The FAA received an application for a type design change and has issued Supplemental Type Certification (STC) ST01829AT, dated February 11, 1999. The STC describes the installation of SAF-T-GLO Aerospace Limited's photoluminescent floor proximity emergency escape path marking system (FPEEPMS), which is a hybrid photoluminescent system that

incorporates both electrical and photoluminescent parts.

# **Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule**

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require removal of the existing emergency floor path lighting system and replacement with an FAA-approved emergency floor path lighting system.

#### **Cost Impact**

There are approximately 40 Boeing Model 737-200 series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 4 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 12 work hours per airplane to accomplish the removal of the system, and at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. It would take approximately 40 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed replacement with an FAA-approved system. Required parts for the replacement would cost approximately \$10,000 for a new system, per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$524,800, or \$13,120 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

## **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this

action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

## § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

## Boeing: Docket 96-NM-226-AD.

Applicability: Model 737–200 series airplanes equipped with SAF–T–GL0 Aerospace Limited emergency floor path lighting systems installed in accordance with Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) ST00969AT, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent inadequate lighting and cueing of the emergency floor path lighting system, which could delay or impede the flight crew and passengers when exiting the airplane during an emergency, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 120 days after the effective date of this AD, remove the existing photoluminescent emergency floor path lighting system from the airplane. Replace it with an emergency floor path lighting system in accordance with Supplemental Type Certificate ST01829AT, dated February 11, 1999, or an FAA-approved emergency floor path lighting system that is installed in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Small Airplane Directorate.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Atlanta ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Atlanta ACO.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Atlanta ACO.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 17, 1999.

## Dorenda D. Baker,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 99–15928 Filed 6–22–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-CE-119-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; Pilatus Aircraft Ltd. Models PC-12 and PC-12/ 45 Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) that would apply to certain Pilatus Aircraft Ltd. (Pilatus) Models PC-12 and PC-12/45 airplanes. The proposed AD would require inspecting all flap actuators in the internal gear system to assure that correct end-play and backlash measurements exist, and accomplishing any corrective adjustments as necessary. The proposed AD would also require incorporating a temporary revision into the Pilot's Operating Handbook (POH) in order to update operating procedures for the flap actuators; and would require incorporating temporary revisions to the maintenance manual in order to make the proposed inspection part of the future maintenance program. The proposed AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Switzerland. The actions specified by the proposed AD are

intended to prevent premature wear of the internal gear system caused by excessive backlash in the flight control flap actuators, which could eventually result in loss of actuator output with possible reduced or loss of airplane control.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 28, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–CE–119–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, holidays excepted.

Service information that applies to the proposed AD may be obtained from Pilatus Aircraft Ltd., Customer Liaison Manager, CH–6371 Stans, Switzerland; telephone: +41 41 619 63 19; facsimile: +41 41 610 33 51. This information also may be examined at the Rules Docket at the address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Roman T. Gabrys, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 426–6932; facsimile: (816) 426–2169.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following

statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 98–CE–119–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

## **Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–CE–119–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

## Discussion

The Federal Office for Civil Aviation (FOCA), which is the airworthiness authority for Switzerland, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Pilatus Models PC–12 and PC–12/45 airplanes. The FOCA of Switzerland reports excessive backlash found in the flap actuators of the internal gear system. Excessive backlash will lead to premature wear of the gear.

This condition, if not detected and corrected, could result in internal failure of the internal gear system with loss of actuator output and possible reduced or loss of airplane control.

## **Relevant Service Information**

Pilatus has issued Service Bulletin No. 27–005, November 18, 1998, which specifies procedures for:

- —Inspecting all flap actuators in the internal gear system to assure that correct end-play and backlash measurements exist;
- —Incorporating both Temporary Revision No. 27–04, and Temporary Revision No. 04–01, both dated November 18, 1998; into the Pilatus PC–12 Maintenance Manual; and
- —Incorporating PC-12 Pilot's Operating Handbook, Pilatus Report No. 01973– 001, Temporary Revision No. 4, Flap Actuators, dated November 18, 1998.

The FOCA of Switzerland classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued Swiss AD HB 98–460, dated November 23, 1998, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Switzerland.

## The FAA's Determination

This airplane model is manufactured in Switzerland and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the FOCA of Switzerland has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above.