39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Rolls-Royce plc: Docket No. 99-NE-26-AD.

Applicability: Rolls-Royce plc (R–R) Tay 620–15, Tay 650–15, and Tay 651–54 series turbofan engines, installed on but not limited to Fokker F.28 Mark 0070 series, Fokker F.28 Mark 0100 series, and Boeing 727 series aircraft.

Note 1: This airworthiness directive (AD) applies to each engine identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For engines that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent emergency fuel shutoff cable failure, which could result in the non-operation of the emergency fuel shut-off system in the event of a low pressure shaft failure, accomplish the following:

(a) Perform initial and repetitive visual inspections of the emergency fuel shutoff cable for broken strands or failed cables as follows:

- (1) Initially inspect the emergency fuel shutoff cable within 1,000 hours time-inservice (TIS) after the effective date of this ΔD
- (i) If the emergency fuel shutoff cable has no strands broken, re-inspect within 1000 hours TIS after the inspection.
- (ii) If the emergency fuel shutoff cable has 1, 2, or 3 strands broken, re-inspect within 800 hours TIS after the inspection.
- (iii) If the emergency fuel shutoff cable has 4, 5, or 6 strands broken, replace the cable within 100 hours TIS after the inspection.
- (iv) If the emergency fuel shutoff cable has 7 or more strands broken, or the cable has failed, replace the cable within 25 hours TIS after the inspection.
- (2) Thereafter, perform inspections of the emergency fuel shutoff cable and replace the emergency fuel shutoff cable as provided in paragraph (a)(1) of this AD.

Note 2: Information on inspection of the emergency fuel shutoff cable and replacement of cables may be found in R-R

Service Bulletin (SB) No. Tay 76–1434, Revision 1, dated August 28, 1998, and Maintenance Manual 76–23–00.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Engine Certification Office. Operators shall submit their request through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Engine Certification Office.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the Engine Certification Office.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with § 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the aircraft to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on June 15, 1999.

Jay J. Pardee,

Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 99–15904 Filed 6–22–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-72-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 767 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the supersedure of an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Boeing Model 767 series airplanes, that currently requires repetitive inspections to detect cracking or damage of the forward and aft lugs of the diagonal brace of the nacelle strut, and follow-on actions, if necessary. That AD also provides optional terminating action for the repetitive inspections. This proposal would require accomplishment of the previously optional terminating action. This proposal is prompted by a report that a fractured diagonal brace lug was found during a routine maintenance inspection. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent cracking of the diagonal brace of the nacelle strut, which could result in failure of the diagonal brace, and consequent fatigue failure of a strut

secondary load path and separation of the engine and strut.

DATES: Comments must be received by August 9, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99–NM–72–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James G. Rehrl, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120S, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington

Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2783; fax (425) 227–1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 99–NM–72–AD." The

postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-72-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

On March 17, 1999, the FAA issued AD 99-07-06, amendment 39-11091 (64 FR 14578, March 26, 1999), applicable to certain Boeing Model 767 series airplanes, to require repetitive inspections to detect cracking or damage of the forward and aft lugs of the diagonal brace of the nacelle strut, and follow-on actions, if necessary. That action also provides optional terminating action for the repetitive inspections. That action was prompted by a report that a fractured diagonal brace lug was found during a routine maintenance inspection. The requirements of that AD are intended to detect and correct cracking of the diagonal brace of the nacelle strut, which could result in failure of the diagonal brace, and consequent fatigue failure of a strut secondary load path and separation of the engine and strut.

Actions Since Issuance of Previous Rule

In the preamble to AD 99-07-06, the FAA specified that the actions required by that AD were considered "interim action" and that further rulemaking action was being considered. The FAA has determined that further rulemaking action is indeed necessary; this proposed AD follows from that determination and would require accomplishment of the previously optional terminating action, in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-54A0094, dated May 22, 1998. (That service bulletin was referenced in AD 99-07-06 as the appropriate source of service information for accomplishment of the replacement.)

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would supersede AD 99–07–06 to continue to require repetitive inspections to detect cracking or damage of the forward and aft lugs of the diagonal brace of the nacelle strut, and follow-on actions, if necessary. In addition, this proposed AD would require accomplishment of the previously optional terminating

action for the repetitive inspection requirements.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 208 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 105 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD.

The inspections that are currently required by AD 99–07–06, and retained in this proposed AD, take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the currently required inspections on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$6,300, or \$60 per airplane, per inspection cycle.

The replacement that is proposed in this AD action would take approximately 8 work hours (4 work hours for each strut) per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$50,000 per airplane.

Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed replacement required by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$5,300,400, or \$50,480 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the current or proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the

location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing amendment 39–11091 (64 FR 14578, March 26, 1999), and by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD), to read as follows:

Boeing: Docket 99–NM–72–AD. Supersedes AD 99–07–06, amendment 39–11091.

Applicability: Model 767 series airplanes; as listed in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767–54A0094, dated May 22, 1998; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (f) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent cracking of the diagonal brace of the nacelle strut, which could result in failure of the diagonal brace, and consequent fatigue failure of a strut secondary load path and separation of the engine and strut, accomplish the following:

Restatement of Requirements of AD 99-07-06

Initial Inspection

(a) Perform a detailed visual inspection to detect cracking or damage of the forward and aft lugs of the diagonal brace of the nacelle strut, on the left and right sides of the airplane, in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767–54A0094, dated May 22, 1998. Perform the inspection at the time specified in paragraph (a)(1) or (a)(2) of this AD, as applicable.

- (1) For airplanes in Groups 1, 3, and 4: Inspect prior to the accumulation of 12,000 total flight cycles, or within 90 days after April 12, 1999 (the effective date of AD 99–07–06, amendment 39–11091), whichever occurs later.
- (2) For airplanes in Group 2: Inspect prior to the accumulation of 24,000 total flight cycles, or within 90 days after April 12, 1999, whichever occurs later.

Follow-On Actions

- (b) If no cracking or damage is detected during the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, repeat the inspection thereafter at the interval specified in paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this AD, as applicable, in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767–54A0094, dated May 22, 1998. Repeat the inspection until the actions specified by paragraph (d) or (e) of this AD have been accomplished.
- (1) For airplanes in Groups 1, 3, and 4; and for airplanes in Group 2 on which the diagonal brace has accumulated more than 32,000 total flight cycles: Repeat the inspection at intervals not to exceed 1,000 flight cycles.
- (2) For airplanes in Group 2 on which the diagonal brace has accumulated 32,000 or fewer total flight cycles: Repeat the inspection at intervals not to exceed 3,000 flight cycles.
- (c) If any cracking or damage is detected during any inspection required by paragraph (a) or (b) of this AD, prior to further flight, remove the diagonal brace and perform additional inspections to detect damage of the strut secondary load paths, in accordance with Part 4 of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767–54A0094, dated May 22, 1998; and accomplish the requirements of paragraphs (c)(1) and, if applicable, (c)(2) of this AD.
- (1) Prior to further flight, replace the onepiece diagonal brace with a new three-piece diagonal brace, in accordance with Part 3 of the Accomplishment Instructions of the alert service bulletin. Such replacement constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.
- (2) If any additional damage of the alternate load paths is detected, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate; or in accordance with data meeting the type certification basis of the airplane approved by a Boeing Company Designated Engineering Representative who has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make such findings.
- (d) For airplanes on which no cracking is detected during the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, in lieu of accomplishing repetitive inspections in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD, rework of the forward and aft lugs of the diagonal brace may be accomplished in accordance with Part 2 of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767–54A0094, dated May 22, 1998. If such rework is accomplished: Within 12,000 flight cycles after the rework, repeat the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD; and, prior to the accumulation

of 37,500 total flight cycles on the diagonal brace, replace the one-piece diagonal brace with a new three-piece diagonal brace, in accordance with Part 3 of the Accomplishment Instructions of the alert service bulletin. Such replacement constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

New Requirements of This AD

Terminating Action

(e) Prior to the accumulation of 37,500 total flight cycles, or within 180 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later: Replace the one-piece diagonal brace with a new three-piece diagonal brace, in accordance with Part 3 of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767–54A0094, dated May 22, 1998. Such replacement constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(f) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

Special Flight Permits

(g) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 17,

Dorenda D. Baker,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 99–15931 Filed 6–22–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-NM-137-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Short Brothers Model SD3-30, SD3-60, SD3 SHERPA, and SD3-60 SHERPA Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Short Brothers Model SD3-30, SD3-60, SD3 SHERPA, and SD3-60 SHERPA series airplanes. This proposal would require a one-time borescope inspection to detect corrosion of the shear decks and ribs of the left and right stub wings, follow-on corrective actions, if necessary; and drilling of new drain holes in the lower shear decks. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent corrosion of the stub wing shear decks and ribs, which could result in cracking or failure of the stub wing structure.

DATES: Comments must be received by July 23, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–NM-137–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Short Brothers, Airworthiness & Engineering Quality, P.O. Box 241, Airport Road, Belfast BT3 9DZ, Northern Ireland. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2110; fax (425) 227–1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.