

House of Representatives, the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the United States Senate, and the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. The matching program is subject to review by Congress and OMB and shall not become effective until that review period has elapsed.

David F. Lacy,

Armed Forces Retirement Home Board, Chair/CEO.

Computer Matching Program Between the Armed Forces Retirement Home and the Social Security Administration

A. Participating Agencies: AFRH and SSA.

B. Purpose of the Matching Program: The purpose of this computer matching program is to identify and verify the gross Social Security benefit earnings of each resident of the AFRH. This is necessary to properly assess correct resident fee amounts, which is required by 24 USC 414 to be a percentage of residents' monthly income and monthly payments (including federal payments).

C. Authority for Conducting the Matching Program: The Armed Forces Retirement Home Act of 1991, 24 USC 401-441, requires the Directors of the USSAH and USNH, which are incorporated under the Armed Forces Retirement Home, to collect from each resident a monthly resident fee. The fee is a percentage of residents' monthly income and monthly payments (including federal payments).

D. Records to be Matched: The SSA records involved in the match are the Supplemental Security Income Record, SSA/OSR, 09-60-0103, and the Master Beneficiary Record, SSA/OSR, 09-60-0090. The AFRH will provide a finder fee established from the AFRH Resident Fee Maintenance System (last published at 58 FR 68629).

E. Inclusive Dates of the Matching Program: This computer matching program is subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget and Congress. If there are no objections by either within 40 days, and the 30 day public notice period for comment has expired for this **Federal Register** notice with no significant adverse public comments, this computer matching program becomes effective and the respective agencies may begin the exchange of data at a mutually agreeable time and will be repeated on a semiannual basis. Under no circumstances shall the matching program be implemented before the 30 day public notice period for comment has elapsed as this time period cannot be waived. By agreement between SSA

and AFRH, the matching program will be in effect and continue for 18 months with an option to renew for 12 additional months unless one of the parties to the agreement advises the other by written request to terminate or modify the agreement.

F. Address for Receipt of Public Comments or Inquiries: U.S. Soldiers' and Airmen's Home, Resource Management Directorate, 3700 N Capitol Street NW, Washington, DC 20317-0002, (202) 722-3163.

[FR Doc. 99-15795 Filed 6-21-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8250-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No 1041]

Grant of Authority for Subzone Status; Komatsu Latin-America Corporation (Construction/Mining Equipment Components and Products), Miami, Florida

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Foreign-Trade Zones Act provides for " * * * the establishment * * * of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes," and authorizes the Foreign-Trade Zones Board to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs ports of entry; Whereas, the Board's regulations (15 CFR Part 400) provide for the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved, and when the activity results in a significant public benefit and is in the public interest;

Whereas, the Greater Miami Foreign-Trade Zone, Inc., grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 32, has made application to the Board for authority to establish special-purpose subzone status at the construction and mining equipment components and products warehousing/distribution (non-manufacturing) facility of Komatsu Latin-America Corporation, located in Miami, Florida, (FTZ Docket 19-98, filed 4/6/98, and amended 11/30/98);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment has been given in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 18363, 4/15/98 and 63 FR 67645, 12/8/98); and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the

examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application, as amended, is in the public interest;

Now, Therefore, the Board hereby grants authority for subzone status at the construction and mining equipment parts warehousing/distribution facility of Komatsu Latin-America Corporation, located in Miami, Florida, (Subzone 32B), at the location described in the application, as amended, and subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28. The scope of authority does not include activity conducted under FTZ procedures that would result in a change in tariff classification.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 11th day of June 1999.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-15858 Filed 6-21-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1040]

Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 40, Cleveland, Ohio

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 40, submitted an application to the Board for authority to expand FTZ 40 to include four new sites at the Emerald Valley Business Park (Site 5), the Collinwood Industrial Park (Site 6), the Water Tower Industrial Park (Site 7) and the Strongsville Industrial Park (Site 8) in Cuyahoga County, Ohio, within the Cleveland Customs port of entry (FTZ Docket 31-98; filed 6/15/98);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 34144, 6/23/98) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and

that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to expand FTZ 40 is approved, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 11th day of June 1999.

Robert S. LaRossa,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-15857 Filed 6-21-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-815]

Certain Welded Stainless Steel Pipe from Taiwan; Final Results of Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of administrative review.

SUMMARY: On May 15, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the 1992-1993 and 1993-1994 administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order on certain welded stainless steel pipe from Taiwan (A-583-815). These reviews cover one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise during the periods June 22, 1992 through November 30, 1993 and December 1, 1993 through November 30, 1994.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based upon our analysis of the comments received we have not changed the results from those presented in our preliminary results of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 22, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert James at (202) 482-5222 or John Kugelman at (202) 482-0649, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

APPLICABLE STATUTE AND REGULATIONS: Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act) and to the Department's regulations are in reference to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 30, 1992, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on welded stainless steel pipe (WSSP) from Taiwan (57 FR 62300). On November 26, 1993, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" for the period June 22, 1992 through November 30, 1993 (58 FR 62326). In accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a)(1), respondent Ta Chen Stainless Pipe Co., Ltd. (Ta Chen) requested that we conduct a review of its sales for this period. On January 18, 1994, we published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an antidumping duty administrative review covering the period June 22, 1992 through November 30, 1993. The Department subsequently published a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" for the period December 1, 1993 through November 30, 1994 on December 6, 1994 (59 FR 62710). Again, Ta Chen requested a review of its sales for this period. On January 13, 1995, we published in the **Federal Register** our notice of initiation of the second administrative review (60 FR 3192).

We published the preliminary results of these reviews in the **Federal Register** on May 15, 1997 (Certain Welded Stainless Steel Pipe From Taiwan; Notice of Preliminary Results of Administrative Reviews, 62 FR 26776 (Preliminary Results)). Ta Chen filed a case brief on September 3, 1997; petitioners¹ submitted their rebuttal brief on September 10, 1997. The Department held a hearing on October 21, 1997.

The Department has now completed these reviews in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act.

Scope of the Review

The merchandise subject to these administrative reviews is certain welded austenitic stainless steel pipe (WSSP) that meets the standards and specifications set forth by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) for the welded form of chromium-nickel pipe designated

ASTM A-312. The merchandise covered by the scope of the order also includes austenitic welded stainless steel pipes made according to the standards of other nations which are comparable to ASTM A-312.

WSSP is produced by forming stainless steel flat-rolled products into a tubular configuration and welding along the seam. WSSP is a commodity product generally used as a conduit to transmit liquids or gases. Major applications for WSSP include, but are not limited to, digester lines, blow lines, pharmaceutical lines, petrochemical stock lines, brewery process and transport lines, general food processing lines, automotive paint lines, and paper process machines.

Imports of WSSP are currently classifiable under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) subheadings: 7306.40.5005, 7306.04.5015, 7306.40.5040, 7306.40.5065, and 7306.40.5085. Although these subheadings include both pipes and tubes, the scope of this investigation is limited to welded austenitic stainless steel pipes. Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

The periods for these reviews are June 22, 1992 through November 30, 1993 and December 1, 1993 through November 30, 1994. These reviews cover one manufacturer/exporter, Ta Chen, and its wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary, Ta Chen International (TCI) (collectively, Ta Chen).

Analysis of Comments Received

Due to the number of individual and company names and the importance of the timing of events in these reviews, that history is summarized briefly here. The comments that follow concern our application of adverse best information available (BIA) as the basis for Ta Chen's margins in the preliminary results of these reviews. Our decision to resort to BIA resulted from Ta Chen's dealings with two U.S. customers, referred to in the Preliminary Results as "Company A" and "Company B" to protect their identities. Ta Chen has since entered the names of these customers into the public record of these reviews and we here identify them by name: Company A is San Shing Hardware Works, USA (San Shing), and Company B is Sun Stainless, Inc. (Sun). San Shing and Sun were both established by current or former managers and officers of Ta Chen, were staffed entirely by current or former Ta Chen employees, and distributed only

¹ Avesta Sheffield Pipe, Damascus Tube Division, Damascus-Bishop Tube Co., and the United Steel Workers of America (AFL-CIO/CLC).