

Limited Disclosure of Business Proprietary Information (BPI) Under an Administrative Protective Order (APO) and BPI Service List

Pursuant to § 207.7(a) of the Commission's rules, the Secretary will make BPI gathered in this investigation available to authorized applicants representing interested parties (as defined in 19 U.S.C. 1677(9)) who are parties to the investigation under the APO issued in the investigation, provided that the application is made not later than seven days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

Conference

The Commission's Director of Operations has scheduled a conference in connection with this investigation for 9:30 a.m. on June 28, 1999, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC. Parties wishing to participate in the conference should contact Jim McClure (202-205-3191) not later than June 23, 1999, to arrange for their appearance. Parties in support of the imposition of antidumping duties in this investigation and parties in opposition to the imposition of such duties will each be collectively allocated one hour within which to make an oral presentation at the conference. A nonparty who has testimony that may aid the Commission's deliberations may request permission to present a short statement at the conference.

Written Submissions

As provided in §§ 201.8 and 207.15 of the Commission's rules, any person may submit to the Commission on or before July 1, 1999, a written brief containing information and arguments pertinent to the subject matter of the investigation. Parties may file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the conference no later than three days before the conference. If briefs or written testimony contain BPI, they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the investigation must be served on all other parties to the investigation (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely

filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: This investigation is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.12 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: June 10, 1999.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-15216 Filed 6-15-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, and section 122 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622, notice is hereby given that on May 21, 1999, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. City of Grand Rapids, Michigan, et. al.*, Civil Action No. 1:99 CV 388, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, Southern Division. This consent decree represents a settlement of claims brought by the United States, pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601 *et seq.*, against 53 Settling Defendants for reimbursement of response costs and injunctive relief in connection with the Butterworth No. 2 Landfill Superfund Site ("Site") located in Grand Rapids, Kent County, Michigan.

Under this settlement with the United States, the Settling Defendants will implement most of the remedy for the Site as set forth in the Record of Decision issued by the United States Environmental Protection Agency in March 1992 and as modified by an Explanation of Significant Differences dated October 1998. The decree reserves a portion of the remedial work, which the United States will seek to have non-settlors perform.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. City of Grand Rapids, Michigan, et al.*, D.J. Ref. 90-11-2-145A.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Grand Rapids, Michigan, at the Region 5 Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590, and the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$33.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 99-15204 Filed 6-15-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on June 1, 1999, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. NationsBank, N.A.* Civil Action No. 1:99-0264-06 was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina.

In this action the United States sought the recovery of past costs incurred in response to releases and threatened releases of hazardous substances at the Clearwater Finishing Superfund Site in Clearwater, Aiken County, South Carolina. The Consent Decree represents a settlement with one of the potential responsible parties listed in the Amended Complaint for violations of Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. 9607. Under the Consent Decree, NationsBank, N.A. has agreed to pay the United States \$300,000. The United States has incurred approximately \$1,182,000.00. The Amended Complaint names three additional parties.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. NationsBank, N.A.* D.J. Ref. Number 90-11-3-06135.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United

States Attorney, for the District of South Carolina, First Union Building, 1441 Main Street, Suite 500, Columbia, South Carolina 29201, at U.S. EPA Region IV, 61 Forsyth Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$4.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.
[FR Doc. 99-15205 Filed 6-15-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Florida Rock Industries, Inc., et al.; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation and Order, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court in the Middle District of Florida, Jacksonville Division, Civil No. 99-516-CIV-J-20A.

On May 26, 1999, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that the proposed acquisition by Florida Rock of the stock of Harper Bros. and Commercial Testing, Inc. would violate section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The proposed Final Judgment, filed the same time as the Complaint, requires Florida Rock to divest the Alico Road Quarry, Fort Myers, Florida, the Palmdale Sand Mine, Palmdale, Florida, and related assets that it will obtain in connection with the acquisition of Harper Bros. and Testing.

Public comment is invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. Such comments and responses thereto will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to J. Robert Kramer, Chief, Litigation II Section, Antitrust Division, United States Department of Justice, 1401 H Street, NW., Suite 3000, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: 202/307-0924).

Copies of the Complaint, Stipulation and Order, Proposed Final Judgment, and Competitive Impact Statement are

available for inspection in Room 215 of the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 325 7th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530, (202) 514-2841. Copies of these materials may be obtained upon request and payment of a copying fee.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations & Merger Enforcement.

United States District Court, Middle District of Florida, Jacksonville Division

United States of America, Plaintiff, v. Florida Rock Industries, Inc.; Harper Bros., Inc.; Commercial Testing, Inc.; and Daniel R. Harper, Defendants [Civil No.: 99-516-CIV-J-20A].

Stipulation and Order

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, as follows:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over each of the parties hereto, and venue of this action is proper in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida.

2. The parties stipulate that a Final Judgment in the form hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of any party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. 16), and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that the United States has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice thereof on defendants and by filing that notice with the Court, on or before September 15, 1999.

3. Defendants shall abide by and comply with the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment pending entry of the Final Judgment or until expiration of time for all appeals of any court ruling declining entry of the proposed Final Judgment, and shall, from the date of the signing of this Stipulation by the parties, comply with all the terms and provisions of the proposed Final Judgment as though they were in full force and effect as an order of the Court.

4. Defendants shall not consummate the transaction sought to be enjoined by the Complaint herein before the Court has signed the Hold Separate Stipulation and Order.

5. This Stipulation shall apply with equal force and effect to any amended proposed Final Judgment agreed upon in writing by the parties and submitted to the Court.

6. In the event (a) the United States has withdrawn its consent, as provided in paragraph 2 above, or (b) the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation, the time has expired for all appeals of any Court ruling declining entry of the proposed Final Judgment, and the Court has not otherwise ordered continued compliance with the terms and provisions of the proposed Final Judgment, then the parties are released from all further obligations under this Stipulation, and the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to any party in this or any other proceeding.

7. Defendants represent that the divestiture ordered in the proposed Final Judgment can and will be made, and that the defendants will later raise no claim of hardship or difficulty as grounds for asking the Court to modify any of the divestiture provisions contained therein.

Dated: May 25, 1999.

For Plaintiff United States

Frederick H. Parmenter,

U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, Litigation II Section, Suite 3000, Washington, D.C. 20530, Telephone: (202) 307-0620, Facsimile: (202) 307-6283.

For Defendant Florida Rock Industries, Inc.

Eugene J. Meigher,

Arent Fox,

1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036-5339, Telephone: (202) 857-6048, Facsimile: (202) 857-6395.

Lewis S. Lee,

LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae, 50 N. Laura Street, Jacksonville, Florida 32202-3650, Telephone: (904) 630-5322, Facsimile: (904) 353-1673.

For Defendants Harper Bros., Inc.,

Commercial Testing, Inc. and Daniel R.

Harper

Neil Imus,

Vinson & Elkins L.L.P., The Willard Office Building, 1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20004-1008, Telephone: (202) 639-6675, Facsimile: (202) 639-6604.

Order

Approved for entry and ordered ¹ this 27th day of May, 1999, at Jacksonville, Florida.

Harvey E. Schlessinger,

United States District Judge.

United States of America, Plaintiff v.

Florida Rock Industries, Inc.; Harper Bros., Inc.; Commercial Testing, Inc.; and Daniel R. Harper, Defendants. [Civil No.: 99-516-CIV-J-20A.]

Hold Separate Stipulation and Order

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the undersigned parties,

¹ Final Judgment and Proposed Final Judgment mean the same thing.