

Summary: EPA had no objections to the proposed drawdown of the Alligator Chain and Lake Gentry in order to promote fisheries production and recreational opportunities.

ERP No. D-FAA-D51026-00 Rating EC2, Potomac Consolidated Terminal (PCT) Radar Approach Control Facility (TRACON), To consolidated four TRACON in Baltimore-Washington Metro Terminal Area, Possible Site is Vint Hill Farms, VA, DC and MD.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern regarding the proposed action as it relates to future air traffic actions. EPA requested clarification of this issue.

ERP No. D-FAA-F51044-OH Rating EO2, Toledo Express Airport (TOL), Proposed Noise Compatibility Plan Air Traffic Actions and Proposed Aviation Related Industrial Development, Airport Layout Plan and Funding, Lucas County, OH.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections due to potentially significant noise impacts. EPA expressed detailed comments regarding the generic nature of the DEIS and the lack of interagency coordination along with detailed comments on noise, wetlands and supporting data.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-BOP-D80028-WV Preston County Federal Correctional Facility, Construction, Preston County, WV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential wetland impacts that should be avoided. Mitigation measures will be required for wetland impacts that cannot be avoided.

ERP No. F-BOP-D81030-WV Ohio and Tyler Counties Federal Correctional Facility, Construction and Operation, Three Possible Sites: Wheeling-Ohio County Airport Industrial Park, Fort Henry and Iver Flats, Ohio and Tyler Counties, WV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns due to potential wetlands impacts. Mitigation measures will be required for wetland impacts (building or road placement) that cannot be avoided. EPA concurs generally with the decisions presented in this document and continues to encourage early delineation of the wetlands to allow the facility design to preferably avoid or minimize the wetlands impacts.

ERP No. F-TVA-E39038-TN Columbia Dam Component of the Duck River Project, Implementation, Use of Lands Acquired, Possible COE Section 404 Permit, Maury County, TN.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the

proposed reuse of lands and the attendant pollution potential associated with development. EPA also expressed concern about the development of the Fountain Creek Reservoir for water supply from a potential wetlands inundation and development perspective.

Dated: June 8, 1999.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 99-14905 Filed 6-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6358-8]

Good Neighbor Environmental Board

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency gives notice of a meeting of the Good Neighbor Environmental Board.

The Good Neighbor Environmental Board was created by the Enterprise for the Americas Initiative Act of 1992. An Executive Order delegates implementing authority to the Administrator of EPA. The Board is responsible for providing advice to the President and the Congress on environmental and infrastructure issues and needs within the States contiguous to Mexico in order to improve the quality of life of persons residing on the United States side of the border. The statute calls for the Board to have representatives from U.S. Government agencies; the governments of the States of Arizona, California, New Mexico and Texas; and private organizations with expertise on environmental and infrastructure problems along the southwest border. The Board meets three times annually. Members of the public are invited to provide oral and/or written comments to the Board. Time will be provided at the meeting to obtain input from the public.

DATES: The Board will meet on June 24 and 25, 1999. The Board will meet on June 24 from 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. and on June 25 from 8:30 a.m. to 2:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Marriott Hotel-University Park, Tucson, Arizona located at 880 E. 2nd Street. The meeting is open to the public, with limited seating on a first-come, first-served basis.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Melanie Medina-Ortiz, Designated Federal Officer, U.S. EPA, Office of Cooperative Environmental Management, telephone 202-260-2695.

Dated: May 27, 1999.

Melanie Medina-Ortiz,

Designated Federal Officer, Good Neighbor Environmental Board.

[FR Doc. 99-14862 Filed 6-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Science Advisory Board; Notice of Public Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that several Committees of the Science Advisory Board (SAB) will meet on the dates and times described below. All times noted are Eastern Time. All meetings are open to the public, however, seating is limited and available on a first come basis. Documents that are the subject of SAB reviews are normally available from the originating U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) office and are *not* available from the SAB Office. Public drafts of SAB reports are available to the Agency and the public from the SAB office. Details on availability are noted below.

1. Health and Ecological Effects Subcommittee (HEES)

The Health and Ecological Effects Subcommittee (HEES) of the Advisory Council on Clean Air Compliance Analysis (Council) will review the draft Prospective Study: Report to Congress, with a focus on the health and ecological aspects of the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) Section 812 Prospective Study data. The HEES will meet on Monday, June 28, 1999 from 9:30 am to 5:00 pm and Tuesday, June 29, 1999 from 9:00 am to 4:00 pm. The meeting will take place in the Science Advisory Board Conference Room M3709, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW, Washington, DC 20460. The last meeting of the HEES meeting was announced in the **Federal Register** [See 64 FR 15160, March 30, 1999]; a history of HEES Advisories can be found in that notice.

The draft charge to the HEES is as follows:

The Agency has requested that the Council—and its subsidiary HEES—review the forthcoming materials and provide advice to the Agency pursuant to the following general charge questions, consistent with the review

responsibilities of the Council as defined in section 812 of the CAAA90:

(a) It has been suggested to the Agency that the WHO (1996) study provides scientific evidence of the existence of a 15 year lag between changes in PM exposure and changes in associated adverse health effects. Heretofore, however, the Agency has interpreted the WHO authors' summing of incidences at the end of the 15 exposure period of the Dockery study as a matter of mathematical convenience, not evidence of the WHO authors' belief in the existence or magnitude of a lag between changes in exposure and changes in risk of adverse health effect. What is the SAB HEES view regarding the proper interpretation and use of the WHO (1996) study? Specifically, does the HEES believe it is reasonable to assume that, based on the WHO (1996) study or other evidence, there is no reduction in risk of adverse health consequences until 15 years following a reduction in PM exposure?

(b) Are the input data used for each component of the analysis sufficiently valid and reliable for the intended analytical purpose?

(c) Are the models, and the methodologies they employ, used for each component of the analysis sufficiently valid and reliable for the intended analytical purpose?

(d) If the answers to either of the two questions above is negative, what specific alternative assumptions, data or methodologies does the Council recommend the Agency consider using for the first prospective analysis?

For Further Information: (a) *Contacting Program Office Staff and Obtaining Review Materials*—To obtain copies of the draft documents pertaining to the CAA Section 812 Prospective Study, please contact Ms. Catrice Jefferson, Office Manager, Office of Policy Analysis and Review (OPAR), (Mail Code 6103), US Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460. Tel. (202) 260-5580; FAX (202) 260-9766, or via e-mail at: <jefferson.catrice@epa.gov>. To discuss technical aspects of the draft document pertaining to the CAAA-90 Section 812 Prospective Study: Report to Congress, please contact Mr. James DeMocker, Office of Policy Analysis and Review (OPAR) (Mail Code 6103), US Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460. Tel. (202) 260-8980; FAX (202) 260-9766, or via e-mail at: <democker.jim@epa.gov>.

(b) *Contacting SAB Staff and Obtaining Meeting Information*—To obtain copies of the meeting agendas or rosters of participants, please contact

Ms. Diana L. Pozun, Management Assistant to the Council and HEES, Science Advisory Board (1400), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC 20460; at Tel. (202) 260-8432; FAX (202) 260-7118; or via e-mail: <pozun.diana@epa.gov>. To discuss technical or logistical aspects of the Council and HEES subcommittee review process (Tel. (202) 260-4126; or via e-mail: <nugent.angela@epa.gov>), Designated Federal Officer to the Council and HEES, Science Advisory Board (1400), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington DC 20460, FAX (202) 260-7118 or Mr. Robert Flaak, Team Leader, Committee Operations Staff (Tel. (202) 260-5133; or via e-mail: <flaak.robert@epa.gov> at the same address.

(c) *Obtaining Copies of SAB Reports*—Copies of SAB prepared final reports mentioned in this **Federal Register** Notice may be obtained immediately from the SAB Home Page (www.epa.gov/sab) or by mail/fax from the SAB's Committee Evaluation and Support Staff at Tel. (202) 260-4126, or FAX (202) 260-1889. Please provide the SAB report number when making your request. *Draft* reports in progress can be obtained from Ms. Pozun once the Committee or Subcommittee Chair has released the draft.

2. Integrated Risk Project Peer Review Subcommittee

The Integrated Risk Project Peer Review Subcommittee of the Science Advisory Board (SAB) will meet on Thursday and Friday, July 1 and 2, 1999 in room 3709 at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA), Waterside Mall Headquarters Building, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460. The meeting will begin at 8:30 am and end no later than 5:30 pm each day.

Purpose of the Meeting: In 1995 EPA and the U.S. Congress asked the SAB to revisit and update the assessment of environmental risks and risk reduction strategies contained in the 1990 SAB report, *Reducing Risk: Setting Priorities and Strategies for Environmental Protection* (EPA-SAB-EC-90-021). EPA also asked the SAB to: explore additional techniques and criteria for identifying environmental risks; identify risk reduction opportunities and strategies, identify uncertainties and data quality issues associated with risk rankings; provide an assessment of the costs and benefits of various risk reduction options; and propose a new framework for assessing ecosystem value.

In conducting this project, the IRP Steering Committee decided to look beyond risk comparisons; to go beyond

strictly scientific considerations, and to explore the entire environmental decision-making and management process from their perspectives as scientists. In order to develop a more integrated, science-based environmental protection approach, the IRP Subcommittees considered the various technical analyses that underlie the process.

Two reports were produced. A short summary document for the general reader capturing the main points of the longer, technical, document which describe an overall framework for integrating supplemented by individual chapters on economic analysis, pollution, risk assessment, and valuation. These fall into three categories. Methodology (i.e., ecological and health effects, risk reduction options, the report card and the deliberation pieces of the valuation chapter), primer (i.e., economics), and philosophy (i.e., bulk of the valuation chapter).

The purpose for this meeting is to conduct a peer review of the reports which will be conducted by members of the SAB Executive Committee who did not participate in the IRP supported by consultants to the SAB who also did not work on the IRP project. The main focus of the Peer Review is the short report and the Steering Committee developed chapters of the long report (introduction, framework, performance evaluation, and the decision making approach) since these provide the main messages on the integration concept that we are recommending to the Agency. The individual Subcommittee reports will also be reviewed by the Peer Review Committee.

Proposed Charge Questions: The proposed charge questions are:

Charge Question 1. Does the integrated framework document as a whole provide a useful and scientifically valid concept for the Agency to develop processes and procedures for integrated environmental decision-making?

Charge Question 2. Do the chapters on ecology, health, risk reduction options, report card, and the deliberative portion of the valuation chapter describe adequate and useful methods for addressing/ranking risks?

Charge Questions 3. Does the document provide an adequate and useful description for how this information might be linked in decision-making?

Charge Question 4. Does the economics chapter provide an adequate and useful primer for economic analysis?

Charge Question 5. Does the chapter on valuation provide and adequate and

useful philosophy describing how to incorporate values into decision-making, clearly articulating that more than science is needed in the decision-making process?

Charge Question 6. Is the document clearly written, comprehensible and complete?

For Further Information Concerning the Meeting: The draft IRP reports are accessible via the SAB website (<http://www.epa.gov/sab>). For those without access to the web single copies of the document may be obtained by contacting Ms. Wanda Fields, Science Advisory Board (1400), US Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW., Washington DC 20460, telephone (202) 260-5510, fax (202) 260-7118; or via e-mail at: <fields.wanda@epa.gov>. Member of the public desiring additional information about the meeting should contact Dr. John R. Fowle III, Designated Federal Officer, Integrated Risk Project Peer Review Subcommittee, Science Advisory Board (1400), Room 3702F, U.S. EPA, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone/voice mail at (202) 260-8325; fax at (202) 260-7118; or via e-mail at <fowle.jack@epa.gov>. A copy of the draft agenda will be available approximately two weeks prior to the meeting on the SAB website (www.epa.gov/sab) or from Ms. Wanda Fields at the address and numbers noted above.

Members of the public who wish to make a brief oral presentation to the Subcommittee must contact Dr. Fowle in writing (by email, by letter or by fax—see previously stated information) no later than 12 noon Eastern Time, Thursday, June 24, 1999 in order to be included on the Agenda. Public comments will be limited to 10 minutes per speaker or organization. The request should identify the name of the individual making the presentation, the organization (if any) they will represent, any requirements for audio visual equipment (e.g., overhead projector, 35 mm projector, chalkboard, etc), and at least 35 copies of an outline of the issues to be addressed or of the presentation itself.

3. Environmental Health Committee (EHC)

The Environmental Health Committee (EHC) of the Science Advisory Board (SAB), augmented by members of the FIFRA Scientific Advisory Panel and the EPA Children's Health Protection Advisory Committee, will meet on Tuesday and Wednesday, July 27-28, 1999 at the Sheraton Crystal Hotel, 1800 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington VA

22202. The hotel telephone number is 703-486-1111. the meeting will begin at 9 am and end no later than 5:30 pm.

Purpose of the Meeting: The EHC is meeting to provide advice and comment to EPA on certain revised sections of the EPA's Proposed Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment (The proposed guidelines were initially published in the **Federal Register**, Vol. 61, No. 79, April 23, 1996, pg. 17960, and were subsequently revised in December, 1998) and other issues related to childhood cancer. The Committee will examine the proposed cancer risk assessment approaches as they relate to children. Specifically, the Committee will address (a) the adequacy of the general guidance provided in various sections of the Guidelines; (b) the adequacy of the Guidelines to provide science-based assessments for use by risk managers in assessing the impact of their decisions on children; (c) the soundness of the default assumptions used in the absence of specific data; (d) the use of selected defaults as they pertain to children; (e) dose adjustment of children; (f) perinatal testing; (g) adjustments to slope factors for lifetime and partial lifetime exposure scenarios for children; and (h) exposure assessment. The Committee will also review EPA's responses to a set of nine questions concerning childhood cancer posed by the Agency's Children's Health Protection Advisory Committee to Administrator Browner by letter dated May 12, 1999. These questions range from the use of default values and the justification for departing from defaults, to latent risks and the research needed to evaluate the differential susceptibility of adults and children. The complete draft Charge for this meeting will be posted on the SAB Website (<http://www.epa.gov/sab>) by June 18, 1999.

At the public meeting, Agency staff and invited experts on carcinogenesis and pediatric issues will brief the Committee on revisions to the Proposed Guidelines. In concert with these presentations, EPA will present written background materials for the Subcommittee's information and consideration.

Availability of Review Materials: Copies of EPA primary background documents for the meeting may be obtained by contacting Dr. William Wood, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone: (202) 564-3358; e-mail to <wood.bill@epa.gov>. Anyone desiring additional information on the substantive issues to be addressed should also contact Dr. Wood as noted above.

For Further Information: Members of the public desiring additional information about the conduct of the public meeting itself should contact Mr. Samuel Rondberg, (1400), Designated Federal Officer, Environmental Health Committee, Science Advisory Board, U.S. EPA, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone/voice mail at (301) 812-2560; fax at (410) 286-2689; or via e-mail at <samuelsr717@aol.com>. A copy of the draft agenda will be available on the SAB Website (<http://www.epa.gov/sab>) or upon request from Ms. Wanda Fields at (202) 260-5510, or by FAX at (202) 260-7118 or via e-mail at <fields.wanda@epa.gov> no later than June 30, 1999.

Members of the public who wish to make a brief oral presentation to the Committee must contact Mr. Rondberg in writing (by letter, or by e-mail—see previously stated information) no later than 12 noon Eastern Time, July 20, 1999 in order to be included on the Agenda. These oral comments will be limited to ten minutes per speaker or organization. The request should identify the name of the individual making the presentation, the organization (if any) they will represent, any requirements for audio visual equipment (e.g., overhead projector, 35 mm projector, chalkboard, etc), and include at least 35 copies of an outline of the issues to be addressed, or of the presentation itself.

Providing Oral or Written Comments at SAB Meetings

The Science Advisory Board expects that public statements presented at its meetings will not be repetitive of previously submitted oral or written statements. In general, each individual or group making an oral presentation will be limited to a total time of ten minutes. For teleconference meetings, opportunities for oral comment will usually be limited to no more than three minutes per speaker and no more than fifteen minutes total. Written comments (at least 35 copies) received in the SAB Staff Office sufficiently prior to a meeting date (usually one week before the meeting), may be mailed to the relevant SAB committee or subcommittee; comments received too close to the meeting date will normally be provided to the committee at its meeting, or mailed soon after receipt by the Agency. Written comments may be provided to the relevant committee or subcommittee up until the time of the meeting.

Additional information concerning the Science Advisory Board, its structure, function, and composition,

may be found on the SAB Website (<http://www.epa.gov/sab>) and in the Annual Report of the Staff Director which is available from the SAB Publications Staff at (202) 260-4126 or via fax at (202) 260-1889.

Meeting Access

Individuals requiring special accommodation at this meeting, including wheelchair access, should contact the appropriate DFO at least five business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Dated: June 7, 1999.

Donald G. Barnes,

Staff Director, Science Advisory Board.

[FR Doc. 99-14858 Filed 6-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-36193; FRL-6070-5]

Inert Ingredients No Longer Used in Pesticide Products

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has removed certain chemicals from its list of pesticide product inert ingredients that are not currently used in pesticide products. Future use of these chemicals as inert ingredients in pesticide products will not be permitted unless a petitioner or registrant satisfies all data requirements as identified by the Agency, and the Agency is able to make a determination that the use of the inert ingredient will not pose unreasonable risk to human health or the environment. This notice is the result of ongoing evaluation of pesticide inert ingredients.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Vera Soltero, Minor Use, Inerts, and Emergency Response Branch (MUIERB), Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location, telephone, and e-mail address: 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Room 707C, Arlington, VA, (703) 308-8373, e-mail: soltero.vera@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. How Can I Get Additional Information or Copies of Support Documents?

1. *Electronically.* You may obtain electronic copies of this document and various support documents are available from the EPA Home page at the **Federal Register**- Environmental Documents

entry for this document under "Laws and Regulations" (<http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr>).

2. *In person.* The official record for this notice, as well as the public version, has been established under docket control number [OPP-36193], including comments and data submitted electronically as described below). A public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of any electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as CBI, is available for inspection in Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA, from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

II. Background

On April 22, 1987, EPA announced certain policies designed to reduce the potential for adverse effects from the use of pesticide products containing toxic inert ingredients (52 FR 13305). In developing the policy, the Agency reviewed the available data on chemicals used as inert ingredients, and concluded that some inert ingredients had potentially significant long-term health and environmental hazards associated with their use in pesticide products. The 1987 notice categorized all inert ingredients into four lists, according to toxicity, as follows: List 1 inert ingredients, described as "inerts of toxicological concern," were so categorized on the basis of toxicological or adverse ecological effects which had been documented in studies subject to peer review. The criteria used for placement of inert ingredients on List 1 were discussed in detail in the November 22, 1989 **Federal Register** notice (54 FR 58314). In summary, the criteria for inclusion on List 1 included carcinogenicity, adverse reproductive effects, neurotoxicity or other chronic effects, developmental toxicity (birth defects), adverse ecological effects or the potential for bioaccumulation. List 2 inert ingredients, "potentially toxic inerts/high priority for testing," are structurally similar to chemicals known to be toxic and may have data suggesting a basis for concern. List 3 inert ingredients, "inerts of unknown toxicity," do not have data supporting their inclusion on Lists 1 or 2 (or 4; see below). List 4 inert ingredients, "minimal hazard or risk inerts," consists of ingredients which are generally regarded as innocuous. In a subsequent **Federal Register** notice (54 FR 48314, November 22, 1989), EPA further revised List 4, creating two subcategories: (1) List 4A, "inerts generally regarded as safe" and (2) List 4B, "inerts for which EPA has sufficient

information to reasonably conclude that the current use pattern in pesticide products will not adversely affect public health or the environment".

The Agency further revised List 4A in 1994 (59 FR 49400, September 28, 1994)(FRL-4872-5), and continues to evaluate the toxicity of inert ingredients. EPA's designation of inert ingredients according to list has been published as the "List of Pesticide Product Inert Ingredients" (May 17, 1995), and is available through the Office of Pesticide Program's Public Information and Record Integrity Branch at the address given above.

On June 24, 1998, the Agency delisted 249 inert ingredients from List 1, List 2 and List 3 (63 FR 34384)(FRL-5792-3). Many of the delisted List 1 inert ingredients were removed from pesticide products after EPA issued data call-in notices (DCIs) under section 3(c)(2)(B) of FIFRA. In response to the issuance of DCIs for List 1 inert ingredients, most registrants of products containing List 1 inert ingredients chose to cancel the registration or reformulate the product to remove the List 1 inert ingredient. According to Agency records, none of the delisted chemicals had been used in any registered pesticide product for over two years, and in most cases, had not been used as inert ingredients in registered pesticide products for over 5 years.

III. Inert Ingredients no Longer Used in Pesticide Products

The Agency has identified certain additional List 2 and List 3 inert ingredients that are no longer used in pesticide products. All of these chemicals are on the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) published by the Agency as a source of information about toxic chemicals that are being used, manufactured, treated, transported, or released into the environment. The purpose of the TRI is to provide citizens with accurate information about potentially hazardous chemicals. Facilities meeting certain criteria are required to report releases of the approximately 600 TRI chemicals into the air, water and land, as well as reporting off-site transfers. They are also required to report on pollution prevention activities and chemical recycling.

List 2 inert ingredients which are no longer used in pesticide products are identified as follows (with chemical name and Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) Registry Numbers: