Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 1,760 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Capital, O&M Cost Burden: \$14,300.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses: Please refer to EPA ICR No. 0982.06 and OMB Control No. 2060–0016 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Policy, Regulatory Information Division (2137), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460; and

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: May 27, 1999.

Richard T. Westlund,

Acting Director, Regulatory Information Division.

[FR Doc. 99–14059 Filed 6–2–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6353-6]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request, Wood Preservatives—Submission of Information Regarding Arsenic Exposure Levels in Wood Treatment Plants

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this document announces that the following Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: Wood Preservatives-Submission of Information Regarding Arsenic Exposure Levels in Wood Treatment Plants, OMB control number 2070-0081, Expiration date: July 31, 1999. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before July 6, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sandy Farmer at EPA by phone at (202) 260–2740, by E-Mail at Farmer.Sandy@epamail.epa.gov or

Farmer.Sandy@epamail.epa.gov or download a copy of the ICR off the Internet at http://www.epa.gov/icr and refer to EPA ICR No. 1289.05.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Title:* Wood Preservatives—Submission of Information Regarding Arsenic Exposure Levels in Wood Treatment Plants, (OMB Control No. 2070–0081; EPA ICR No. 1289.05.) Expiring 07/31/99. This is a request for an extension of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: This data collection program, in which participation is voluntary, is designed to provide certification and supporting test data to determine whether wood preserving facilities are protecting their workers from unsafe exposure to airborne inorganic arsenic. If air monitoring tests indicate that levels of airborne arsenic are safe and the facility submits the appropriate test data and certification, the facility can voluntarily exempt itself from the requirement to use personal respirators while pressure treating lumber. The requirement to wear respirators is considered the most burdensome requirement of the pesticide labels, which must be followed once a pesticide, in this case a wood treating formulation, is registered under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). Since the submission to EPA does not contain confidential business information it will not be treated as such. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The Federal Register Notice required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on January 5, 1999 (64 FR 509). No comments were

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 5.1 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing

and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Participating facilities are those that use formulations of inorganic arsenic to treat wood.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 250.

Frequency of Response: Annual. Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 1284

Estimated Total Annualized Capital and O&M Cost Burden: 0.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1289.05 and OMB Control No. 2070–0081 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Policy, Regulatory Information Division (2137), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460;

and

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: May 27, 1999.

Richard T. Westlund,

Acting Director, Regulatory Information Division.

[FR Doc. 99–14060 Filed 6–2–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6354-1]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Risk Management Program Requirements and Petitions To Modify the List of Regulated Substances Under Section 112(r) of the Clean Air Act (CAA)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces

that the following Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: Risk Management Program Requirements and Petitions to Modify the List of Regulated Substances under section 112(r) of the Clean Air Act (CAA). This ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument. This ICR combines and renews two previously approved ICRs, Registration and Documentation of Risk Management Plans under section 112(r) of the CAA, ICR No. 1656.03 (expires July 31, 1999, OMB Control No. 2050-0144) and Petitions to modify the list of regulated substances under section 112 (r) of the CAA, ICR No. 1606.02 (expired April 30, 1999, OMB Control No. 2050-0127; OMB granted an extension for this ICR to 7/99).

On February 22, 1999, OMB approved an ICR submitted for amendments to RMP regulations to allow for the submission of claims for confidential business information, ICR No. 1656.05, OMB Control No. 2050–0144. In a separate **Federal Register** document, EPA is proposing to modify the rule listing regulated substances and threshold quantities of the RMP issued under section 112(r) of the CAA.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before July 6, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sandy Farmer at EPA by phone at (202) 260-2740, by email at farmer.sandy@epamail.epa.gov, or download a copy of the ICR off the Internet at http://www.epa.gov/icr and refer to EPA ICR No.1656.06

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Title:* Risk Management Program Requirements and Petitions to Modify the List of Regulated Substances under section 112(r) of the Clean Air Act (CAA); EPA ICR No. 1656.06. This is a request for extension of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: The 1990 CAA Amendments added section 112(r) to provide for the prevention and mitigation of accidental releases. Section 112(r) mandates that EPA promulgate a list of "regulated substances," with threshold quantities and establish procedures for the addition and deletion of substances from the list of "regulated substances". Processes at stationary sources that contain a threshold quantity of a regulated substance are subject to accidental release prevention regulations promulgated under CAA section 112(r)(7). These two rules are codified as 40 CFR part 68. Part 68

requires that sources with more than a threshold quantity of a regulated substance in a process develop and implement a risk management program and submit a risk management plan to EPA by June 21, 1999.

This information collection request addresses the following information requirements: (1) Documenting sources' risk management programs and submitting a source risk management plan (RMP) under CAA section 112(r)(7); and (2) Collecting and submitting information to support petitions to modify the list of regulated substances under CAA section 112(r)(3).

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The **Federal Register** document required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on March 3, 1999 (64 FR 10293). EPA did not receive any comments.

Burden Statement: This combined ICR covers the period from August 1, 1999, through July 31, 2002. The compliance schedule for the Part 68 requirements, established by rule on June 20, 1996, requires the implementation of source risk management programs and the submission of RMPs by June 21, 1999, for sources meeting the rule's applicability criteria. As a result, the burden to facilities for initial rule compliance, including rule familiarization and program implementation, is assumed to have taken place prior to the period covered by this ICR; these costs were accounted for in ICR 1656.03. Therefore, in this ICR, EPA has accounted for only ongoing program implementation costs (as well as rule familiarization and program implementation costs for new facilities that become subject to these regulations after June 1999)

The public reporting burden will depend on the regulatory program tier into which sources are categorized. In this ICR, the public reporting burden for rule familiarization is estimated to range between 12 to 35 hours per source. The public reporting burden to prepare and submit a new RMP is estimated to take 6.0 hours for retailers to 10.0 hours for non-chemical manufacturers. RMP revisions are estimated to require 3 hours for wholesalers to 8.6 hours for chemical manufacturers. The public record keeping burden to maintain onsite documentation is estimated to range from 2.8 hours for retailers to 279 hours

for chemical manufacturers. The public reporting burden for CBI claims is estimated to be 9.5 hours for certain chemical manufacturing sources. The public reporting burden for individuals filing petitions to amend the list of regulated substances is estimated to be 138 hours. The total annual public reporting burden to become familiar with the rule, complete and submit (or revise) the risk management plan, maintain on-site documentation, substantiate claims for confidential business information, and prepare and submit petitions to amend the list of regulated substances is estimated to be about 463,000 hours over three years, or an annual burden of 154,000 hours. The burden estimated for 20 states that may be implementing Part 68 program, is 56,000 hours or an annual burden of 19,000 hours. Therefore, the total burden for all sources and states is estimated to be 519,000 hours for three years, or an annual burden of 173.000.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Chemical manufacturers, Petroleum refineries, Non-chemical manufacturers, Federal facilities, State and local entities, Farms, Retailers, etc.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 69.800.

Frequency of Response: For the period covered by this ICR, new sources and sources required to submit revisions to their Risk Management Plan (RMP) as stated in 40 CFR 68.190.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: Sources: 154,000 hours; States/Local implementing agency 19,000 hours (estimated 20 states may be seeking delegation).

Estimated Total Annualized Capital, Operating/Maintenance Cost Burden: \$8,800.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1656.06 and OMB Control No. 2050–0144 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Policy, Regulatory Information Division (2137), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460;

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: May 27, 1999.

Richard T. Westlund,

and

Acting Director, Regulatory Information Division.

[FR Doc. 99–14065 Filed 6–2–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6243-2]

Designation of Dredged Material Disposal Sites in Long Island Sound, Connecticut and New York. Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCIES: U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)—Region I, New England and Region II, New York in cooperation with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), New England and New York Districts.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to consider the potential designation of one or more dredged material disposal sites in the waters of Long Island Sound under section 102(c) of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act (MPRSA) and 40 CFR 230.80 of EPA's regulations under section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The EIS will provide an evaluation of the existing historically used sites known as the Western Long Island Sound Disposal Site, the Central Long Island Disposal Site, the Cornfield Shoals Disposal Site and the New London Disposal Site, as well as additional alternatives including other open water disposal sites, other types of dredged material disposal and management, and the no action alternative.

Purpose

In accordance with EPA's Statement of Policy for Voluntary Preparation of National Environmental Policy Act documents for all ocean disposal site designations (**Federal Register** 62(229): 63334–63336, October 29, 1998), EPA issues this Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS for the Designation of Dredged Material Disposal Sites in Long Island Sound, offshore of Connecticut, and New York.

Summary

Dredged material has been disposed of at the existing sites known as the Western Long Island Sound, the Central Long Island Sound, the Cornfield Shoals and the New London Disposal Sites pursuant to programmatic and site designation EISs released by the Corps in 1982 and 1991. This activity has been regulated in different ways at different times depending on the status of applicable law and policy. EPA and the Corps have identified a likely need to continue the marine disposal of dredged material in the Long Island Sound area. Therefore, the two agencies have decided to consider the continued use of the above-listed existing sites and their designation as dredged material disposal sites under section 102(c) of the MPRSA. Other alternatives will also be evaluated, including other open water disposal sites, other disposal and management options, and the no action alternative. Designation of a site does not by itself authorize or result in disposal of any particular material, it only serves to make the designated site a disposal option available for consideration in the alternatives analysis for each individual dredging project in the area.

The EPA and the Corps, have entered an agreement to undertake designation of dredged material disposal sites in Long Island Sound under section 102(c) of the MPRSA. The EPA has the responsibility of designating sites under section 102(c) of the Act and 40 CFR 228.4 of its regulations. EPA and the Corps will also consider making an advanced identification under 40 CFR 230.80 of EPA's Clean Water Act section 404 regulations for any site that may be designated. The Clean Water Act and the MPRSA come into play because the waters of Long Island Sound are inland waters, but section 106(f) of the MPRSA extends certain aspects of the MPRSA into Long Island Sound for certain

An EIS will evaluate the four current sites used in the Sound as well as other sites for, and means of, disposal and management, including the no action alternative. The EIS will support the EPA's final decision on whether one or more dredged material disposal sites will be designated under the MPRSA identified in advance under 40 CFR

230.80. The EIS will include analysis applying the five general and 11 specific site selection criteria for designating ocean disposal sites presented in 40 CFR 228.5 and 228.6, respectively. The Corps, New England District, has prepared a study reviewing existing data for the existing sites relative to these criteria and this study will serve as a starting point for further evaluation of the existing sites in the EIS.

Need for EIS

On May 7, 1974, and as amended in October 29, 1998, the EPA published a Statement of Policy on Environmental Impact Statements (EISs). Section (1) (d) (2) of that policy specifies that EISs will be prepared in connection with ocean disposal site designations under section 102 (c) of the MPRSA. Evaluation of site designation, and advanced identification under 40 CFR 230.80, will serve to clarify the availability of particular sites for consideration as dredged material disposal options over the long term.

Alternatives

In evaluating the alternatives, the EIS will identify and evaluate locations within the Long Island Sound study area that are best suited to receive dredged material suitable for open water marine disposal. At a minimum, the EIS will consider various alternatives including:

- No-action (i.e., no designation of any sites);
- Designation of one or more of the existing open water sites;
- Designation of alternative open water sites identified within the study area that may offer environmental advantages to the existing sites; and
- Identification of other disposal and/ or management options, either in or out of the water.

Scoping

The Environmental Protection Agency-New England Region and the Corps of Engineers, New England District, will hold public scoping meetings on June 15, 1999 at the State University of New York, Stony Brook Union Auditorum, 100 Nicolls Road, Stony Brook, June 16, 1999 and Groton Inn & Suites, Main Room Left, 99 Goldstar Highway, Route 184, Groton Connecticut; and June 23, 1999 at Westin Stamford Hotel, Commodore Vanderbilt, One First Stamford Place, Stamford, CT. For all meetings, registration will be from 6–7 p.m.; the meetings will be between the hours of 7 and 10 p.m. Details of the history of the project and the alternatives to be considered will be presented. The