

Comment date: June 3, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

16. NGE Generation, Inc.

[Docket No. ER99-2942-000]

Take notice that on May 14, 1999, NGE Generation, Inc. (NGE Gen), tendered for filing pursuant to Section 35.15 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 35.15, a notice of cancellation (Cancellation) of NGE Gen Rate Schedule Nos. 10.7 (Catex Vitrol Electric Inc.), 22.7 (Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.), 59.6 (Cinergy Operating Companies), 43.6 (Duke/Louis Dreyfus L.L.C.), 35.6 (Eastex Power Marketing Inc.), 16.7 (Electric Clearinghouse Inc.), 9.7 (Enron Power Marketing), 20.1 KN Marketing, 23.6 National Fuel Resources (formerly Gateway Energy, Inc.), 63.1 NP Energy, 67.1 PacifiCorp Power Marketing, 46.5 (Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.), and 28.6 (Public Service Electric & Gas Co.), and NGE Gen Power Sales Tariff Service Agreement Nos. 97.1 (Centerior Energy Corp.), 55.1 (CNG Power Services), and 77.1 (LG&E Energy Marketing, Inc.) between NGE Gen and the above enumerated entities.

NGE Gen requests that the Cancellation be deemed effective as of the date of closing of the sale of NGE Gen's fossil generating facilities located within New York State.

Notice of the proposed cancellation has been served upon each of the affected parties identified above.

Comment date: June 3, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

17. Sanford L. Hartman

[Docket No. ID-3275-001]

Take notice that on May 17, 1999, Pittsfield Generating Company, L.P. filed a letter withdrawing the Application to Hold Interlocking Positions filed on behalf of Sanford L. Hartman on April 22, 1999.

Comment date: June 16, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

18. Geraldine M. Zipser, James S. Robinson, Christopher E. Root, Masheed H. Rosenqvist, Nancy H. Sala, Cheryl A. LaFleur, Lawrence J. Reilly, Gregory A. Hale, Robert L. McCabe, Richard W. Frost

[Docket Nos. ID-3294-000, ID-3295-000, ID-3296-000, ID-3297-000, ID-3298-000, ID-3299-000, ID-3300-000, ID-3301-000, ID-3302-000, ID-3303-000]

Take notice that on May 17, 1999, applications for authority to hold positions pursuant to Section 305(b) of

the Federal Power Act, were filed in the above-mentioned proceedings.

Comment date: June 16, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of these filings are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-13575 Filed 5-27-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Intent To File Application for New License

May 24, 1999.

a. *Type of filing:* Notice of Intent to File Application for New License.

b. *Project No.:* 2364.

c. *Date filed:* April 26, 1999.

d. *Submitted By:* Madison Paper Industries.

e. *Name of Project:* Abenaki Project.

f. *Location:* On the Kennebec River in Somerset County, Maine.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Section 15 of the Federal Power Act, 18 CFR 16.6 of the Commission's regulations.

h. *Effective date of original license:* May 1, 1954.

i. *Expiration date of original license:* May 1, 2004.

j. The project consists of (1) a 780-foot-long concrete spillway with a permanent crest elevation of 219.65 feet; (2) 3-foot-high wooden flashboards; (3) a 25-foot-wide log sluice in the center of the spillway; (4) a 600-foot-long concrete retaining wall (wingwall); (5) a 200-foot-long headworks structure; (6) a

830-foot-long forebay; (7) a 125-foot-long by 190-foot-wide concrete powerhouse containing seven turbine/generator units with a total rated capacity of 16.977 megawatts; (8) a 3,400-foot-long transmission line; and (9) other appurtenances.

k. Pursuant to 18 CFR 16.7, information on the project is available at: Madison Paper Industries, P.O. Box 129, Main Street, Madison, ME 04950, (207) 696-1225.

l. *FERC contact:* Nan Allen, nan.allen@ferc.fed.us, (202) 219-2938.

m. Pursuant to 18 CFR 16.9(b)(1) each application for a new license and any competing license applications must be filed with the Commission at least 24 months prior to the expiration of the existing license. All applications for license for this project must be filed by May 1, 2002.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-13616 Filed 5-27-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Intent To File Application for New License

May 24, 1999.

a. *Type of filing:* Notice of Intent to File Application for New License.

b. *Project No.:* 2365.

c. *Date filed:* April 26, 1999.

d. *Submitted By:* Madison Paper Industries.

e. *Name of Project:* Anson Project.

f. *Location:* On the Kennebec River in Somerset County, Maine.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Section 15 of the Federal Power Act, 18 CFR 16.6 of the Commission's regulations.

h. *Effective date of original license:* May 1, 1954.

i. *Expiration date of original license:* May 1, 2004.

j. *The project consists of:* (1) a 630-foot-long concrete gravity dam with a permanent spillway crest elevation of 242.62 feet, and a 5.6-foot-high inflatable flashboard system; (2) a 40-foot-wide by 13.5-foot-high inflatable waste gate system; (3) a 250-foot-long forebay; (4) a 190-foot-long by 54-foot-wide brick and concrete powerhouse containing five turbine/generator units with a total rated capacity of 9 megawatts; (5) a 5,860-acre-foot reservoir; and (8) other appurtenances.

k. Pursuant to 18 CFR 16.7, information on the project is available at: Madison Paper Industries, P.O. Box

129, Main Street, Madison, ME 04950, (207) 696-1225.

l. *FERC contact:* Nan Allen, nan.allen@ferc.fed.us, (202) 219-2948.

m. Pursuant to 18 CFR 16.9(b)(1) each application for a new license and any competing license applications must be filed with the Commission at least 24 months prior to the expiration of the existing license. All applications for license for this project must be filed by May 1, 2002.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-13617 Filed 5-27-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Western Area Power Administration

[DOE/EIS-0297]

Record of Decision for the Interconnection of the Griffith Power Plant With the Western Area Power Administration's Parker-Davis and Pacific Northwest-Pacific Southwest Intertie Transmission Systems

AGENCY: Western Area Power Administration, DOE.

ACTION: Record of decision.

SUMMARY: Griffith Energy Limited Liability Corporation (Griffith) applied for transmission service from the Western Area Power Administration (Western) for the Griffith Energy Project (Project). Based on the application, Western proposed to enter into an interconnection and construction agreement with Griffith to provide interconnections with Western's Pacific Northwest-Pacific Southwest Intertie and Parker-Davis transmission systems. Western has decided to enter into interconnection and construction agreements with Griffith to provide the interconnections with Western's Pacific Northwest-Pacific Southwest Intertie and Parker-Davis transmission systems, and to construct and operate transmission system additions to provide the interconnection with its transmission system. The interconnection to Western's transmission system will be provided via two new 230-kilovolt (kV) transmission lines, a new 230-/345-kV substation, and the upgrading of the existing Davis-Prescott 230-kV transmission line. Western's decision for its action took into consideration the environmental ramifications of the Project. The environmental ramifications of the Project were addressed in Western's Griffith Energy Project Draft and Final Environmental

Impact Statements (DOE/EIS-0297).

This Record of Decision (ROD) has been prepared in accordance with Council on Environmental Quality regulations for implementing the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (40 CFR parts 1500-1508) and Department of Energy (DOE) Procedures for Implementing NEPA (10 CFR part 1021), and DOE's Floodplain/Wetland Review Requirements (10 CFR 1022). Western will reconsider this decision if Griffith does not obtain a Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) air permit from the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ). Full implementation of this decision is contingent upon the Project obtaining all other required permits and approvals.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. John Holt, Environmental Manager, Desert Southwest Customer Service Region, Western Area Power Administration, P.O. Box 6457, Phoenix, AZ 85005, telephone (602) 352-2592, email holt@wapa.gov. Copies of the Environmental Impact Statements (EIS) are available from Mr. Holt.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Western is the lead agency for the EIS and the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Kingman Field Office (BLM) is a cooperating agency. Western decided to enter into interconnection and construction agreements with Griffith, and to construct and operate transmission system additions to provide the interconnection with its transmission system. The transmission system additions include:

1. A new 8-mile 230-kV transmission line from the Griffith Power Plant to Western's existing McConnico Substation along route segments A and D as defined in the EIS;
2. A new 230-/345-kV substation, named Peacock Substation, at the intersection of the existing Davis-Prescott and Mead-Phoenix transmission lines in the northeast corner of Section 36, Township 22 North, Range 14 West;
3. A new 30.2-mile 230-kV transmission line from the Griffith Power Plant to the new substation along route segments A, B and C as defined in the EIS (segments A and B will utilize a right-of-way previously acquired by Citizen's Utilities for its Kingman-Havas project);
4. The installation of new electrical equipment and structures within the boundaries of Western's existing McConnico and Mead substations; and
5. The tensioning of existing conductors and/or installation of new conductors on the existing Davis-Prescott 230-kV transmission line between Western's Davis Substation and the new Peacock Substation (segment Z as defined in the EIS), including the installation of new structures between some longer spans to support the conductor.

The transmission lines will be constructed along Western's preferred alternative as described in the EIS. In addition, Western decided to utilize single-pole steel structures for the portions of the new transmission lines that cross State- and privately-owned lands. Across BLM-administered public lands, Western will utilize the structure type stipulated by BLM in its rights-of-way grant.

Western based its decision on the information contained in the Griffith Energy Project EIS (DOE/EIS-0297; Draft EIS issued October 1998 and Final issued March 1999), subsequent comments received during the Final EIS waiting period, and consultations with the BLM.

Alternatives Considered

Western considered the transmission alternatives addressed in the EIS and the environmental ramifications of the Griffith Power Plant in reaching its decision. Transmission alternatives included system, routing, and structure alternatives, and the no action alternative. Transmission line routing alternatives considered in the EIS were limited by the proximity of the Project to an established utility corridor, and the presence of other Western facilities in the area. Western did not select a routing alternative directly north of the power plant (segments A and E as defined in the EIS) because of its proximity to Walnut Creek Estates. Another routing alternative for segment D was suggested, but it was not technically feasible. Steel lattice, H-frame, and single-pole structure alternatives were considered for the transmission lines. The environmental impacts for each structure type will be similar. Due to cost and engineering considerations, Western selected the single-pole structure for the new lines and the H-frame structures for installation between the longer spans on the existing line. Single pole structures will not be feasible for the Davis-Peacock upgrade due to the horizontal configuration of the existing line. System alternatives were also addressed, but dismissed from full analysis.

The no action alternative is the environmentally preferred alternative. It was not selected because it will not satisfy Western's need to provide access to its transmission system when requested by an eligible organization. Western implemented an Open Access Transmission Tariff to meet the intent of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) Order for Open Transmission Access (FERC Order Nos. 888 and 888-A). The no action