

percent ad valorem. Additionally, each of the three programs (reembolso, pre-export financing, and post-export financing) are subsidies within the meaning of Article 3 of the Subsidies Agreement.

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(c). Any hearing, if requested, will be held on July 17, 1999. Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than July 10, 1999, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i). Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than July 15, 1999. The Department will issue a notice of final results of this sunset review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, no later than September 28, 1999.

This five-year ("sunset") review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 21, 1999.

Richard W. Moreland,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99-13687 Filed 5-27-99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration
[A-570-846]

Brake Rotors From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce has received a request to conduct a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on brake rotors from the People's Republic of China. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(d), we are initiating this review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 28, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Smith or Brian Ledgerwood, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-1766 or 482-3836, respectively.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce ("the Department") regulations are to the provisions codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (1998).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received a timely request from Laizhou Hongda Auto Replacement Parts Co., Ltd., ("Laizhou Hongda"), in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(c), for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on brake rotors from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), which has an April anniversary date. As required by 19 C.F.R. 351.214(b)(2)(i) and (iii)(A), Laizhou Hongda ("the respondent") has certified that it did not export brake rotors to the United States during the period of investigation ("POI"), and that it has never been affiliated with any exporter or producer which did export brake rotors during the POI. Laizhou Hongda further certified that its export activities are not controlled by the central government of the PRC, satisfying the requirements of 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B). Pursuant to the Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv), Laizhou Hongda submitted documentation establishing the date on which it first shipped the subject merchandise to the United States, the volume of that first shipment, and the date of its first sale to an

unaffiliated customer in the United States.

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.214(b), and based on information on the record, we are initiating the new shipper review as requested.

It is the Department's usual practice in cases involving non-market economies to require that a company seeking to establish eligibility for an antidumping duty rate separate from the country-wide rate provide *de jure* and *de facto* evidence of an absence of government control over the company's export activities. Accordingly we will issue a separate rates questionnaire to the above-named respondent, allowing 37 days for response. If the response from the respondent provides sufficient indication that Laizhou Hongda is not subject to either *de jure* or *de facto* government control with respect to its exports of brake rotors, this review will proceed. If, on the other hand, Laizhou Hongda does not demonstrate its eligibility for a separate rate, then Laizhou Hongda will be deemed to be affiliated with other companies that exported during the POI and that did not establish entitlement to a separate rate, and this review will be terminated.

Initiation of Review

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(ii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on brake rotors from the PRC. On April 30, 1999, Laizhou Hongda agreed to waive the time limits in order that the Department, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(j)(3), may conduct this review concurrent with the second annual administrative review of this order for the period April 1, 1998-March 31, 1999, which is being conducted pursuant to section 751(a)(1) of the Act. Therefore, we intend to issue the final results of this review not later than 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

Antidumping duty proceeding	Period to be reviewed
PRC: Brake Rotors, A-570-846. Laizhou Hongda Auto Replacement Parts Co., Ltd	04/01/98-03/31/99

We will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until the completion of the review, of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the merchandise exported

by the above-listed company. This action is in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(e) and (j)(3).
Interested parties that need access to the proprietary information in this new shipper review should submit

applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.
This initiation and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the

Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.214(d).

Dated: May 20, 1999.

Bernard Carreau,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99-13561 Filed 5-27-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-601][A-421-701][A-201-504][C-201-505]

Brass Sheet and Strip From Canada, Brass Sheet and Strip From the Netherlands, Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware From Mexico, Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware From Mexico: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit for preliminary results of five-year ("Sunset") reviews.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the sunset reviews on the antidumping duty orders on brass sheet & strip from Canada, brass sheet & strip from the Netherlands, and porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from Mexico, and on the countervailing duty order on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from Mexico. Based on adequate responses from domestic and respondent interested parties, the Department is conducting full sunset reviews to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and whether revocation of the countervailing duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy. As a result of these extensions, the Department intends to issue its preliminary results not later than August 20, 1999.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 28, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha Douthitt or Melissa G. Skinner, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Pennsylvania Avenue and 14th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3207, or (202) 482-1560 respectively.

Extension of Preliminary Results

The Department has determined that the sunset reviews of the antidumping

duty orders on brass sheet & strip from Canada, brass sheet & strip from the Netherlands, and porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from Mexico, and on the countervailing duty order on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from Mexico are extraordinarily complicated. In accordance with section 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department may treat a review as extraordinarily complicated if it is a review of a transition order (i.e., an order in effect on January 1, 1995). See section 751(c)(6)(C) of the Act. The Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of these reviews until not later than August 20, in accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act. The final results of these reviews will, therefore, be due not later than December 28, 1999.

Dated: May 21, 1999.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99-13563 Filed 5-27-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-832]

Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination: Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors of One Megabit and Above ("DRAMs") From Taiwan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 28, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Futtner at (202) 482-3814, Alexander Amdur at (202) 482-5346 (Etron), Ronald Trentham at (202) 482-6320 (MVI), Nova Daly at (202) 482-0989 (Nanya), or John Conniff at (202) 482-1009 (Vanguard), Group II, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to

the regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 (1998).

Preliminary Determination

We preliminarily determine that DRAMs from Taiwan are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value ("LTFV"), as provided in section 733 of the Act. The estimated margins of sales at LTFV are shown in the "Suspension of Liquidation" section of this notice.

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation ("POI") is October 1, 1997 to September 30, 1998.

Case History

Since the initiation of this investigation on November 18, 1998 (*Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Investigations: Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors From Taiwan*, 63 FR 64040 (November 18, 1998) (*Notice of Initiation*)), the following events have occurred:

On November 13, 1998, the Department sent a cable to the American Institute in Taiwan requesting information identifying producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. We did not receive a response to our request. On November 17, 1998, the Department requested comments from the petitioner and potential respondents regarding model matching criteria. In the *Notice of Initiation*, the Department requested that parties submit any comments regarding the scope of the investigation. On December 1, 1998, the respondents, Powerchip Semiconductor Corp., Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Mitsubishi Electronics America, Inc., Mitsubishi Semiconductor America, Inc., Alliance Semiconductor Corporation and Taiwan Semiconductor Industry Association submitted comments on the model matching criteria. We did not receive any comments regarding the scope language used for this investigation.

In December 1998, the International Trade Commission ("ITC") issued its preliminary determination that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of the subject merchandise from Taiwan. See ITC investigation No. 731-TA-811, 63 FR, 69304 (December 16, 1998).

On December 4, 1998, Acer Semiconductor Manufacturing Inc. ("Acer") requested that the Department not issue Acer a questionnaire.

On December 8, 1998, based on information contained in the petition, the Department issued questionnaires to the following companies: Acer, Alliance Semiconductor Corporation