

Thus, Flow Boy trailers will no longer be functional and contractors will be forced to use standard dump body trucks or trailers with their inherent limitations and safety risks.

Dan Hill's Reasons Why Compliance Would Cause Substantial Economic Hardship to a Manufacturer That Has Tried in Good Faith To Comply With Standard No. 224.

At the time of its initial application, Dan Hill told us that it had manufactured 81 Flow Boy trailers in 1996 (plus 21 other trailers). Its production in the 12-month period preceding its application for renewal was "130 units for the domestic market and 35 units for the international market."

Dan Hill originally asked for a year's exemption in order to explore the feasibility of a rear impact guard that would allow the Flow Boy trailer to connect to a conventional paver. It has concentrated its efforts this past year in investigating the feasibility of a retractable rear impact guard, which will enable Flow Boys to continue to connect to pavers.

In the absence of an exemption, Dan Hill originally asserted that approximately 60 percent of its work force would have to be laid off; it now argues that failure to extend its exemption would ultimately cause a lay off of "approximately 70 percent" of its work force. If the exemption were not renewed, Dan Hill's gross sales would decrease by \$8,273,117. Its cumulative net income after taxes for the fiscal years 1995, 1996, and 1997 was \$303,303. It projected a net income of \$356,358 for fiscal year 1998.

At the time of its original application, its studies show that the placement of the retractable rear impact guard would likely catch excess asphalt as it was discharged into the pavement hopper. Further, the increased cost of the Flow Boy would likely cause contractors to choose the cheaper alternative of dump trucks. Finally, the increased weight of the retractable rear impact guard would significantly decrease the payload of the Flow Boy.

Dan Hill sent its Product Specialist to Germany in 1994 to view underdrive protection guards installed by a German customer on Flow Boy trailers but the technology proved inapplicable because of differences between German and American pavers. Manufacturers of paving machines are not interested in redesigning their equipment to accommodate a Flow Boy with a rear impact guard. Dan Hill contacted a British manufacturer of a retractable rear

impact guard but the information received by the time of its initial application did not look encouraging.

During the time that the exemption has been in effect, Dan Hill has continued its efforts to locate a source for a retractable rear impact guard, locating one in Europe which "was in the process of designing a retractable guard that would meet Standard No. 223 specifications and attach to the Flow Boy trailer while allowing the Flow Boy to attach to a paver." However, the European retractable rear impact guard, which was of a "swing out" design, raised problems of worker safety, reduced payload because of the guard's weight, accumulation of asphalt paving material on the guard, and prohibitive costs. Dan Hill is now examining the feasibility of a "swing in" guard. It is working with an English source to develop a guard that will comply with Standard No. 223. Dan Hill will then install the guard on several Flow Boy trailers to determine whether further design modifications are required. It anticipates full compliance at the end of a further exemption of 2 years.

Dan Hill's Reasons Why a Temporary Exemption Would be in the Public Interest and Consistent With Objectives of Motor Vehicle Safety

Dan Hill believes that an exemption would be in the public interest and consistent with traffic safety objectives because the Flow Boy aids in the construction of the national road system. Flow Boy spends very little of its operating life on the highway and the likelihood of its being involved in a rear-end collision is minimal. In addition, the design of the Flow Boy is such that the rear tires act as a buffer and reduce the likelihood of impact with the trailer.

How You May Comment on Dan Hill's Application

If you would like to comment on Dan Hill's application, please do so in writing, in duplicate, referring to the docket and notice number, and mail to: Docket Management, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590.

We shall consider all comments received before the close of business on the date indicated below. Comments are available for examination in the docket in room PL-401 both before and after that date, between the hours of 10 a.m. and 5 p.m. To the extent possible, we also consider comments filed after the closing date. We will publish our

decision on the application, pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Comment closing date: June 18, 1999.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30113; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.4.

Issued on: May 14, 1999.

L. Robert Shelton,

Associate Administrator for Safety Performance Standards.

[FR Doc. 99-12627 Filed 5-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Thrift Supervision

[AC-5: OTS No. 4202]

Alaska Federal Savings Bank, Juneau, Alaska; Approval of Conversion Application

Notice is hereby given that on May 12, 1999, the Director, Office of Examination & Supervision, Office of Thrift Supervision, or his designee, acting pursuant to delegated authority, approved the application of Alaska Federal Savings Bank, Juneau, Alaska, to convert to the stock form of organization. Copies of the application are available for inspection at the Dissemination Branch, Office of Thrift Supervision, 1700 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20552, and the West Regional Office, Office of Thrift Supervision, 1 Montgomery Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, California 94104-4533.

Dated: May 14, 1999.

By the Office of Thrift Supervision.

Nadine Y. Washington,

Corporate Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-12547 Filed 5-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6710-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Thrift Supervision

[AC-6: OTS No. 2286]

Indian Village Community Bank, Gnadenhutten, OH; Approval of Conversion Application

Notice is hereby given that on May 12, 1999, the Director, Office of Examination & Supervision, Office of Thrift Supervision, or his designee, acting pursuant to delegated authority, approved the application of Indian Village Community Bank, Gnadenhutten, Ohio, to convert to the stock form of organization. Copies of the application are available for inspection at the Dissemination Branch, Office of

Thrift Supervision, 1700 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20552, and the Central Regional Office, Office of Thrift Supervision, 200 West Madison Street, Suite 1300, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

Dated: May 14, 1999.

By the Office of Thrift Supervision.

Nadine Y. Washington,

Corporate Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-12548 Filed 5-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6720-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Thrift Supervision

[AC-7: OTS No. 1368]

Mechanics Savings & Loan, FSA, Steelton, PA; Approval of Conversion Application

Notice is hereby given that on May 12, 1998, the Director, Office of Examination & Supervision, Office of Thrift Supervision, or his designee, acting pursuant to delegated authority, approved the application of Mechanics Savings & Loan, FSA, Steelton, Pennsylvania, to convert to the stock

form of organization. Copies of the application are available for inspection at the Dissemination Branch, Office of Thrift Supervision, 1700 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20552, and the Northeast Regional Office, Office of Thrift Supervision, 10 Exchange Place, 18th Floor, Jersey City, New Jersey 07302.

Dated: May 14, 1999.

By the Office of Thrift Supervision.

Nadine Y. Washington,

Corporate Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-12549 Filed 5-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6720-01-P