Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns from based primarily on the need for identifying potential adverse impacts to wetlands resources from snow storage. The final EIS should include additional mitigation measures to reduce impacts to the aquatic ecosystem.

ERP No. D-AFS-J65293-MT Rating EC2, Taylor Fork Timber Sale and Road Restoration, Implementation, Buck Creek, Taylor Fork Creek and Eldridge Creek, Gallatin National Forest, Madison Ranger, Hebgen Lake Ranger District, Yellow Stone, Gallatin County, MT

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns due to lack of information regarding wetlands, existing watershed conditions, and potential impacts to westslope cutthroat trout.

ÉRP No. D-AFS-J65298-CO Rating EC2, South Fork Salvage Analysis Area, Implementation, Routt Divide Blowdown, Land and Resource Management Plan, Medicine Bow-Routt National Forests, Hahns Peak/Bears Ears Ranger District, Rounty County, CO.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns and requested information on impacts from road reconstruction actions and identification of any roads in Streamline Management Zones (SMZs).

ERP No. D-AFS-L61218-ID Rating EC2, Frank Church—River of No Return Wilderness (FC-RONRW), Implementation for the Future Management of Land and Water Resource, Bitterroot, Boise, Nez Perce, Payette and Salmon-Challis National Forests, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns related to the potential adverse of using herbicides to manage noxious weeds in wilderness areas.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65313-ID Rating EC2, Silver Creek Integrated Resource Project, Implementation, Middle Fork Payette River, Boise National Forest, Boise and Valley Counties, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with potential adverse impacts to water quality, air quality and wilderness habitat.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65314-OR Rating EO2, Mill Creek Watershed Timber Sales Project, Implementation, Ochoco National Forest, Crook County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections with the proposed alternative and with potential adverse impacts to water quality, wildlife and riparian conservation areas.

ERP No. D-ÅFS-L65315-ID Rating EO2, West Fork Potlatch Timber Harvesting, Road Construction, Reforestation and Watershed Restoration, Palouse Ranger District, Latah County, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns related to the lack of an adequate water quality analysis, impacts associated with road construction, and the failure to treat the Potlatch basin as a key watershed. EPA recommends that the Forest Service consider the value of withdrawing the EIS and issuing a revised document.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65320-00 Rating EC2, Targhee National Forest Open Road and Open Motorized Trail Analysis, To Implement a new Travel Plan, several counties, ID and Lincoln and Teton Counties, WY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with potential impacts to water quality, cutthroat trout, canada lynx and their habitat.

ERP No. D-BLM-K65214-AZ Rating EC2, Dos Pobres/San Juan Mining Plan and Land Exchange, Implementation of two Open Pit Copper Mines and one Central Ore Facility, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permits, Graham County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over potential impacts to air resources, water resources and quality, and water-related biologic resources. EPA expect that additional mitigation measures of clarification of planned mitigation and monitoring, and inclusion of other additional requested information in the Final EIS may alleviate or reduce these concerns. EPA level of concern over potential impacts to air and water resources has also been raised by the physical magnitude and potential long time line of the Proposed Action.

ERP No. D-NPS-L61222-WA Rating LO, Lake Roosevelt National Recreation Area, General Management Plan, Implementation, Ferry, Grant, Lincoln, Okanogan and Stevens Counties, WA.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative. EPA did request further discussion about how the Lake Roosevelt Management Agreement affects development of a new plan; the effect of lake level fluctuations on recreation facilities: water resources; geology; and sustain ability.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-K65176-CA Sequoia National Forest Trail System Plan, Implementation, Amendment to the Sequoia National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, Fresno, Kern and Tulare Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the final plan. Elements of the plan may conflict the moratorium on construction in roadless areas, and the Clean Water Action Plan, and EPA requested that the Forest Service suspend evaluation and construction of new motorized trails pursuant to the Forest Plan amendment pending the release or the Forest Service's long-term transportation policy and the completion of the Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendment NEPA process.

ERP No. F-BLM-L61219-AK Squirrel River Wild and Scenic River Suitability Study, Designation and Non-Designation, National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, AK.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-NPS-E61038-TN Stones River National Battlefield General Management Plan and Development Concept Plan, Implementation, Ruthford County, TN.

Summary: EPA recommends that new building construction and renovations meet energy efficiency strategies, as outlined in the American Society for Heating, Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Efficiency guidelines. Any contractors working in the Stones River floodplain should be required to conform to "Floodplain Management Guidelines", which were issued as NPS "Special Directive 93–4" in 1993.

Dated: March 30, 1999.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 99–8183 Filed 4–1–99; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-00592; FRL-6073-2]

EPA-USDA Tolerance Reassessment Advisory Committee: Notice of Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

summary: The EPA-U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Tolerance Reassessment Advisory Committee (TRAC) will hold a meeting of Workgroup II to discuss the status of the organophosphate pilot process and transition strategies. On April 27–28, 1999, the TRAC "Full Committee" will meet to provide status reports on recent policy developments, organophosphate risk assessments and refinements, the overall status of tolerance reassessments, and transition strategies. TRAC was established in 1998 as a

subcommittee under the auspices of the EPA National Advisory Council for Environmental Policy and Technology (NACEPT) in response to Vice President Gore's request for EPA and the USDA to work together to ensure implementation of the Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA).

DATES: The TRAC Workgroup II meeting will be held on Thursday April 8, 1999, from 9:00 a.m.–5:30 p.m., and Friday, April 9, 1999, from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

The TRAC "Full Committee" meeting will be held on Tuesday April 27, 1999, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., and Wednesday, April 28, 1999, from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The TRAC Workgroup II will be held on April 8–9 at the Ramada Plaza Hotel Old Town, 901 N. Fairfax Street, Alexandria, VA (703–683–6000).

The TRAC "Full Committee" meeting will be held on April 27–28, 1999, at the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association Conference Center, 4301 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA (703–907–5934; across from Ballston Metro stop).

The official record is available in the Docket for inspection during normal business hours, Monday-Friday, excluding Federal holidays, at the Office of Pesticide Program, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Crystal Mall 2 (CM 2,) Rm. 101, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, telephone: 703–305–5805.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By Mail: Margie Fehrenbach or Terria Northern, Office of Pesticide Programs (7501–C), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location, telephone number and e-mail address: CM 2, Rm. 1119, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA; telephone number 703–305–7090; e-mail addresses: Fehrenbach.Margie@epa.gov or Northern.Terria@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) (Pub. L. 104–170) was passed in 1996. This new law strengthens the nation's system for regulating pesticides on food. The TRAC was established by EPA and USDA to provide policy guidance on sound science, ways to increase transparency in decision-making, strategies for a reasonable transition for agriculture and ways to enhance consultations with stakeholders, as pesticide tolerances are reassessed, including those for organophosphates.

The TRAC is co-chaired by EPA Acting Deputy Administrator Peter Roberston and USDA Deputy Secretary Richard Rominger. The TRAC is a diverse group of stakeholders representing a broad range of interests, including farmers and growers; environmental and public interest groups; public health officials; pediatric experts; pesticide companies and trade associations; food processors and distributors; academicians; Federal agencies; tribal, State and local governments.

The TRAC meetings are open to the public under section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92–463. Outside statements will be limited to 3–5 minutes by each person or organization. Any person who wishes to file a written statement may do so before or after a TRAC meeting. These statements will become part of the official record and will be provided to the TRAC members. The official record will be available for public inspection at the address listed under ADDRESSES at the beginning of this document.

An agenda and background information are being developed and will be posted on the Agency's website one week prior to each meeting at: http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/trac.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Agriculture, Chemical, Foods, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: March 26, 1999.

Marcia E. Mulkey,

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.
[FR Doc. 99–8235 Filed 3-31-99; 12:41 pm]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Petition No. P2-99]

In Re: Request that the Commission Issue Section 15 Orders to the Members of the Transpacific Stabilization Agreement; Notice of Filing of Petition

Notice is given that a petition requesting the Commission to issue a section 15 order to the members of the Transpacific Stabilization Agreement has been filed by the Coalition for Fair Play in Ocean Shipping. Members of the Coalition are alleged to be currently negotiating service contracts in the Far East in-bound trade lanes for the shipping season commencing May 1, 1999, and are asking the Commission to seek certain information through section 15 order. Petitioner claims that TSA warrants oversight during the negotiating period for the 1999 shipping season.

Interested persons are requested to reply to the petition no later than April 9, 1999. Replies shall be directed to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573– 0001, shall consist of an original and 15 copies, and shall be served on counsel for Petitioner, Carlos Rodriguez, Esq., Carlos Rodriguez & Associates, 1710 Rhode Island Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

Copies of the petition are available for examination at the Office of the Secretary of the Commission, 800 N. Capitol Street, NW, Room 1046, Washington, DC.

Bryant L. VanBrakle,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–8111 Filed 4–1–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6730–01–M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 et seq.) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The application also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act. Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than April 26, 1999.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis (Randall C. Sumner, Vice President) 411 Locust Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63102–2034:

1. MHBC Investments Limited Partnership, Little Rock, Arkansas; to become a bank holding company by acquiring at least 57 percent of the