

- Web address if available) and name, telephone number, fax number, and E-mail address of the certifying official.
- (2) A statement indicating whether your firm/entity is a U.S. producer of the Domestic Like Product to which your response pertains, a U.S. union or worker group, a U.S. importer of the Subject Merchandise, a foreign producer or exporter of the Subject Merchandise, a U.S. or foreign trade or business association, or another interested party (including an explanation). If you are a union/worker group or trade/business association, identify the firms in which your workers are employed or which are members of your association.
- (3) A statement indicating whether your firm/entity is willing to participate in this review by providing information requested by the Commission.
- (4) A statement of the likely effects of the termination of the suspended investigation on each Domestic Industry for which you are filing a response in general and/or your firm/entity specifically. In your response, please discuss the various factors specified in section 752(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675a(a)) including the likely volume of subject imports, likely price effects of subject imports, and likely impact of imports of *Subject Merchandise* on the *Domestic Industry*.
- (5) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. producers of each Domestic Like Product for which you are filing a response. Identify any known related parties and the nature of the relationship as defined in section 771(4)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1677(4)(B)).
- (6) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise and producers of the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country that currently export or have exported Subject Merchandise to the United States or other countries since 1987.
- (7) If you are a U.S. producer of a Domestic Like Product, provide the following information separately on your firm's operations on each product during calendar year 1998 (report quantity data in short tons and value data in thousands of U.S. dollars, f.o.b. plant). If you are a union/worker group or trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms in which your workers are employed/which are members of your association.
- (a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. production of the Domestic Like Product accounted for by your firm's(s') production; and (b) the quantity and value of U.S. commercial shipments of the Domestic Like Product produced in your U.S. plant(s).
- (8) If you are a U.S. importer or a trade/business association of U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise from the Subject Country, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 1998 (report quantity data in short tons and value data in thousands of U.S. dollars). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.
- (a) The quantity and value (landed, duty-paid but not including antidumping or countervailing duties) of U.S. imports and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. imports of Subject Merchandise from the Subject Country accounted for by your firm's(s') imports; and (b) the quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. commercial shipments of Subject Merchandise imported from the Subject Country.
- (9) If you are a producer, an exporter, or a trade/business association of producers or exporters of the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 1998 (report quantity data in short tons and value data in thousands of U.S. dollars, landed and duty-paid at the U.S. port but not including antidumping or countervailing duties). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.
- (a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country accounted for by your firm's(s') production; and (b) the quantity and value of your firm's(s') exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise from the Subject Country accounted for by your firm's(s') exports.
- (10) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for each Domestic Like Product that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country since the Order Date, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the Domestic Like Product produced in the United States, Subject Merchandise produced in the Subject Country, and such merchandise from other countries.
- (11) (OPTIONAL) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the Domestic Like Product and Domestic Industry; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: March 25, 1999.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-8072 Filed 3-31-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-U

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Proposed Amended Rules for the Processing of Petitions for Review of Circuit Council Orders Under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States.

ACTION: Request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Judicial Conference Committee to Review Circuit Council Conduct and Disability Orders proposes an amendment to its Rules for the Processing of Petitions for Review of Circuit Council Orders under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, adopted in September 1989. The recommended change would amend Rule 6 to establish a 60-day time limit for filing a petition for review, with an additional 30 days for the filings of cross-petitions for review, by the Judicial Conference of action taken by the judicial council of a circuit in complaint proceedings under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. 372(c). The existing rules do not impose any time limit upon the filing of a petition for review with the Judicial Conference.

DATES: Written comments on these rules should be received on or before April 30, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be mailed to the Office of the General Counsel, Suite 7-290, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, One Columbus Circle, NE., Washington, DC 20544.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeffrey N. Barr, Assistant General Counsel, Suite 7-290, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, One Columbus Circle, NE., Washington, DC 20544, telephone: (202) 502-1100.

Rules of the Judicial Conference of the United States for the Processing of Petitions for Review of Circuit Council Orders Under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act

The Judicial Conference of the United States prescribes these rules under the authority of section 372(c)(11) of title 28, United States Code, with respect to the processing of petitions for review submitted to the Conference under 28 U.S.C. 372(c)(10), seeking review of circuit council actions taken under 28 U.S.C. 372(c)(6) upon complaints of judicial conduct or disability:

1. Petition for review may be made by the filing of a written submission to the Judicial Conference addressed as follows: Loenidas Ralph Mecham, Secretary, Judicial Conference of the United States Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, Attention: Office of the General Counsel.

2. No form is prescribed for the filing of a petition for review.

3. Such petition shall consist of a written submission in typewriting on plain paper of 8½ by 11 inch dimensions.

4. No formal limitation is imposed upon the length of the petition, but it is suggested that such petition should not normally exceed 20 pages in addition to the attachments required by Rule 8.

5. The petition shall contain a short and plain statement of the basic facts underlying the complaint, the history of its consideration before the appropriate circuit judicial council, and the premises upon which the petitioner asserts entitlement to relief from the action taken by the council.

6. A petition for review under these rules must be submitted within sixty (60) days following final action by the circuit judicial council under 28 U.S.C. 372(c)(6) and issuance of its implementing order under 28 U.S.C. 372(c)(15). Once a petition for review has been submitted, a cross-petition for review must be submitted with thirty (30) days following submission of the petition for review, or within sixty (60) days following final action by the circuit judicial council under 28 U.S.C. 372(c)(6) and issuance of its implementing order under 28 U.S.C. 372(c)(15), whichever is later.

7. Five copies of the petition for review shall be submitted, at least one of which shall bear the original ink signature of the petitioner or his or her attorney. If the petitioner submits a signed declaration of inability to pay the expense of duplicating the petition, the Administrative Office shall then accept the original petition alone and shall undertake necessary reproduction of copies at its expense.

8. The petition for review shall have attached thereto a copy of each of the following documents:

- The order of the circuit judicial council issued under 28 U.S.C. 372(c)(15), of which review is sought;
- The original complaint of judicial misconduct or disability that commenced the proceeding;
- Any other documents or correspondence arising in the course of the proceeding before the judicial council or its special committee which the petitioner deems essential or useful to the prompt disposition of the review petition.

9. Upon receipt of a petition for review that appears on its face to be coherent, in compliance with these rules, and appropriate for present disposition, the Administrative Office shall promptly acknowledge receipt of the petition and advise the chairman of the Judicial Conference Committee to Review Circuit Council Conduct and Disability Orders, a committee appointed by the Chief Justice of the United States as authorized by 28 U.S.C. 331.

10. Unless otherwise directed by the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference, the Committee to Review Circuit Council Conduct and Disability Orders shall assume the consideration and disposition of all petitions for review, in conformity with the Judicial Conference statement of the Committee's jurisdiction.

11. The Administrative Office shall then distribute the petition and its attachment to the members of the Committee to Review Circuit Council Conduct and Disability Orders for their deliberation. The petition shall receive an eight-digit identifying number of which the initial two digits shall refer to the year of filing, the next three digits shall be "372," and the final three shall identify each individual petition. Unless otherwise directed by the chairman, the Administrative Office shall contact the circuit executive or clerk of the U.S. court of appeals for the appropriate circuit to obtain the record of circuit council consideration of the complaint for distribution to the Committee.

12. In recognition of the review nature of petition proceedings under 28 U.S.C. 372(c)(10), no additional investigation shall ordinarily be undertaken by the Judicial Conference or the Committee. If such investigation is deemed necessary, the Conference or Committee may remand the matter to the circuit judicial council that considered the complaint, or may undertake any investigation found to be required. If such investigation is undertaken by the Conference or Committee, (a) adequate prior notice shall be given in writing to the judge or magistrate whose conduct is the subject of the complaint, (b) such judge or magistrate shall be afforded an opportunity to appear at any investigative proceedings which might be conducted and to present argument orally or in writing, and (c) the complainant shall be afforded an opportunity to appear at any proceedings conducted if it is considered that the complainant could offer substantial new and relevant information.

13. Except where additional investigation is undertaken as provided in Rule 12, there shall be no arguments or personal appearances before the Committee. Unless the petition for review is amenable to disposition on the face thereof, the Committee may determine to receive written argument from the petitioner and from the other party to the complaint proceeding (the complainant or judge/magistrate complained against).

14. The decision on the petition shall be made by written order as provided by 28 U.S.C. 372(c)(15). Such order shall be

forwarded by the committee chairman to the Administrative Office, which shall distribute it as directed by the chairman. In accordance with section 372(c)(15), orders of the Committee shall be maintained as public documents by the Administrative Office and by the clerk of the United States court of appeals for the circuit in which the complaint arose.

15. In conformity with 28 U.S.C. 372(c)(10), all orders and determinations of the Judicial Conference or of the Committee on its behalf, including denials of petitions for review, shall be final and conclusive and shall not be judicially reviewable on appeal or otherwise.

Leonidas Ralph Mecham,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-8025 Filed 3-31-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210-55-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, and 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2), notice is hereby given that on March 22, 1999, a Consent Decree was lodged in *United States v. Butterfield Joint Venture, Ltd.*, Civil Action No. 2:99CV-0182J with the United States District Court for the District of Utah.

The Complaint in this case was filed under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607, with respect to the Midvale Slag Superfund Site located in Midvale, Utah against Butterfield Joint Venture, Ltd. Pursuant to the terms of the Consent Decree, which resolves claims under the above-mentioned statute the settling defendant agrees to pay \$125,000 to the United States to reimburse response costs incurred at the Site and the United States covenants not to sue the settling defendant for further response costs incurred by the United States at the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for a period of thirty days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Butterfield Joint Venture, Ltd.* days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments

should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Butterfield Joint Venture, Ltd.*, DOJ Ref. No. 90-11-3-1194.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, District of Utah, 185 South Street, Suite 400, Salt Lake City 84111, or at the offices of the Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Copies of the proposed consent decree may be examined at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the consent decree may also be obtained in person or by mail at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005. When requesting a copy of the decree by mail, please enclose a check in the amount of \$6.16 for a copy (twenty-five cents per page reproduction costs) payable to the "Consent Decree Library."

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 99-7971 Filed 3-31-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decrees Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, the Department of Justice gives notice that two proposed consent decrees in the consolidated cases captioned *United States v. Cantrell, et al.*, Civil Action No. C-1-97-981 (S.D. Ohio) and *United States v. Ohio Power Co., et al.*, Civil Action No. C-1-98-247 (S.D. Ohio), were lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division, on March 18, 1999, pertaining to the Automatic Containers Superfund Site (the "Site"), located near Ironton, in Lawrence County, Ohio. The proposed consent decrees would resolve certain civil claims of the United States for recovery of more than \$1.2 million in past response costs under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607, against two defendants in the consolidated cases.

The first proposed consent decree, captioned "Partial Consent Decree with

Settling Defendant Ohio Power Company" would provide for Ohio Power Company's payment of \$210,000 in reimbursement of past CERCLA response costs the United States incurred in connection with the Site. The second proposed consent decree, captioned "Partial Consent Decree with Settling Defendant AK Steel Corporation" would provide for AK Steel Corporation's payment of \$15,000 in reimbursement of past CERCLA response costs the United States incurred in connection with the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decrees. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Cantrell, et al.*, Civil Action No. C-1-97-981 (S.D. Ohio) and *United States v. Ohio Power Co., et al.*, Civil Action No. C-1-98-247 (S.D. Ohio), and DOJ References No. 90-11-3-1756, and the proposed consent decree(s) which the comments address.

The proposed consent decrees may be examined at: (1) Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Ohio, 220 U.S. Courthouse, 100 East Fifth Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 (contact Gerald Kaminski (513-684-3711)); (2) the United States Environmental Protection Agency (Region 5), 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590 (contact Mony Chabria (312-886-6842)); and (3) the U.S. Department of Justice, Environment and Natural Resources Division Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005 (202-624-0892). Copies of the proposed consent decrees may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting copies, please refer to the referenced case and DOJ Reference Number, the proposed consent decree(s), requested, and enclose a check for the amount(s) described below, made payable to the Consent Decree Library. The cost for a copy of the "Partial Consent Decree with Settling Defendant Ohio Power Company" only is \$5.75 (23 pages at 25 cents per page reproduction costs), or \$6.50 for that consent decree and all appendices (26 pages). The cost for a copy of the "Partial Consent Decree with Settling Defendant AK Steel Corporation" only is \$6.00 (24 pages at 25 cents per page reproduction costs), or