

Dated: March 16, 1999.

**Carolita U. Kallaur,**

*Associate Director for Offshore Minerals Management.*

[FR Doc. 99-6868 Filed 3-19-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### Final General Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement for Isle Royale National Park, Keweenaw County, MI

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The National Park Service published a notice in the **Federal Register** of November 3, 1998, (63 FR 59328) concerning the availability of the Final General Management Plan and Final Environmental Impact Statement (GMP/EIS) for Isle Royale National Park. The Environmental Protection Agency's notice of availability for this document appeared in the **Federal Register** dated November 6, 1998 (63 FR 59988). The Final EIS circulated in association with these notices inadvertently did not include the cover sheet as required by 40 CFR 1502.11. The omission may have resulted in lack of clarity about where to send comments or about the due date for those comments. Accordingly, the National Park Service is reannouncing the availability of the Final GMP/EIS, and is reinitiating the no action period for review of the EIS as required by 40 CFR 1506.10(a)(2).

**DATES:** The required no action period for review of the Final GMP/EIS will end 30 days after the Environmental Protection Agency has again listed the availability of the document (with cover sheet) in the **Federal Register**. A record of decision will follow the no action period.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Superintendent, Isle Royale National Park, 800 E. Lakeshore Drive, Houghton, Michigan 49931 or telephone: 906-482-0984.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** No changes have been made to the Final GMP/EIS since originally made available on November 3, 1998. Only a cover sheet has been added to the document. The Final GMP/EIS presents five alternatives for future management of Isle Royale National Park. The draft plan was on review in April and May 1998. This final plan incorporates comments made during that public review.

Copies of the Final GMP/EIS are available at the following locations: Office of Public Affairs, National Park Service, 1849 C Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20013; Department of Interior Natural Resource Library, 1849 C Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20013; National Park Service, Midwest Regional Office, 1709 Jackson Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68102; and Isle Royale National Park, 800 E. Lakeshore Drive, Houghton, Michigan 49931. A copy of the document can also be viewed via the Internet at: [www.nps.gov/planning/isro/fgmp/fgmp.htm](http://www.nps.gov/planning/isro/fgmp/fgmp.htm).

Dated: March 12, 1999.

**William W. Schenk,**

*Regional Director, Midwest Region.*

[FR Doc. 99-6842 Filed 3-19-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before March 13, 1999. Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR Part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded to the National Register, National Park Service, 1849 C St. NW, NC400, Washington, DC 20240. Written comments should be submitted by April 6, 1999.

**Carol D. Shull,**

*Keeper of the National Register.*

## CONNECTICUT

### Fairfield County

Shambaugh House, 12 Old Hill Rd., Westport, 99000432

### New London County

Jail Hill Historic District, Roughly along Cedar, School, Fountain, Happy, and John Sts., Norwich, 99000431

## MICHIGAN

### Washtenaw County

Main Street Historic District, 3-153 E. Main, 1-41 W. Main, and 8 Park Lane, Milan, 99000434

### Wayne County

Kingston Arms Apartments, 296 E. Grand Blvd., Detroit, 99000433  
Saint Paul Manor Apartments, 356 E. Grand Blvd., Detroit, 99000435

## NORTH CAROLINA

### Gaston County

Robinson—Gardner Building, 173-175 W. Main Ave., Gastonia, 99000436

### Henderson County

Bryn Avon, Jct. of River Rd. and Mallett Rd., Etowah vicinity, 99000437

## WASHINGTON

### Thurston County

Allen—Beals House (Women's History in Olympia MPS) 726 S. Percival, Olympia, 99000438

Kearney House—YWCA Clubhouse (Women's History in Olympia MPS), 220 E. Union, Olympia, 99000439

## WISCONSIN

### Shawano County

Shawano Main Street Historic District, Roughly including E. Division St. and S. Main St., Shawano, 99000440

[FR Doc. 99-6855 Filed 3-19-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

#### Civil Rights Division; Office of Special Counsel for Immigration Related Unfair Employment Practices; Immigration Related Employment Discrimination; Public Education Grants

**AGENCY:** Office of Special Counsel for Immigration Related Unfair Employment Practices, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of funds and solicitation for grant applications.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Special Counsel for Immigration Related Unfair Employment Practices (OSC) announces the availability of funds for grants to conduct public education programs about the rights afforded potential victims of employment discrimination and the responsibilities of employers under the antidiscrimination provisions of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), 8 U.S.C. 1324b.

It is anticipated that a number of grants will be competitively awarded to applicants who can demonstrate a capacity to design and successfully implement public education campaigns to combat immigration related employment discrimination. Grants will range in size from \$40,000 to \$100,000.

OSC will accept proposals from applicants who have access to potential victims of discrimination or whose experience qualifies them to educate workers, employers and the general public about the antidiscrimination provisions of the INA. OSC welcomes proposals from diverse nonprofit

organizations such as local, regional or national ethnic and immigrants' rights advocacy organizations, trade associations, industry groups, professional organizations, or other nonprofit entities, including state and local government agencies, providing information services to potential victims of discrimination and/or employers.

**APPLICATION DUE DATE:** May 6, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Patita McEvoy, Public Affairs Specialist, Office of Special Counsel for Immigration Related Unfair Employment Practices, 1425 New York Ave., N.W., Suite 9000, P.O. Box 27728, Washington, D.C. 20038-7728. Tel. (202) 616-5594, or (202) 616-5525 (TDD) for the hearing impaired). OSC's e-mail address is osc.crt@usdoj.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Office of Special Counsel for Immigration Related Unfair Employment Practices of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice announces the availability of funds to conduct cost-effective public education programs concerning the antidiscrimination provisions of INA. Funds will be awarded to selected applicants who propose cost-effective ways of educating employers, workers covered by this statute, and/or the general public.

### Background

The Immigration and Nationality Act makes knowingly hiring unauthorized workers unlawful, and requires employers to verify the identity and work authorization of all new employees. Employers who violate this law are subject to sanctions, including fines and possible criminal prosecution.

The INA also prohibits employers of four or more employees from discriminating on the basis of citizenship status or national origin in hiring, firing, recruitment or referral for a fee, and prohibits employers from engaging in document abuse in the employment eligibility verification process.

U.S. citizens and certain classes of work authorized individuals are protected from *citizenship status discrimination*. Protected non-citizens include:

- Temporary Residents;
- Legal Permanent Residents;
- Refugees;
- Asylees.

Citizens and *all* work authorized individuals are protected from *discrimination on the basis of national origin*. However, this prohibition applies only to employers with four to fourteen employees. National origin discrimination complaints against

employers with fifteen or more employees remain under the jurisdiction of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. 200e, *et seq.*

In addition, under the *document abuse provision* of the law, employers must accept all forms of work authorization and proof of identity allowed by the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) for completion of the Employment Eligibility Verification (I-9) Form. Employers may not prefer or require one form of documentation over another for hiring purposes. Requiring more or specific documents to prove identity and work authorization may constitute document abuse.

On October 1, 1996, Congress passed the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (IIRIRA). IIRIRA expands the existing electronic employment eligibility pilot programs being carried out by the INS, and will reduce the number of documents that employers can accept to verify an individual's work eligibility. These changes are expected to be phased in over the next year.

OSC is responsible for receiving and investigating discrimination charges and, when appropriate, filing complaints with specially designated administrative law judges. OSC also initiates independent investigations of possible immigration related job discrimination.

While OSC has established a record of vigorous enforcement, studies by the U.S. General Accounting Office and other sources have shown that there is an extensive lack of knowledge on the part of protected individuals and employers about the antidiscrimination provisions of the INA. Enforcement cannot be effective if potential victims of discrimination are not aware of their rights. Moreover, discrimination can never be eradicated so long as employers are not aware of their responsibilities.

### Purpose

OSC seeks to educate both workers and employers about their rights and responsibilities under the antidiscrimination provisions of INA. Because previous grantees have developed a wealth of materials (e.g., brochures, posters, booklets, information packets, and videos) to educate these groups, OSC has determined that the main focus of the program should be on the *actual delivery* of these materials to educate further both potential victims and employers. OSC seeks proposals that

will use *existing materials* effectively to educate large numbers of workers or employers about exercising their rights or fulfilling their obligations under the antidiscrimination provisions. OSC will, of course, consider any proposal that articulates and substantiates other creative means of reaching these populations.

### Program Description

The program is designed to develop and implement cost-effective approaches to educate potential victims of employment discrimination about their rights and to educate employers about their responsibilities under INA's antidiscrimination provisions. *Applications may propose to educate potential victims only, employers only, or both in a single campaign.* Program budgets must include the travel, lodging and other expenses necessary for up to two program staff members to attend the mandatory OSC grantee training (2 days) held in Washington, D.C. at the beginning of the grant period (late Autumn). Proposals should outline the following key elements of the program:

#### Part I: Targeted Population

The educational efforts under the grant should be directed to (1) work-authorized non-citizens who are protected individuals, since this group especially vulnerable to employment discrimination; (2) those citizens who are most likely to become victims of employment discrimination; and/or to (3) employers. The proposals should define the characteristics of the work authorized population or the employer group(s) targeted for the educational campaign, and the applicant's qualifications to reach credibly and effectively large segments of the campaign targets.

The proposals should also detail the reasons for targeting each group of protected individuals or employers by describing particular needs or other factors to support the selection. In defining the campaign targets and supporting the reasons for the selection, applicants may use studies, surveys, or any other sources of information of generally accepted reliability.

#### Part II: Campaign Strategy

We encourage applicants to devise effective and creative means of public education and information dissemination that are specifically designed to reach the widest possible targeted audience. Those applicants proposing educational campaigns addressing potential victims of discrimination should keep in mind that some of the traditional methods of

public communication may be less than optimal for educating members of national or linguistic groups that have limited community-based support and communication networks.

Some grantees who are conducting citizenship campaigns, have, in the past, combined those efforts and resources with the INA antidiscrimination education campaigns in order to maximize the scope and breadth of the project and to reach a larger number of individuals in the targeted population. If an applicant proposes to combine these efforts, please discuss how the programs will interact and how the budgets will be administered.

Proposals should discuss the components of the campaign strategy, detail the reasons supporting the choice of each component, and explain how each component will effectively contribute to the overall objective of cost-effective dissemination of useful and accurate information to a wide audience of protected individuals or employers. Discussions of the campaign strategies and supporting rationale should be clear, concise, and based on sound evidence and reasoning.

Since there presently exists a wealth of materials for use in educating the public, applicants should include in their budget proposals the costs for distribution of materials received from OSC or from current/past OSC grantees.

*To the extent that applicants believe the development of original materials particularly suited to their campaign is necessary, their proposal should articulate in detail the circumstances requiring the development of such materials. All such materials must be approved by OSC prior to production to ensure legal accuracy and proper emphasis. Proposed revisions/translations of OSC-approved materials must also be submitted for clearance. All information distributed should also identify OSC as a source of assistance, information and action, and include the correct address and telephone numbers of OSC, (including the toll-free numbers, TDD numbers) and OSC e-mail and Internet addresses.*

### Part III: Evaluation of the Strategy

One of the central goals of this program is determining what public education strategies are most effective and thus, should be included in future public education efforts. Therefore, it is crucial that the methods of evaluating the campaign strategy and public education materials and their results be carefully detailed. A full evaluation of a project's effectiveness is due within 60 days of the conclusion of a campaign. Interim evaluation/activity reports are due at least quarterly, or more frequently as needed throughout the grant year.

### Selection Criteria

The final selection of grantees for award will be made by the Special Counsel for Immigration Related Unfair Employment Practices.

A panel made up of OSC staff will review and rate the applications and make recommendations to the Special Counsel regarding funding. The panel's results are advisory in nature and not binding on the Special Counsel. *Letters of support, endorsement, or recommendation are not part of the grant application process and will not be considered.*

In determining which applications to fund, OSC will consider the following (based on a one-hundred point scale)

#### 1. Program Design (50 points)

Sound program design and cost-effective strategies for educating the targeted population are imperative. Consequently, areas that will be closely examined include the following:

- a. Evidence of in-depth knowledge of the goals and objectives of the project. (15 points)
- b. Selection and definition of the target group(s) for the campaign, and the factors that support the selection, including special needs, and the applicant's qualifications to reach effectively the target. (10 points)
- c. A cost-effective campaign strategy for educating targeted employers and/or members of the protected class, with a justification for the choice of strategy, including the degree to which the campaign has prevented immigration related unfair employment practices and has reached individuals with such claims. (15 points)
- d. The evaluation methods proposed by the applicant to measure the effectiveness of the campaign and their precision in indicating to what degree the campaign is successful. (10 points)

#### 2. Administrative Capability (20 points)

Proposals will be rated in terms of the capability of the applicant to implement the targeting, public education and evaluation components of the campaign:

- a. Evidence of proven ability to provide high quality results. (10 points)
- b. Evidence that the applicant can implement the campaign, and complete the evaluation component within the time lines provided.

**Note:** OSC's experience during previous grant cycles has shown that a number of applicants choose to apply as a consortium of individual entities; or, if applying individually, propose the use of subcontractors to undertake certain limited functions. It is essential that these applicants demonstrate the proven management capability and experience to ensure that, as

lead agency, they will be directly accountable for the successful implementation, completion, and evaluation of the project. (10 points)

#### 3. Staff Capability (10 points)

Applications will be evaluated in terms of the degree to which:

- a. The duties outlined for grant-funded positions appear appropriate to the work that will be conducted under the award. (5 points)
- b. The qualifications of the grant-funded positions appear to match the requirements of these positions. (5 points)

**Note:** If the grant project manager or other member of the professional staff is to be hired later as part of the grant, or should there be any change in professional staff during the grant period, hiring is subject to review and approval by OSC at that time.

#### 4. Previous Experience (20 points)

The proposals will be evaluated on the degree to which the applicant demonstrates that it has successfully carried out programs or work of a similar nature in the past.

### Eligible Applicants

This grant competition is open to nonprofit organizations and state and local government agencies.

### Grant Period and Award Amount

It is anticipated that several grants will be awarded and will range in size from \$40,000 to \$100,000.

Publication of this announcement does not require OSC to award any specific number of grants, or to obligate all or any part of available funds. The period of performance will be twelve months from the date of the grant award, in most cases beginning October 1, 1999.

### Application Deadline

All applications must be received by 6:00 PM EDT, May 6, 1999 at the Office of Special Counsel for Immigration Related Unfair Employment Practices, U.S. Department of Justice, 1425 New York Ave., N.W., Suite 9000, P.O. Box 27728, Washington, D.C. 20038-7728. If sent by regular first-class mail, please use the P.O. Box; if using Federal Express or priority mail, use the street address. *Applications may not be submitted via facsimile machine.*

### Application Requirements

Applicants should submit an original and two (2) copies of their completed proposal by the deadline established above. All submissions must contain the following items in the order listed below:

1. A completed and signed Application for Federal Assistance (Standard Form 424) and Budget Information (Standard Form 424A).

2. OJP Form 4061/6 (Certification Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements).

3. A Standard Form LLL (Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying).

4. An abstract of the full proposal, not to exceed one page.

5. A program narrative of not more than fifteen (15) double-spaced typed pages which includes the following:

a. A clear statement describing the approach and strategy to be utilized to complete the tasks identified in the program description;

b. A clear statement of the proposed goals and objectives, including a listing of the major events, activities, products and timetables for completion;

c. The proposed staffing plan (NOTE: If the grant project manager or other professional staff member is to be hired later as part of the grant, or should there be a change in professional staff during the grant period, hiring is subject to review and approval by OSC at that time); and

d. Description of how the project will be evaluated.

6. A proposed budget outlining all direct and indirect costs for personnel, fringe benefits, travel, equipment, supplies, subcontracts, and a short narrative justification of each budgeted line item cost. If an indirect cost rate is used in the budget, then a copy of a current fully executed agreement between the applicant and the cognizant Federal agency must accompany the budget. NOTE: Program budgets must include the travel, lodging and other expenses necessary for not more than two program staff members to attend the mandatory OSC grantee training (2 days) held in Washington, DC at the beginning of the grant period (late Autumn).

7. OJP Form 7120/1 (Accounting System and Financial Capability Questionnaire).

8. Copies of resumes of the professional staff proposed in the budget.

9. Detailed technical materials that support or supplement the description of the proposed effort should be included in the appendix.

In order to facilitate handling, please do not use covers, binders or tabs.

Application forms may be obtained by writing or telephoning: Office of Special Counsel for Immigration Related Unfair Employment Practices, P.O. Box 27728, Washington, DC 20038-7728. Tel. (202) 616-5594, or (202) 616-5525 (TDD for

the hearing impaired). This announcement will also appear on the World Wide Web at [www.usdoj.gov/crt/osc/](http://www.usdoj.gov/crt/osc/)

Dated: March 17, 1999.

**John D. Trasviña,**

*Special Counsel, Office of Special Counsel for Immigration, Related Unfair Employment Practices.*

[FR Doc. 99-6921 Filed 3-19-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of Justice Programs

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection under review; (reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired); Claim for death benefits.

The Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Programs, has submitted the following information collection request for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Office of Management and Budget approval is being sought for the information collection listed below. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register on September 30, 1998, allowing for a 60-day public comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment until [April 21, 1999]. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Office, Washington, DC 20530. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-7285. Comments may also be submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division, Information Management and Security Staff, Attention: Department Clearance Officer, Suite 850, 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20530. Additionally, comments may be submitted to DOJ via facsimile to (202) 514-1590.

Written comments and/or suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of

information should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the function of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information:

(1) *Type of information collection:* Reinstatement of collection for which OMB Clearance has expired.

(2) *The title of the form/collection:* Claim for Death Benefits.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* The form number is 3650/5, Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Assistance, United States Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary:* Federal, State and Local agencies. This data collection will gather information to determine the eligibility of Claim for Death Benefits for the repayment of determine the eligibility of Claim for Death Benefits for the payment of benefits.

*Other:* National public membership organizations.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* It is estimated that 320 respondents will complete a 1.2 hours per respondents will complete a 1.2 hours per response.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The total hour burden to complete the nominations is 384 the annual burden hours. If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC