

Staff, Attention: Department Clearance Officer, Suite 850, 1001 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530. Comment may also be submitted to DOJ via facsimile to 202-514-1534.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Reinstatement without change of previously approved information collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* ABC Change of Address Form and Special Filing Instructions for ABC Class Members.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Forms I-855 and M-426. Office of International Affairs, Asylum Division, Immigration and Naturalization Service.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Individuals or Households. This form is mandated by the *American Baptist Churches v. Thornburgh*, 760 F. Supp. 796 (N.D. Cal. 1991) and will be used by class members to inform the INS of address changes.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* 250,000 I-855 responses at 30 minutes (.50 hours) per response; and 250,000 M-426 responses at 2 hours per response.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* 625,000 annual burden hours.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact Mr. Richard A. Sloan, 202-514-3291, Director, Policy Directives and Instructions Branch, Immigration and Naturalization Service, U.S. Department of Justice, Room 5307, 425 I Street, NW., Washington, DC 20536.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: March 9, 1999.

Richard A. Sloan,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service.

[FR Doc. 99-6463 Filed 3-16-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-10-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Acquisition Regulation (NRCAR).

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0169.

3. *How often the collection is required:* On occasion; one time.

4. *Who is required to report:* Offerors responding to NRC solicitations and contractors receiving contract awards from NRC.

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 750.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 120,449 (10.6 hours per response).

7. *Abstract:* The mandatory requirements of the NRCAR implement and supplement the government-wide Federal Acquisition Regulation, and ensure that the regulations governing the procurement of goods and services within the NRC satisfy the needs of the agency.

Submit by (May 17, 1999), comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (lower level), Washington, DC. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide website (<http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/PUBLIC/OMB/index.html>). The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T-6 F33, Washington, DC. 20555-0001, or by telephone at (301) 415-7233, or by Internet electronic mail at BJS@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of March, 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brenda Jo Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 99-6453 Filed 3-16-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. *Type of submission, new, revision, or extension:* Revision.

2. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR Part 72, Licensing Requirements for the Independent Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste.

3. *How often the collection is required:* Required reports are collected and evaluated on a continuing basis as events occur. Applications for new licenses and amendments may be submitted at any time. Applications for renewal of licenses would be required every 20 years for an Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) and every 40 years for a Monitored Retrievable Storage (MRS) facility.

4. *Who will be required or asked to report:* Vendors of casks for the storage of spent fuel, licensees and applicants for a license to possess power reactor spent fuel and other radioactive materials associated with spent fuel storage in an ISFSI, and the Department of Energy for licenses to receive, transfer, package and possess power reactor spent fuel, high-level waste, and other radioactive materials associated with spent fuel and high-level waste storage in an MRS.

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 8.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 21,529 (an average of approximately 167 hours per response for applications and reports, plus approximately 765 hours annually per recordkeeper).

7. *An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104-13 applies:* Not applicable.

8. *Abstract:* 10 CFR Part 72 establishes requirements, procedures, and criteria for the issuance of licenses to receive, transfer, and possess power reactor spent fuel and other radioactive materials associated with spent fuel storage in an ISFSI, and requirements for the issuance of licenses to the Department of Energy to receive, transfer, package, and possess power reactor spent fuel and high-level radioactive waste, and other associated radioactive materials, in an MRS. The information in the applications, reports and records is used by NRC to make licensing and other regulatory determinations. The revised estimate of burden reflects an increase primarily

because of the addition of requirements for decommissioning funding requirements, financial assurance provisions, documentation additions for decommissioning and license termination, and notification of incidents.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (lower level), Washington, DC. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site (<http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/PUBLIC/OMB/index.html>). The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by April 16, 1999. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date.

Erik Godwin, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0135), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395-3084.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301-415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of March 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brenda Jo. Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 99-6452 Filed 3-16-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 40-2259]

Pathfinder Mines Corporation

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Final finding of no significant impact notice of opportunity for hearing.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) proposes to amend NRC Source Material License SUA-672, approving Pathfinder Mines Corporation's (PMC's "no action" proposal on cleanup of Reid Draw located downgradient of the Lucky Mc tailings system at Gas Hills, Wyoming. This license currently authorizes PMC to possess byproduct material in the

form of uranium waste tailings generated by the licensee's milling operations at the site. In accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 51, an Environmental Assessment (EA) was performed by the NRC staff in support of its review of PMC's Environmental Report (ER) on the status of Reid Draw. The conclusion of the Environmental Assessment is a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) of "no action" proposal on cleanup of Reid Draw.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

By its letter dated August 28, 1998, PMC submitted an ER on the status of radiological contamination of Reid Draw. The draw is downgradient of the Lucky Mc tailings system at Gas Hills, Wyoming. PMC presented three action alternatives in the ER, and requested the NRC concurrence on its proposed "no action" alternative.

Reid Draw developed as a result of surface water erosion in the general area of the current Lucky Mc uranium mill site and portions of the uranium mine site that lie further to the south. Upon developing the mill, the mill tailings management structures were built at the head of Reid Draw. In the early days of mill operation, there was only one embankment, the No. 1 solid tailings dam. It served as the sole tailings storage facility from the inception of milling in 1958 until 1960 when the No. 2 dam was constructed. A review of early company records indicates that excess tailings solution was routinely discharged down Reid Draw from the No. 1 dam until June 1, 1960, when the No. 2 dam was commissioned. Apparently, this discharge was considered acceptable and normal practice in those days since the site was subject to Atomic Energy Commission inspections during the timeframe of interest. The furthest down-gradient embankment in the tailings system, the No. 4 dam was constructed in 1961.

Reid Draw is subject to only intermittent flows. However, a period of unusually rainy weather in June 1963 culminated with a protracted storm on June 15. The No. 4 solution pond capacity had been taxed due to the earlier precipitation, and the three inches of rain on June 15 proved too much for the system. Out of concern for the integrity of the No. 4 dam in the imminent event of an uncontrolled overtopping, the decision was made to cut a relief overflow, allowing some of the impounded water to escape. The licensee documentation at the time indicates that an estimated 23 million gallons of water were released. It should