Dated: March 1, 1999. Nancy-Ann Min DeParle,

Administrator, Health Care Financing

Administration.

Dated: March 9, 1999. Donna E. Shalala,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–6135 Filed 3–9–99; 2:08pm]

BILLING CODE 4120-01-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric** Administration

50 CFR Part 660

[I.D. 030299B]

RIN 0648-AL48

Fisheries Off West Coast States and in the Western Pacific; Northern Anchovy Fishery; Amendment 8

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of an amendment to a fishery management plan; request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS announces that the Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) has submitted Amendment 8 to the Northern Anchovy Fishery Management Plan (FMP) for Secretarial review. The amendment was prepared to provide a comprehensive management approach to small coastal pelagic species (CPS) off the Pacific coast. The amendment also addresses the provisions of the 1996 Sustainable Fisheries Act (SFA) regarding overfishing, bycatch, essential fish habitat, and fishing communities. **DATES: Comments on Amendment 8** must be received on or before May 11, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on Amendment 8 or supporting documents should be sent to William T. Hogarth, Administrator, Southwest Region, NMFS, 501 West Ocean Boulevard, Suite 4200, Long Beach, CA 90802.

Copies of Amendment 8, which includes a Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement/ Regulatory Impact Review, are available from Larry Six, Executive Director, Pacific Fishery Management Council, 2130 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 224, Portland, OR, 97201.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Morgan, Sustainable Fisheries Division, NMFS, at 562-980-4030 or Julie Walker, Pacific Fishery Management Council, at 503-326-6352.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act) requires each Regional Fishery Management Council to submit any amendment to an FMP to NMFS for review and approval, disapproval, or partial approval. The Magnuson-Stevens Act also requires that NMFS, upon receiving an amendment, immediately publish notification in the **Federal Register** that the amendment is available for public review and comment. NMFS will consider the public comments received during the comment period described above in determining whether to approve the amendment for implementation.

Amendment 8 would place Pacific mackerel (Scomber japonicus), Pacific sardine (Sardinops sagax), Jack mackerel (Trachurus symmetricus), and market squid (*Loligo opalescens*) in the FMP's management unit with northern anchovy (Engraulis mordax). The basic elements of the amendment follow:

- 1. Amendment 8 would divide managed species into two categories: ''actively managed'' and ''monitored''. Actively managed species would be subject to annually determined harvest limits based on estimated biomass. Monitored species would not be subject to mandatory harvest limits, although other management measures such as closed areas could apply.
- 2. Amendment 8 would include conservative harvest strategies that take into account uncontrolled harvests in the Mexican fishery, natural variability in the stocks, and the importance of coastal pelagics as forage for other fish, marine mammals, and birds.
- 3. The amendment would establish a limited entry system in the commercial fishery for CPS finfish (squid is not included) south of 39° N. latitude (Pt. Arena, California). Open access would continue north of 39° N. latitude. Historically, 99 percent of the sardine resource has been harvested south of Pt. Arena. When abundance is high, fishermen in more northern areas would still be able to gain benefits from the high abundance through the open access fishery. When abundance declines, the resource tends to disappear from the north and move south.
- 4. To qualify for a limited entry permit, a vessel would have had to land at least 100 metric tons (mt) of finfish during the period January 1, 1993, through November 5, 1997.
- 5. Vessels with limited entry permits would be limited to 125 mt per trip. The purpose of the limit is to control the fleet's harvest capacity.

6. Limited entry permits could be transferred under only limited circumstances to a replacement vessel, except during the first year of the program, when one unrestricted transfer of each permit would be allowed.

7. To accommodate vessels that land dead bait and fish for small specialty markets, Amendment 8 would allow vessels to land a specific amount, between 1 and 5 mt, without a limited entry permit. The Council would determine, and could adjust, the precise amount.

8. Amendment 8 would establish a framework process similar to that used in the Pacific coast groundfish fishery to allow the implementation of certain types of management actions without further amending the FMP. Under the framework system, actively managed and monitored species could be moved between categories as circumstances require.

The SFA amended section 303(a) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, which describes the required components of each FMP. The SFA established a 2-year deadline (October 11, 1998) by which each Regional Fishery Management Council was required to submit amendments to NMFS to bring all FMPs into compliance with the new provisions of section 303(a).

Amendment 8 seeks to make the FMP consistent with the Magnuson-Stevens Act by defining, consistent with the SFA, optimum yield (OY), overfishing, and levels at which managed stocks are considered overfished. Amendment 8 also, as required by the SFA, defines essential fish habitat, discusses the nature of bycatch in the fisheries for CPS, and presents social and economic data on communities substantially dependent or substantially engaged in

fishing.

As described in the National Standard guidelines (63 FR 24212, May 1, 1998), OY is based on maximum sustainable yield (MSY). The MSY control rules proposed for CPS would maintain biomass of the stocks at levels that are the same or higher than those produced at FMSY (the harvest rate that produces MSY), while also allowing relatively high and consistent levels of catch. OY based on an MSY control rule for CPS would always be at least as effective in maintaining a healthy stock and fishery as catches under an FMSY policy. An alternative would be to define OY as being equal to MSY, but this could prevent the Council from reducing harvest levels to accommodate ecological or economic factors. Large fluctuations in biomass make reducing the harvest as the biomass falls essential. The proposed definition of

overfishing is in terms of fishing mortality or exploitation rate.
Depending on the exploitation rate, overfishing could occur when CPS stocks are at either high or low abundance levels. Biomass levels below which no fishing is allowed are also defined.

With regard to overfishing, experience with CPS stocks around the world indicates that overfished low biomass conditions usually occur when unfavorable environmental conditions and high fishing mortality rates occur at the same time. Management measures for overfished CPS stocks would not depend on whether low biomass was due to excess fishing or unfavorable environmental conditions. Reductions in fishing mortality are required in either case.

Bycatch as defined in the Magnuson-Stevens Act is minimal in the CPS fisheries. Any bycatch issues that might arise if a high volume fishery occurred in the northern portion of the management area are unknown. In the CPS fisheries, some fish are caught and sold incidental to catching other species, because they sometimes school together. Incidental catch allowances are defined as percentages of catch, landings, or deliveries. Incidental catch allowances can be adjusted as needed, depending on the status of the incidental species.

Presence/absence data were used to determine essential fish habitat for CPS and were based on a thermal range bordered within the geographic area where a CPS species occurs at any life stage, where the CPS species has occurred historically during periods of similar environmental conditions, or where environmental conditions do not preclude colonization by the CPS species. This is necessary because as abundance increases, the range of CPS species increases significantly. New habitat becomes essential to maintain the prevailing biomass.

Based on socioeconomic data, historical harvests, and the natural variability exhibited by CPS species as documented in the FMP, management areas were developed to give fishing communities along the Pacific coast opportunities to make maximum use of the available biomass. The framework process may be used to make adjustments as experience is gained

from harvesting an expanding sardine biomass and as markets develop.

The FMP stresses the importance of CPS as bait to recreational fisheries and as food for those species targeted by recreational fishermen. The needs of live and dead bait fisheries are addressed. The FMP takes into account the importance of CPS as prey by maintaining levels of high average biomass.

Public comments on Amendment 8 must be received by May 11, 1999, to be considered by NMFS in the decision to approve/disapprove Amendment 8. A proposed rule to implement Amendment 8 has been submitted for Secretarial review and approval. NMFS expects to publish and request public comment on proposed regulations to implement Amendment 8 in the near future.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 et. seq.

Dated: March 8, 1999.

## Richard W. Surdi,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 99–6145 Filed 3–11–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F