

potential applicants. The workshops will be held at the following locations: March 11, 1999—Washington, DC; March 17, 1999—Chicago, IL; and March 19—Los Angeles, CA. For more information, please call Ms. Lisa Wilder, Workshop Coordinator, at 301-984-1471, extension 333.

#### 4. Public Health System Reporting Requirements

The Public Health System Impact Statement (PHSIS) is intended to keep State and local health officials apprised of proposed health services grant and cooperative agreement applications submitted by community-based nongovernmental organizations within their jurisdictions.

Community-based nongovernmental service providers who are not transmitting their applications through the State must submit a PHSIS to the head(s) of the appropriate State and local health agencies in the area(s) to be affected not later than the pertinent receipt date for applications. This PHSIS consists of the following information:

- a. A copy of the face page of the application (Standard form 424).
  - b. A summary of the project (PHSIS), not to exceed one page, which provides:
    - (1) A description of the population to be served.
    - (2) A summary of the services to be provided.
    - (3) A description of the coordination planned with the appropriate State or local health agencies.
- State and local governments and Indian Tribal Authority applicants are not subject to the Public Health System Reporting Requirements.

#### 5. PHS Non-Use of Tobacco Policy Statement

The PHS strongly encourages all grant and contract recipients to provide a smoke-free workplace and promote the non-use of all tobacco products. In addition, Public Law 103-227, the Pro-Children Act of 1994, prohibits smoking in certain facilities (or in some cases, any portion of a facility) in which regular or routine education, library, day care, health care, or early childhood development services are provided to children. This is consistent with the PHS mission to protect and advance the physical and mental health of the American people.

#### 6. Executive Order 12372

Applications submitted in response to all FY 1999 activities listed above are subject to the intergovernmental review requirements of Executive Order 12372, as implemented through DHHS

regulations at 45 CFR Part 100. E.O. 12372 sets up a system for State and local government review of applications for Federal financial assistance. Applicants (other than Federally recognized Indian tribal governments) should contact the State's Single Point of Contact (SPOC) as early as possible to alert them to the prospective application(s) and to receive any necessary instructions on the State's review process. For proposed projects serving more than one State, the applicant is advised to contact the SPOC of each affected State. A current listing of SPOCs is included in the application guidance materials. The SPOC should send any State review process recommendations directly to: Office of Extramural Activities Review, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Parklawn Building, Room 17-89, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857.

The due date for State review process recommendations is no later than 60 days after the specified deadline date for the receipt of applications. SAMHSA does not guarantee to accommodate or explain SPOC comments that are received after the 60-day cut-off.

Dated: March 5, 1999.

**Richard Kopanda,**

*Executive Officer, SAMHSA.*

[FR Doc. 99-5906 Filed 3-9-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162-20-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4398-N-03]

#### 1998 HUD Disaster Recovery Initiative Amendments

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice amends a notice published October 22, 1998, governing the allocation and use of HUD Disaster Recovery Initiative grant funds. The amendments add Indian tribes and Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the Virgin Islands, and American Samoa (Insular Areas) as eligible grant recipients and make technical corrections to the Allocation and Expenditure of Funds section of the original notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jan C. Opper, Senior Program Officer, Office of Block Grant Assistance, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Room 7286, 451 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20410, telephone

number (202) 708-3587. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number via TTY by calling the Federal Information Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. FAX inquiries may be sent to Mr. Opper at (202) 401-2044. (Except for the "800" number, these telephone numbers are not toll-free.)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The 1998 Supplemental Appropriations and Rescissions Act (Pub. L. 105-174, 112 Stat. 58, approved May 1, 1998), required the publication of a notice governing the allocation and use of 1998 HUD Disaster Recovery Initiative grant funds. On October 22, 1998, at 63 FR 56764, HUD published a notice to address this requirement. The notice of October 22, 1998 is amended by this notice to make technical corrections and incorporate changes made by section 215 of the Departments of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 1999 (Pub. L. 105-276, 112 Stat. 2461, approved October 21, 1998), which added Indian tribes and Insular Areas (Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the Virgin Islands, and American Samoa) as eligible grant recipients. The changes made by the amendments in this notice include amending the definition of "State" and "State grant recipient" for the purposes of these grants, adjusting specific elements required in the grant application and specifying certifications for Indian tribes. Technical corrections are to the Allocation and Expenditure of Funds section.

Accordingly, FR Doc. 98-28436, the 1998 HUD Disaster Recovery Initiative Notice, published in the **Federal Register** October 22, 1998, 63 FR 56764, is amended as follows:

1. On page 56765, in column 2, the definitions of *State* and *State grant recipient* in section I.D. are revised to read as follows:

*State* means any State of the United States, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or an instrumentality thereof approved by the Governor. Additionally, except as pertains to environmental review responsibilities under 24 CFR part 58, for these 1998 Supplemental Appropriations Act funds only, the term "States" also includes Indian tribes and Insular Areas (Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the Virgin Islands, and American Samoa).

*State grant recipient* means a unit of general local government that receives a DRI grant through a State. Additionally, for these 1998 Supplemental Appropriations Act funds only, the term "State grant recipient" also includes

Indian tribes and Insular Areas (Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the Virgin Islands, and American Samoa).

2. On page 56765, in column 3, paragraph c. of section I.E.2. is removed, and paragraphs d. and e. are redesignated as c. and d., paragraph e. is added as follows, and the redesignated paragraphs c. and d. are revised to read as follows:

c. HUD has set minimum grant amounts for the allocation of funds per disaster at the lesser of \$1.5 million or the amount of unmet need identified by FEMA from State sources, and maximum grant amounts per disaster at \$20 million.

d. HUD may calculate the allocations of funds to States for an individual declared disaster or in one or more groupings of declared disasters, as it deems appropriate.

e. If a State certifies that it has determined that the unmet needs data previously submitted to FEMA are inaccurate or significantly incomplete, within 45 days of publication of this notice, the Governor may request HUD, in consultation with FEMA, to accept, review, and identify as unmet needs, a revised State submission of such needs. Those needs must be related to a disaster declared during fiscal year 1998 or declared prior to the date of this notice during fiscal year 1999. Such request must be accompanied by the revised unmet needs data in the same format as previously prescribed by FEMA and by a justification for reconsideration.

3. On page 56765, in column 3, in section I.E.3., the date October 1, 2005, is corrected to read October 1, 2006.

4. On page 56766, in column 1, sections I.F.2. and 3. are revised to read as follows:

2. Match contributions must be made to DRI-funded recovery projects related to covered disasters.

3. Match may be provided by any public entity from non-Federal cash, real estate, or revenue resources owned or controlled by the public entity or the value of public improvements and public facilities activities, or force account work undertaken.

5. On page 56766, in column 3, a new section I.G.2A. is added to read as follows:

2A. Indian tribes and the Insular Areas (Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the Virgin Islands, and American Samoa), only, may omit from their Action Plans the items listed in paragraphs d. and e. of section I.G.2. of this notice, above.

6. On page 56766, in column 3, section I.G.3. is revised to read as follows:

3. A State must only distribute DRI funds to units of general local government and to Indian tribes that have the capability to carry out disaster recovery activities. Indian tribes, and Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the Virgin Islands, and American Samoa, may carry out activities directly.

7. On page 56766, in column 3, the introductory text of section I.G.4. is revised to read as follows:

4. Each State must describe monitoring standards and procedures pursuant to § 91.330 and include certifications pursuant to:

8. On page 56766, in column 3, a new section I.G.4A. is added to read as follows:

4A. Instead of following section I.G.4. of this notice, above, each Indian tribe must describe monitoring standards and procedures and certify that:

a. It will comply with the requirements of Title II of Public Law 90-284 (25 U.S.C. 1301) (the Indian Civil Rights Act) and any applicable anti-discrimination laws;

b. It will provide the drug-free workplace required by 24 CFR part 24, subpart F;

c. It will comply with restrictions on lobbying required by 24 CFR part 87, together with disclosure forms, if required by that part;

d. It will comply with all applicable laws;

e. It possesses the legal authority to apply for the DRI grant and execute the proposed program;

f. Except as waived, it will comply with the acquisition and relocation requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended, implementing regulations at 49 CFR part 24;

g. Prior to submission of its application to HUD, it has met the citizen participation requirements of section I.G.5.b. of this notice;

h. The Action Plan for Disaster Recovery has been developed so that more than 50 percent of the funds received under this grant will be used for activities that benefit low- and moderate-income persons (as the term "activities benefiting low- and moderate-income persons" is used at § 570.483(b)).

9. On page 56766, in column 3, paragraph I.G.5.ii. is corrected to read as follows:

ii. Publish a proposed Action Plan for Disaster Recovery in such manner to afford affected citizens and units of general local government an opportunity to examine its content and to submit comments on the proposed disaster recovery activities and on the

community development performance of the grantee; and

10. On page 56768, in column 3, section I.H.9., the first sentence of the introductory text is corrected to read as follows:

9. *Reimbursement for pre-award costs.* The effective date of the grant agreement is the date HUD obligates the appropriated funds by executing the grant agreement.

11. On page 56770, in column 2, the first paragraph of the introductory text of section I.M.2. is revised to read as follows:

2. *Labor standards.* In part because Davis-Bacon requirements are not applicable to FEMA disaster grants, it is necessary to clarify the applicability of Davis-Bacon requirements in relationship to the use of DRI funds in disaster recovery efforts. This section of this Notice addresses Davis-Bacon applicability to use of DRI funds to reimburse property owners for construction work either completed or in process at the time use of those funds is contemplated. In accordance with the authority under section 107(e)(2) of the Act, the Secretary has waived the labor standards requirements for Indian tribes under this program.

12. On page 56772, in column 3, a new section II.C.3. is added to read as follows:

3. Sections II.C.1. and II.C.2. of this notice, above, do not apply to Indian tribes, which are governed instead by the requirements of Indian Civil Rights Act (25 U.S.C. 1301-1303 Title II of the Civil Rights Act of 1968).

13. On page 56773, in column 2, a new section II.D.4. is added to read as follows:

4. Sections II.D.1. and II.D.2. of this notice, above, do not apply to Indian tribes, which are governed by the Indian Civil Rights Act.

14. On page 56773, in column 2, section II.E.1. is revised to read as follows:

1. Prior to the commitment of any DRI funds, grantees must comply with the regulations in 24 CFR part 58. These regulations require: the analysis of potential environmental impacts; consultation with interested parties; and public notification of the results of the analysis and intent to request release of funds from HUD. State grant recipients must assume the responsibility for environmental reviews under the Disaster Recovery Initiative. States administering DRI funds must assume the responsibilities set forth in section 58.18 for overseeing the State grant recipients' compliance with environmental review requirements, including receiving requests for release

of funds (RROF) and environmental certifications from State grant recipients and objections from government agencies and the public in accordance with subpart H of 24 CFR part 58. Indian tribes, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the Virgin Islands, and American Samoa must forward to the responsible HUD field office the environmental certification, the RROF and any objections received, and must recommend to HUD whether to approve or disapprove the certification and RROF.

#### Authority

1998 Supplemental Appropriations and Rescissions Act (Pub. L. 105-174, 112 Stat. 58, at 76-77, approved May 1, 1998); Departments of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 1999 (Pub. L. 105-276, 112 Stat. 2461, section 215, approved October 21, 1998).

Dated: March 4, 1999.

#### Cardell Cooper,

*Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.*

[FR Doc. 99-5859 Filed 3-9-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-29-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

#### Preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Restoration of a Portion of Icicle Creek Near Leavenworth National Fish Hatchery, Chelan County, WA

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior. Cooperating Agency: Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement.

**SUMMARY:** This notice advises the public that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) and U.S. Forest Service intend to gather information necessary for the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The EIS will consider, analyze and disclose the potential environmental impacts of a site specific restoration project on Icicle Creek. The proposed restoration site is approximately 3 miles south of the town of Leavenworth, Washington on the grounds of the Leavenworth National Fish Hatchery. The restoration objectives include: (1) Providing passage to habitat above the hatchery to native fish, and (2) restoring the historic Icicle Creek channel within the hatchery grounds. To achieve these objectives, alternative restoration strategies may

include the following actions: modification or removal of weirs in the original channel; removal of the diversion dam from Icicle Creek and restoration of streamflow in the historic channel; removal of silt that has built up in holding ponds in the historic channel; and removal of the canal and energy dispersion spillway. This notice is being furnished pursuant to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22) to obtain suggestions and information from other agencies and the public on the scope of issues and alternatives to be considered in preparation of the EIS.

**DATES:** Comments concerning the scope and analysis of this proposal should be received by June 1, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments regarding the scope of the EIS should be addressed to Greg Pratschner, National Fish Hatchery Manager, 12790 Fish Hatchery Road, Leavenworth, Washington 98826. Comments should be received on or before June 1, 1999, at the above address. Written comments may also be sent by facsimile to (509) 548-6263. Comments received will be available for public inspection by appointment during normal business hours (8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday) at the above office; please call for an appointment. All comments received will become part of the administrative record and may be released.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Corky Broadus, Public Information Officer, Leavenworth National Fish Hatchery, 12790 Fish Hatchery Road, Leavenworth, Washington 98826; phone (509) 548-7641.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The proposed Icicle Creek Restoration Project was prompted by citizens interested in re-establishing fish passage to upper Icicle Creek. The original design of the Leavenworth National Fish Hatchery involved diverting the majority of the flow of Icicle Creek to a canal and construction of holding dams and ponds in the original channel. These structures effectively blocked upper Icicle Creek to fish passage and reduced the effective stream channel by 1.5 miles. Since these structures are no longer necessary for hatchery operation, a fish passage and stream restoration project has been proposed. The environmental analysis will examine different ways to restore this portion of Icicle Creek as well as re-establish fish passage.

A range of alternatives for stream restoration will be considered, including: a no action alternative (maintaining the current situation), an alternative that would remove all unnecessary in-stream structures, an alternative that would remove silt which has been deposited in the historic stream channel and an alternative where diversion of the main flow of Icicle Creek would be returned to the historic channel. Other alternatives may be developed in response the comments received during public scoping.

To date the following issues have been identified: hydrologic and sedimentation concerns, potential water quality changes, tribal fishing, recreational fishing, irrigation or water rights, hatchery operations, economic concerns, heritage values, and sensitive plants, animals and fish.

The decision to be made through this analysis is where, how, and to what extent should stream restoration and fish passage projects be implemented at the Leavenworth National Fish Hatchery.

The U.S. Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has agreed to participate as a cooperating agency to evaluate potential effects to sensitive plants and animals and to recreation in upper Icicle Creek, and to provide hydrologic and planning skills. Public participation will be especially important at several points during the analysis. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is seeking information, comments and assistance from federal, state, tribal, and local agencies, as well as individuals and organizations who may be interested or be affected by the proposed actions. This information will be used in preparation of the draft EIS. The scoping process includes: (1) Identifying potential issues; (2) identifying additional alternatives; and (3) identifying potential environmental effects of the proposed alternatives. The Service invites written comments on the scope of this project. In addition, the Service gives notice of this analysis so the interested and affected people are aware of how they may participate and contribute to the final decision.

Dated: March 3, 1999.

#### Don Weathers,

*Regional Director, Region 1, Fish and Wildlife Service.*

[FR Doc. 99-5935 Filed 3-9-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P