alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the hydraulic damper assemblies of the main landing gear (MLG), which could result in vibration damage and collapse of the MLG, accomplish the following:

- (a) Within 2 years after the effective date of this AD, accomplish the requirements specified in either paragraph (a)(1) or (a)(2) of this AD.
- (1) Modify the right and left MLG hydraulic damper assemblies in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD90–32–032, dated July 8, 1998; or
- (2) Replace the right and left MLG hydraulic damper assemblies with modified and reidentified hydraulic damper assemblies having part number (P/N) SR09320057–7005, SR09320057–7007, SR09320057–7009, or 5923142–5513, in accordance with paragraph B.5. of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin.
- (b) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install on any airplane a damper sub assembly having P/N SR09320057–9, SR09320057–17, or 5923142–5017; or a damper assembly having P/N SR09320057–7001, SR09320057–7003, or 5923142–5511, unless the part is modified in accordance with paragraph (a)(1) of this AD.
- (c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.

Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on October 14, 1998.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–28155 Filed 10–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[TX90-1-7360b; FRL-6160-3]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plan, Texas: Recodification of Regulations to Control Lead Emissions From Stationary Sources

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is approving the recodification of the Texas State Implementation Plan (SIP) regulations controlling emissions of lead from stationary sources. The recodification consists of a renumbering of the sections and administrative changes to the rules. There are no substantive changes to the rules.

In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to the direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this action. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn, and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

Please see the direct final rule of this action located elsewhere in today's **Federal Register** for a detailed description of the Texas lead recodification.

DATES: Comments must be postmarked by November 20, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Mr. Thomas H. Diggs, Chief, Air Planning Section (6PD–L), at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. Interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733, telephone (214) 665–7214.

Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, 12100 Park 35 Circle, Building F, Austin, Texas 78753.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lt. Mick Cote, Region 6 Air Planning Section at the above address, telephone (214) 665–7242.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final action of the same title which is published in the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q. Dated: September 2, 1998.

Jerry Clifford,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6. [FR Doc. 98–28115 Filed 10–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[PA122-4078b; FRL-6178-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Enhanced Motor Vehicle Inspection and Maintenance Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed Rule; extension of the comment period.

SUMMARY: EPA is extending the comment period for a document published on September 16, 1998 (63 FR 49517). In this document, EPA proposed approval of Pennsylvnia's August 21, 1998 State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision for the enhanced motor vehicle inspection and maintenance program. At the request of a commenter, EPA is extending the comment period through November 16, 1998.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before November 16, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Marcia L. Spink, Associate Director, Office of Air Programs, Mailcode 3AP20, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Rehn, (215) 814–2176, or by email at rehn.brian@epamail.epa.gov.

Dated: October 8, 1998. W. Michael McCabe,

Regional Administrator, Region III. [FR Doc. 98–28113 Filed 10–20–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 271

[FRL-6176-6]

Idaho: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to grant final authorization to the hazardous waste program revisions submitted by the State of Idaho. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is authorizing the State's program revisions as an immediate final rule because EPA views this action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the authorization is set forth in the immediate final rule. If no adverse written comment is received on this action, the immediate final rule will become effective and no further activity will occur in relation to this proposal. If EPA receives adverse written comment, EPA will withdraw the immediate final rule before its effective date by publishing a withdrawal in the Federal Register. EPA will then respond to public comments in a later final rule based on this proposal. EPA may not provide further opportunity for comment. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before November 20, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Mail written comments to Jeff Hunt, U.S. EPA, Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Mail stop WCM-122, Seattle, WA 98101, phone, (206) 553-0256. Copies of the materials submitted by Idaho are available during normal business hours at the following locations: EPA Region 10 Library, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, WA, 98101, phone (206) 553-1289 and the Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality, Planning and Evaluation Division, 1410 N. Hilton, Boise, Idaho 83706, phone, (208) 373-0502 (Refer to Docket numbers: 0105-9401, 0105-9502, 0105-9601; contact is Pam Smolczynski).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeff Hunt, U.S. EPA, Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Mail Stop WCM–122, Seattle, WA, 98101, phone (206) 553–0256.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the immediate final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 6, 1998.

Chuck Clarke,

Regional Administrator, Region 10.
[FR Doc. 98–27703 Filed 10–20–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

RIN 1018-AF00

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Proposed Rule To Delist the Dismal Swamp Southeastern Shrew (Sorex longirostris fisheri)

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service,

Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) proposes to remove the Dismal Swamp southeastern shrew (Sorex longirostris fisheri Merriam) from the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife. The Dismal Swamp southeastern shrew was listed as a threatened species in 1986 under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). New data indicate that this species is more widely distributed than previously believed, is fairly abundant within its range, occurs in a wide variety of habitats, and is genetically secure. The Service concludes that the data supporting the original classification were incomplete and that the new data indicate removing the Dismal Swamp southeastern shrew from the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife is warranted.

DATES: Comments from all interested parties must be received by December 21, 1998. Public hearing requests must be received by December 7, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments and materials concerning this proposal should be sent to the Virginia Field Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, P.O. Box 99, 6669 Short Lane, Gloucester, Virginia 23061. The complete file for this rule is available for inspection by appointment, during normal business hours at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cynthia A. Schulz, Fish and Wildlife Biologist, at the above address (telephone 804/693–6694, extension 127; facsimile 804/693–9032).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Dismal Swamp southeastern shrew is a small, long-tailed shrew with a brown back, slightly paler underparts, buffy feet, and a relatively short, broad nose (Handley 1979a). It weighs 3 to 5 grams and measures up to 10 centimeters in length. The species was first described as Sorex fisheri by C.H. Merriam (Merriam 1895). Merriam's description was based on four specimens trapped near Lake Drummond, Virginia by A.K. Fisher of the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Bureau of Biological Surveys. Rhoads and Young (1897) captured a specimen in Chapanoke, Perquimans County, North Carolina, that seemed intermediate between S. fisheri and the southeastern shrew (Sorex longirostris Bachman) (Handley 1979b). Jackson (1928) subsequently reduced S. fisheri to a subspecies of *Š. longirostris*. Three subspecies of southeastern shrew are now recognized—Sorex longirostris eionis, which occurs in the northern two-thirds of peninsular Florida (Jones et al. 1991); S. l. fisheri, which occurs in southeastern Virginia and eastern North Carolina; and S. l. longirostris, which occurs in the rest of the range that extends through eastern Louisiana, eastern Oklahoma, and Missouri, then eastward through central Illinois and Indiana, southern Ohio, and Maryland. Jones et al. (1991) examined the taxonomic status of these three subspecies and verified substantial size differences among them. The authors found that S. l. eionis was significantly larger in four cranial measurements when compared with the other two subspecies; S. l. fisheri was significantly large in one cranial and one external measurement; and S. I. longirostris had a relatively short palate and rostrum, narrow skull, and short foot and tail. This study confirmed the subspecific status of S. l. fisheri.

Apart from a litter of five young found in a nest in the Dismal Swamp in 1905, little is known about reproduction or other life history features of *Sorex longirostris fisheri* (Handley 1979b). However, more is known about the life history of other *Sorex* species, and this information may apply to *S. l. fisheri. Sorex longirostris* reproduces from March through October, and it is likely that two litters are born each year, with one to six young produced per litter (Webster *et al.* 1985). Nests are shallow depressions lined with dried leaves and