

Russia and exported during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 1998 and extends through December 31, 1998.

Effective on August 12, 1998, you are directed to increase the current limit for Category 435 to 54,801 dozen<sup>1</sup>, as provided for under the terms of the current bilateral agreement between the Governments of the United States and the Russian Federation.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that this action falls within the foreign affairs exception of the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Troy H. Cribb,

*Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

[FR Doc. 98-21375 Filed 8-10-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-F

## COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

### Adjustment of an Import Limit for Certain Wool Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in the United Mexican States

August 4, 1998.

**AGENCY:** Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

**ACTION:** Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs increasing a limit.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 12, 1998.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Roy Unger, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-4212. For information on the quota status of this limit, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port or call (202) 927-5850. For information on embargoes and quota re-openings, call (202) 482-3715.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Authority:** Section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended.

The current limit for Category 443 is being increased for swing.

The restrictions and consultation levels in the December 22, 1997 directive to the Commissioner of Customs do not apply to NAFTA (North American Free Trade Agreement) originating goods, as defined in Annex 300-B, Chapter 4 and Annex 401 of the agreement.

<sup>1</sup> The limit has not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December 31, 1997.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the CORRELATION: Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (see **Federal Register** notice 62 FR 66057, published on December 17, 1997). Also see 62 FR 67836, published on December 30, 1997.

**Troy H. Cribb,**

*Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

#### Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

August 4, 1998.

Commissioner of Customs,  
*Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.*

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on December 22, 1997, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. That directive concerns imports of certain cotton, wool, and man-made fiber textile products, produced or manufactured in Mexico and exported during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 1998 and extends through December 31, 1998. The levels established in that directive do not apply to NAFTA (North American Free Trade Agreement) originating goods, as defined in Annex 300-B, Chapter 4 and Annex 401 of NAFTA or to goods assembled in Mexico from fabrics wholly formed and cut in the United States and exported from and re-imported into the United States under U.S. tariff item 9802.00.90.

Effective on August 12, 1998, you are directed to increase the limit for Category 443 to 186,008 numbers<sup>1</sup>, pursuant to the provisions of NAFTA.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that this action falls within the foreign affairs exception of the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Troy H. Cribb,

*Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

[FR Doc. 98-21376 Filed 8-10-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-F

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request—Customer Satisfaction Surveys

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C.

<sup>1</sup> The limit has not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December 31, 1997.

Chapter 35), the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) requests comments on proposed surveys and other information-collection activities to determine the kind and quality of services CPSC customers want and customers' level of satisfaction with existing services. The Commission will consider all comments received in response to this notice before requesting approval of this collection of information from the Office of Management and Budget.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by the Office of the Secretary not later than October 13, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be captioned "Customer Satisfaction Surveys" and mailed to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207, or delivered to that office, room 502, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland, 20814. Written comments may also be sent to the Office of the Secretary by facsimile at (301) 504-0127 or by e-mail at cpsc-os@cpsc.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information about the proposed collection of information, or to obtain a copy of the questions to be used for this collection of information, call or write Robert E. Frye, Director, Office of Planning and Evaluation, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; (301) 504-0416, Ext. 2264.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### A. Background

This request for clearance, in general, of several planned customer satisfaction surveys, is in response to the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA)-related evaluations of service quality and customer satisfaction, and in response to the Vice President's call for "Conversations with America," to survey customers and determine the type and quality of services they want and to obtain information on how to improve existing government services. "Customers" of CPSC include any individual or entity interested in or affected by agency activities. These would include, but not be limited to, (1) consumers telephoning the Hotline to report product-related incidents, or to receive information; (2) consumers, industry members, or others contacting the National Injury Information Clearinghouse for information; (3) State representatives who work with CPSC on cooperative programs; (4) firms using CPSC's Fast-Track Product Recall Program to report and simultaneously propose satisfactory product recall plans; (5) small

businesses that have sought information or assistance from the CPSC's small business ombudsman; and (6) other individuals CPSC is providing information to, such as those through the CPSC's Office of Information and Public Affairs.

The information will be used by the CPSC Office of Planning and Evaluation to prepare sections of the agency's annual performance report (required by the GPRA). This information will provide measures of the quality and effectiveness of agency efforts related to three goals in its strategic plan (informing the public, industry services, and customer satisfaction). Also, the information will be used to guide improvements in initiatives related to the "Conversation with America" program. If this information is not collected, the Commission would not have useful measures of its effectiveness in providing useful services to consumers and others, and information necessary to guide program development would not be available.

## B. Estimated Burden

The surveys and other information collection activities would be conducted by various methods, including contractors or in-house staff. They may be by (1) amending CPSC's web site's comment page, "Talk to Us/Tell Us What You Think," to solicit feedback on the level of satisfaction with CPSC's services, (2) the periodic use of brief customer service follow-up queries (on-line) with samples of telephone hotline callers, (3) surveying a sample of firms using the Fast-Track Product Recall Program to assess their views and suggestions for improvements in the service aspects of the program, (4) including customer comment cards within the pages of the *Consumer Product Safety Review*, and (5) conducting mail surveys of state partners and samples of customers of the National Injury Information Clearinghouse. Fewer than 10 customer surveys or information collection activities a year would be conducted using this clearance.

The Commission staff estimates the number of annual respondents to be about 1,550. Among the anticipated sources and annual respondents are:

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Sources   |     |
| Web site .....                                  | 500 |
| CPS Review .....                                | 100 |
| Recall Round-ups .....                          | 100 |
| Hotline .....                                   | 300 |
| National Injury Information Clearinghouse ..... | 300 |
| Small Businesses .....                          | 100 |
| State Partners .....                            | 50  |

|                                   |       |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Fast Track Product Recall Program | 100   |
|                                   | 1,550 |

The average time needed for each response is estimated at two minutes. Thus, the annual time burden would be about 3,100 ( $2 \times 1,550$ ) minutes or 51.7 hours. Using \$12 an hour (the average hourly wage for all private industry workers, according to the 1996 edition of the *Statistical Abstract of the U.S.*) times 51.7 hours, the cost would be negligible (a total of about \$620 per year).

For CPSC staff, the average time needed to process each response is estimated at five minutes. Thus, this information collection activity would require about 7,750 ( $5 \times 1,550$ ) minutes or 129.2 hours per year. Based on the average hourly Commission salary of \$37.37, the 129.2 hours of CPSC staff time would be valued at about \$4,828.

## C. Requests for Comments

The Commission solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed surveys. The Commission specifically seeks information relevant to the following topics:

- Whether the surveys described above are necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions, including whether the information would have practical utility;
- Whether the estimated burden of the proposed collections of information are accurate;
- Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and
- Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

Dated: August 5, 1998.

**Sadye E. Dunn,**

*Secretary Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 98-21541 Filed 8-10-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

### Privacy Act of 1974, Deletions of Systems of Records

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Consumer Product Safety Commission is deleting two obsolete

systems of records that were inadvertently left in place when two new systems with the same system number were published.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 11, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be mailed to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph F. Rosenthal, Office of the General Counsel, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC. 20207, telephone 301-504-0980.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 2, 1997, at 62 FR 29714, the Consumer Product Safety Commission published notice of four new systems of records, including one designated as CPSC-7 and one designated as CPSC-18 in the mistaken belief that two no longer active systems of records with those same designations had been removed and their designations reserved. Those systems, Employee Discrimination and Investigation File—CPSC-7, and Job Applicant Files—CPSC-18, no longer exist as Privacy Act systems of records.

Accordingly, Employee Discrimination and Investigation File—CPSC-7, and Job Applicant Files—CPSC-18, are removed. This action does not affect Enforcement and Investigation Files—CPSC-7, and Procurement Integrity Records—CPSC-18, that were published on June 2, 1997 at 62 FR 29717.

Dated: August 5, 1998.

**Sadye E. Dunn,**

*Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 98-21386 Filed 8-10-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Air Force

### Performance Review Boards List of Members

Below is a list of additional individuals who are eligible to serve on the Performance Review Boards for the Department of the Air Force in accordance with the Air Force Senior Executive Appraisal and Awards System.

### Secretariat

Mr. James R. Speer  
Mr. Jerome P. Sutton  
Brig Gen Larry W. Northington  
Mr. Don W. Fox

### Air Staff and "Others"

Lt Gen David L. Vesely