old-growth species. Goals for these lands are to manage for viable populations of old-growth-dependent wildlife species.

Management Area 22: Consists of timbered lands below 5,000 feet on south-facing slopes with a high visual sensitivity. These lands are important winter ranges for deer, elk, and bighorn sheep. Goals for these lands are to provide for optimum cover:forage ratios for big game while achieving visual quality objectives.

Management Area 23: Consists of timber lands on south-facing slopes that are visible from major roads and other high use areas. These lands are important winter ranges. The management goals allow small changes to the visual character of the lands while providing optimal cover:forage ratios for big game and maintaining healthy stands of timber.

Management Area 24: Consists of lands of high visual sensitivity and which are available for timber management, dispersed recreation use, wildlife habitat, and livestock use.

Management Area 25: Consists of lands of visual sensitivity and which are available for timber management. The management goals allow for timber management while achieving visual quality objectives and providing for dispersed recreation opportunities, wildlife habitat, and livestock use.

A range of alternatives will be considered. One of these will be the "no-action" alternative, which would allow no vegetation manipulation or noxious weed treatment to occur under this analysis. Other alternatives will examine various combinations of weed treatment (including aerial application of herbicides) and vegetative manipulation (including cutting of smaller diameter trees on the site). The Forest Service will analyze and document the direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental effects of the alternatives. In addition, the EIS will include site specific mitigation measures and discussions about their effectiveness.

Public participation will be important during the analysis. People may visit with Forest Service officials at any time during the analysis and prior to the decision; however, two periods of time are identified for the receipt of comments on the analysis. The first of these periods occurs during the next 45 days and the second period is during the review of the Draft EIS.

During the scoping process, the Forest Service is seeking information and comments from Federal, State, and local agencies and other individuals or organizations who may be interested in or affected by the proposed action.

The draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) is expected to be available for public review by December of 1999. After a 45-day public comment period, the comments received will be analyzed and considered by the Forest Service in preparing the final environmental impact statement (FEIS). The FEIS is scheduled to be completed by June of 2000. The Forest Service will respond to the comments received in the FEIS. The Forest Supervisor, who is the responsible official for this EIS, will make a decision regarding this proposal considering the comments and responses, environmental consequences discussed in the FEIS, and applicable laws, regulations, and policies. The decision and reasons for the decision will be documented in a Record of Decision.

The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes it is important to give reviewers notice at this early stage because of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 533 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the

adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. (Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.) (Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7)

I am the responsible official for the environmental impact statement. My address is: Lolo National Forest, Building 24A Fort Missoula, Missoula, MT 59804.

Dated: July 17, 1998.

Barbara K. Beckes,

Acting Forest Supervisor, Lolo National Forest.

[FR Doc. 98–20405 Filed 7–29–98; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Committee of Scientists Meetings

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Committee of Scientists will hold a public teleconference call on August 17, 1998. The teleconference call will begin at 11:00 a.m. and end at 2:00 p.m. (eastern daylight time). The purpose of the telephone conference call is for the Committee of Scientists to continue discussion of its report and recommendations to the Secretary of Agriculture and the Chief of the Forest Service. The public is invited to attend these teleconference calls and may be provided an opportunity to comment on the Committee of Scientists' deliberations during the teleconference, only at the request of the Committee. DATES: The teleconference call will be held on Monday, August 17, 1998, from 11:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. (eastern daylight

ADDRESSES: The teleconference will be held at the USDA Forest Service headquarters, Auditor's Building, 201 14th Street, SW, Washington, DC in the Graves Conference Room (3rd Floor) and at all Regional Offices of the Forest Service, which are listed in the table under Supplementary Information.

Written comments on improving land and resource management planning may be sent to the Committee of Scientists, P.O. Box 2140, Corvallis, OR 97339. Also, the Committee may be accessed via the Internet at www.cof.orst.edu./org/scicomm/.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information concerning the

teleconferences, contact Bob Cunningham, Designated Federal Official to the Committee of Scientists, by telephone (202) 205–1523.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The public may attend the teleconference at the following field locations:

USDA FOREST SERVICE REGIONAL OFFICE LOCATIONS

Region 2, Rocky Mountain Region Region 3, Southwestern Region Region 4, Intermountain Region Region 5, Pacific Southwest Region Region 6, Pacific Northwest Region Region 8, Southern Region Region 9, Eastern Region	Federal Building, 325 25th St 630 Sansome St 333 SW 1st Ave 1720 Peachtree Rd. NW	Golden, CO. Albuquerque, NM. Ogden, UT. San Francisco, CA. Portland, OR. Atlanta, GA. Milwaukee, WI.
---	---	--

The Committee of Scientists is chartered to provide scientific and technical advice to the Secretary of Agriculture and the Chief of the Forest Service on improvements that can be made to the National Forest System land and resource management planning process (62 FR 43691; August 15, 1997). Notice of the names of the appointed Committee members was published December 16, 1997 (62 FR 65795).

Dated: July 27, 1998.

Robert C. Joslin,

Deputy Chief, National Forest System. [FR Doc. 98–20384 Filed 7–29–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Holly Hill Watershed, Orangeburg County, SC

AGENCY: Natural Resources Conservation Service.

ACTION: Notice of a finding of no

significant impact.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102(2)© of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Natural Resources Conservation Service Regulations (7 CFR Part 650); the Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environment impact statement is not being prepared for the Holly Hill Watershed, Orangeburg County, South Carolina.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark W. Berkland, state conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 1835 Assembly Street, room 950, Columbia, South Carolina 29201, (803) 765–5681.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The environmental assessment of this

federally assisted action indicated that the project will not cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings Mark W. Berkland, state conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact are not needed for this project.

The project purposes are to reduce flooding and improve flow conditions on 9.0 miles of previously modified and/or new channels to facilitate the removal of stormwater in the Holly Hill area.

The Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency and to various Federal, State, and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the FONSI are available to fill single copy requests at the above address. Basic data developed during the environmental assessment are on file and may be reviewed by contacting Luke Nance.

No administrative action on implementation of the proposal will be taken until 30 days after the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

(This activity is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under No. 10.904, Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention, and is subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372, which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials)

Mark W. Berkland,

State Conservationist.

[FR Doc. 98–20408 Filed 7–29–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–16–M

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Arizona Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the Arizona Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 9:00 a.m. and adjourn at 12:00 p.m. on August 15, 1998, at the Forest Villa, 3645 Lee Circle, Prescott, Arizona 86301. The purpose of the meeting is to have a final discussion on the Committee's report on the Arizona State Department of Transportation, and plan future projects.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Philip Montez, Director of the Western Regional Office, 213–894–3437 (TDD 213–894–3435). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, July 21, 1998. Carol-Lee Hurley,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit. [FR Doc. 98–20318 Filed 7–29–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-423-805]

Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Belgium

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final court decision and amended final determination of sales at less than fair value.

SUMMARY: On March 12, 1998, the Court of International Trade vacated the amended final rate for respondent Fabrique de Fer de Charleroi S.A. and