

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## Minerals Management Service

## 30 CFR Part 206

RIN 1010-AC09

## Establishing Oil Value for Royalty Due on Federal Leases

**AGENCY:** Minerals Management Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Further supplementary proposed rule; notice of extension of public comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Minerals Management Service (MMS) hereby gives notice that it is extending the public comment period on a further supplementary proposed rule, which was published in the **Federal Register** on July 16, 1998 (63 FR 38355). This proposal amends the royalty valuation regulations for crude oil produced from Federal leases. MMS will extend the comment period from July 24, 1998, to July 31, 1998.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before July 31, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail comments, suggestions, or objections about this further supplementary proposed rule to: Minerals Management Service, Royalty Management Program, Rules and Publications Staff, P.O. Box 25165, MS 3021, Denver, Colorado, 80225-0165. Courier address is Building 85, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225. E-mail address is RMP.comments@mms.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David S. Guzy, Chief, Rules and Publications Staff, telephone number (303) 231-3432, fax number (303) 231-3385, e-mail RMP.comments@mms.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The purpose of this time extension is to allow the public an opportunity to comment on the recent Congressional meetings about the proposed oil royalty valuation rule. Notes from these meetings are posted on the MMS website at: <http://www.rmp.mms.gov/library/readroom/readrm.htm>.

Dated: July 23, 1998.

**R. Dale Fazio,**

*Acting Associate Director for Royalty Management.*

[FR Doc. 98-20149 Filed 7-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 52

[KY 90-1-9735b: FRL6130-2]

## Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans: Kentucky: Adoption of General Conformity Rules

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposal rule.

**SUMMARY:** On November 10, 1995, the Commonwealth of Kentucky Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Cabinet (KNREPC) submitted revisions to the Kentucky State Implementation Plan (SIP) concerning the adoption of criteria and procedures for demonstrating and assuring the "Conformity of General Actions." In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the Commonwealth of Kentucky's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by August 26, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to Mr. Gregory Crawford at the EPA Regional Office listed below.

Copies of the documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day.

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 Air Planning Branch 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

The Commonwealth of Kentucky Natural Resources and Environmental

Protection Cabinet, 803 Schenkel Lane, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Gregory Crawford, Regulatory Planning Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides, and Toxics Management Division, Region 4, Environmental Protection Agency, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303. The telephone number is 404/562-9046. (E-mail: [crawford.gregory@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:crawford.gregory@epamail.epa.gov)).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 25, 1998.

**A. Stanley Meiburg,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.*

[FR Doc. 98-20008 Filed 7-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 62

[SC-34-1-9816b: FRL-6130-1]

## Approval and Promulgation of State Plans For Designated Facilities and Pollutants: South Carolina

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve the Section 111(d)/129 plan submitted by the State of South Carolina through the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC) on January 14, 1998, February 5, 1998, and March 6, 1998. The Plan was submitted by the State to satisfy certain **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the South Carolina State Plan submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates that it will not receive any significant, material, and adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule and incorporated herein. If no significant, material, and adverse comments are received in response to the direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by August 26, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to Gregory Crawford at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the documents relevant to this proposed rule are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the day of the visit.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-3104.

South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control, Bureau of Air Quality Control, 2600 Bull Street, Columbia, South Carolina 29201.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Scott Davis at (404) 562-9127 or Gregory Crawford at (404) 562-9046. Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region 4, Environmental Protection Agency, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: July 7, 1998.

**A. Stanley Meiburg,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.*  
[FR Doc. 98-19935 Filed 7-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 62

[MN51-01-7276b; FRL-6128-7]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; Minnesota; Control of Landfill Gas Emissions From Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes to approve the Minnesota State Plan submittal for implementing the Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Landfill Emission Guidelines. The State's plan submittal was made pursuant to requirements found in the Clean Air Act (Act). The State's plan was submitted to EPA on March 4, 1997 in accordance with the requirements for adoption and submittal of State plans for designated facilities in 40 CFR part 60, subpart B. It establishes performance standards for

existing MSW landfills and provides for the implementation and enforcement of those standards. The EPA finds that Minnesota's Plan for existing MSW landfills adequately addresses all of the Federal requirements applicable to such plans. In the final rules of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving this action as a direct final without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed action must be received by August 26, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be sent to: Carlton T. Nash, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), EPA, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Douglas Aburano, (312) 353-6960.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information, see the Direct Final document which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**. Copies of the request and the EPA's analysis are available for inspection at the following address: (Please telephone Douglas Aburano at (312) 353-6960 before visiting the Region 5 office.) EPA, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: July 10, 1998.

**David A. Ullrich,**

*Acting Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 98-19938 Filed 7-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

#### 50 CFR Part 20

RIN 1018-AE66

#### Migratory Bird Hunting; Temporary Approval of Tungsten-Polymer Shot as Nontoxic for the 1998-99 Season

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) proposes to amend Section 20.21(j) and provide temporary approval of tungsten-polymer shot as nontoxic for the 1998-99 migratory bird hunting season, except in the Yukon-Kuskokwim (Y-K) Delta, Alaska. A toxicological report includes an extensive literature review, and analysis of tungsten and Nylon 6 (the polymer) suggests that these compounds are nontoxic under assumed use and in the environment. The toxicity study reveals no adverse effects over a 30-day period on mallards (*Anas platyrhynchos*) dosed with 8 BB-size tungsten-polymer shot. However, there is some concern that the absorption of tungsten into the femur, kidney, and liver could potentially affect the spectacled eider (*Somateria fischeri*), a species already subject to adverse weather, predation, and lead poisoning on the Yukon-Kuskokwim (Y-K) Delta, Alaska. Until a reproductive/chronic toxicity test has been completed and the Service has reviewed the results, the Service proposes not to approve the use of tungsten-polymer shot on the Y-K Delta.

**DATES:** Comments on the proposed rule must be received no later than August 26, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be sent to the Chief, Office of Migratory Bird Management (MBMO), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1849 C Street, NW., ms 634-ARLSQ, Washington, DC 20240. The public may inspect comments during normal business hours in room 634, Arlington Square Building, 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, Arlington, Virginia.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul R. Schmidt, Chief, Office of Migratory Bird Management, (703) 358-1714.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Since the mid-1970s, the Service has sought to identify shot that does not pose a significant toxic hazard to migratory birds or other wildlife. Currently, only steel and bismuth-tin shot are approved by the Service as nontoxic. The Service previously granted temporary approval