#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

[Project No. 2105-061 California]

#### Pacific Gas and Electric Company; Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment

July 17, 1998.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47910), the Office of Hydropower Licensing (OHL) has prepared an environmental assessment (EA) for an application to permit non-project use of project lands on Lake Almanor, one of the project reservoirs. Pacific Gas and Electric Company (licensee) proposes to permit Moonspiners Report to construct a boat ramp and 6-slip boat dock in Big Cove.

In the EA, staff concludes that approval of the licensee's proposal would not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. The Upper North Fork Feather River Project is located on the Upper North Fork Feather River in Plumas County, California.

The EA was written by staff in the Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Copies of the EA are available for review at the Commission's Reference and Information Center, Room 2–A, 888 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426.

#### David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–19594 Filed 7–22–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

#### Notice of Request to Amend the Approved Reservoir Management Plan

July 17, 1998.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

- a. *Type of Application:* Request to Amend the Approved Reservoir Management Plan.
  - b. Project No: 2067-013.
  - c. Date Filed: July 9, 1998.
- d. *Applicant:* Oakdale and South San Joaquin Irrigation Districts.
- e. *Name of Project:* Tulloch Hydroelectric Project.
- f. *Location:* Tuolumne and Calaveras Counties, California.

- g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)–825(r).
- h. *Applicant Contact:* Mr. Steve Felte, Tri-Dam Project, P.O. Box 1158, Pinecrest, CA 95364, (209) 965–3996.
- i. FERC Contact: Jean Potvin, (202) 219–0022.
  - j. Comment Date: August 28, 1998.
- k. Description of Project: The licensees have filed a request to amend its approved Reservoir Management Plan. The licensees have filed this amendment to clarify language in the plan to be consistent with Article 39 of the project license, to update the approved plan to be consistent with the current physical conditions of the reservoir, and to change the amount of excavated material which can be removed from 1,000 cubic feet of material to 1,000 cubic yards of
- 1. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1, and D2.
- B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS",

"RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", OR "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal **Energy Regulatory Commission, 888** First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time

specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

#### David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–19593 Filed 7–22–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

#### Notice of Application for Preliminary Permit

July 17, 1998.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

- a. *Type of Application:* Preliminary Permit.
  - b. Project No.: P-11618-000.
  - c. Date Filed: July 8, 1998.
- d. *Applicant:* Red Rock Hydroelectric Development Company.
  - e. Name of Project: Řed Rock.
- f. *Location:* On the Des Moines River in Marion County, Iowa.
- g. Filed Pursuant to: Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)—825(r).
- h. *Applicant Contact*: Mr. Thomas J. Wilkinson, Jr., 101 Second St., S.E.—Suite 100, Cedar Rapids, IA 52406, (319) 364–0900.
- i. *FERC Contact:* Charles T. Raabe, (202) 219–2811.
  - j. Deadline Date: September 21, 1998.
- k. Description of Project: The proposed project would utilize the existing U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Red Rock Dam and would consist of: (1) A new intake structure; (2) two 21-foot-diameter steel penstocks; (3) a powerhouse containing two generating units with a total installed capacity of 30–MW; (4) a tailrace; (5) a 6-mile-long transmission line; and (6) appurtenant facilities.

Applicant estimates that the average annual generation would be 110,000 MWh and that the cost of the studies to be performed under the terms of the permit would be \$200,000. Project energy would be sold to municipalities in the state of Iowa and to other users.

- 1. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: A5, A7, A9, A10, B, C, and D2.
- A5. Preliminary Permit—Anyone desiring to file a competing application for preliminary permit for a proposed project must submit the competing application itself, or a notice of intent to file such an application, to the

Commission on or before the specified comment date for the particular application (see 18 CFR 4.36). Submission of a timely notice of intent allows an interested person to file the competing preliminary permit application no later than 30 days after the specified comment date for the particular application. A competing preliminary permit application must conform with 18 CFR 4.30(b) and 4.36.

A7. Preliminary Permit—Any qualified development applicant desiring to file a competing development application must submit to the Commission, on or before a specified comment date for the particular application, either a competing development application or a notice of intent to file such an application. Submission of a timely notice of intent to file a development application allows an interested person to file the competing application no later than 120 days after the specified comment date for the particular application. A competing license application must conform with 18 CFR 4.30(b) and 4.36.

A9. Notice of intent—A notice of intent must specify the exact name, business address, and telephone number of the prospective applicant, and must include an unequivocal statement of intent to submit, if such an application may be filed, either a preliminary permit application or a development application (specify which type of application). A notice of intent must be served on the applicant(s) named in this

public notice.

A10. Proposed Scope of Studies under Permit—A preliminary permit, if issued, does not authorize construction. The term of the proposed preliminary permit would be 36 months. The work proposed under the preliminary permit would include economic analysis, preparation of preliminary engineering plans, and a study of environmental impacts. Based on the results of these studies, the Applicant would decide whether to proceed with the preparation of a development application to construct and operate the project.

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must

be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICATION", "PROTEST", "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426. An additional copy must be sent to Director, Division of Project Review, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, at the abovementioned address. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application or motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Application specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives

#### David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–19595 Filed 7–22–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[6127-6]

**Agency Information Collection** Activities: Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request: Land **Disposal Restrictions Surface** Impoundment Study

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that the following Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and

approval: Land Disposal Restrictions Surface Impoundment Study. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before August 24, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For a copy of the ICR, call Sandy Farmer at EPA, (202) 260-2740, e-mail at Farmer.Sandy@epa.gov, or download off the Internet at http://www.epa.gov/icr/ icr.htm and refer to EPA ICR No. 1841.01.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Land Disposal Restrictions Surface Impoundment Study. This is a new collection.

Abstract: Section 3004(g)(10) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) requires EPA to, among other things, conduct a study to characterize the risks to human health or the environment posed by management of formerly hazardous wastes (characteristic wastes which have been decharacterized) in Clean Water Act-regulated treatment systems. To the extent the study identifies any risks, EPA must also evaluate whether those risks are adequately addressed under existing Federal or State programs.

EPA will characterize risks based on information aggregated from a representative sample of actual sites located across the country. We will first need to administer a "screener" survey to a representative sample of facilities (approximately 2100) in order to locate those with surface impoundments that are within the study's scope. Then, for the first 345 facilities that respond positively to the "screener" (i.e., they have impoundments within the study's scope), we would need to collect current, site-specific information which will be available only from the facility owners/operators. These 345 facilities would be receiving a detailed information-gathering questionnaire. In order to reduce the burden on facilities, EPA will also be collecting as much information as possible from data sources in the public domain.

EPA would like to correct several things from the February 10, 1998 **Federal Register** document and the accompanying background document for that document. First, it was implied that the risk assessments for this study would be site-specific. EPA wishes to clarify that there will be one generic risk analysis based on the aggregation of sitespecific data. The specific analytical approach will generate probabilities of specific risks, based on the responses