c. Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or

d. Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive Order.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

This proposed rule was reviewed with regard to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. The proposal does not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Paperwork Reduction Act

Pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the reporting provisions of this proposed rule have been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for review under Section 3507(d) of the Act.

In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act, the Office of the Director of Army Safety, DACS-SF, announces the proposed public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (1) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimates of the burden of the proposed information collection; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and, (4) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments on these requirements should be submitted to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, 725 17th Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20503, marked "Attention Desk Officer for Department of Defense." Copies should be sent to the Office of the Director of Army Safety, ATTN: DACS-SF, RM 3D253, Chief of Staff, 200 Army Pentagon, Washington, DC 20310-0200. When the Department of the Army promulgates the Final Rule, the Department will respond to comments by OMB or the public regarding the information collection provision requirements of the rule.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 655

Environmental protection, Radiation protection, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, 32 CFR part 655 is proposed to be revised to read as follows:

PART 655—RADIATION SOURCES ON **ARMY LAND**

Authority: 10 U.S.C. 3012.

§655.10 Use of radiation sources by non-Army entities on Army land (AR 385-11).

(a) Army radiation permits are required for use, storage, or possession of radiation sources by non-Army agencies (including civilian contractors) on an Army installation. Approval of the installation commander is required to obtain an Army radiation permit. For the purposes of this section, a radiation source is:

(1) Radioactive material used, stored, or possessed under the authority of a specific license issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) or an Agreement State (10 CFR);

(2) More than 0.1 microcurie (uCi) [3.7 kilobecquerels] (kBq) of radium, except for electron tubes;

(3) More than 1 uCi (37 kBq) of any naturally occurring or accelerator produced radioactive material (NARM) other than radium, except for electron tubes:

(4) an electron tube containing more than 10 uCi (370 kBq) of any naturally occurring or accelerator produced NARM radioisotope; or

(5) A machine-produced ionizingradiation source capable of producing an area, accessible to individuals, in which radiation levels could result in an individual receiving a dose equivalent in excess of 0.1 rem (1 mSv) in 1 hour at 30 centimeters from the radiation source or from any surface that the radiation penetrates.

(b) The non-Army applicant will apply by letter with supporting documentation (paragraph c of this section) through the appropriate tenant commander to the installation commander. Submit the letter so that the installation commander receives the application at least 30 calendar days before the requested start date of the permit.

(c) The Army radiation permit application will specify start and stop dates for the Army radiation permit and describe for what purposes the applicants needs the Army radiation permit. The installation commander will approve the application only if the applicant provides evidence to show that one of the following is true:

(1) The applicant possesses a valid NRC license or Department of Energy (DOE) radiological work permit that allows the applicant to use the source as specified in the Army radiation permit application;

(2) The applicant possesses a valid Agreement State license that allows the applicant to use radioactive material as specified in the Army radiation permit application, and the applicant has filed NRC Form-241, Report of Proposed Activities in Non-Agreement States, with the NRC in accordance with 10 CFR part 150, §150.20 (an Army radiation permit issued under provisions of this section will be valid for no more than 180 days in any calendar year);

(3) For NARM and machine-produced ionizing radiation sources, the applicant has an appropriate State authorization that allows the applicant to use the source as specified in the Army radiation permit application or has in place a radiation safety program that complies with Army regulations; or

(4) For overseas installations, the applicant has an appropriate host-nation authorization as necessary that allows the applicant to use the source as specified in the Army radiation permit application and has in place a radiation safety program that complies with Army regulations. (Applicants will comply with applicable status-of-forces agreements (SOFAs) and other international agreements.)

(d) All Army radiation permits will require applicants to remove all permitted sources from Army property by the end of the permitted time.

(e) Disposal of radioactive material by non-Army agencies on Army property is prohibited. However, the installation commander may authorize radioactive releases to the atmosphere or to the sanitary sewerage system that are in compliance with all applicable Federal, DoD, and Army regulations. (The installation commander also will give appropriate consideration to State or local restrictions on such releases.) Raymond J. Fatz,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Environment, Safety and Occupational Health) OASA (I, L&E). [FR Doc. 98-17952 Filed 7-9-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 110

[CGD 97-086]

RIN 2115-AA98

Anchorage Grounds; Hudson River, Hyde Park, NY

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to establish an anchorage ground in the Hudson River near Hyde Park, NY. This action is necessary to provide an anchorage ground on the Hudson River for vessels awaiting favorable tides and/ or daylight for passage to facilities north of New York. This action is intended to increase safety for vessels transiting the Hudson River by providing an anchorage ground away from congested traffic lanes used in New York Harbor. DATES: Comments must reach the Coast Guard on or before October 8, 1998. ADDRESSES: You may mail comments to the Waterways Oversight Branch (CGD01-97-086), Coast Guard Activities New York, 212 Coast Guard Drive, Staten Island, New York 10305, or deliver them to room 205 at the same address between 8 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidavs.

The Waterways Oversight Branch of Coast Guard Activities New York maintains the public docket for this rulemaking. Comments, and documents as indicated in this preamble, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at room 205, Coast Guard Activities New York, between 8 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant (Junior Grade) Alma Kenneally, Waterways Oversight Branch, Coast Guard Activities New York (718) 354–4195.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Comments

The Coast Guard encourages interested persons to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written data, views, or arguments. Persons submitting comments should include their names and addresses, identify this rulemaking (CGD 01-97-086) and the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. Please submit two copies of all comments and attachments in an unbound format, no larger than 8¹/₂ by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. Persons wanting acknowledgment of receipt of comments should enclose stamped, self-addressed postcards or envelopes.

The Coast Guard will consider all comments received during the comment period. It may change this proposed rule in view of the comments.

The Coast Guard plans no public hearing. Persons may request a public hearing by writing to the Waterways Oversight Branch at the Address under ADDRESSES. The request should include the reasons why a hearing would be beneficial. If it determines that the opportunity for oral presentations will aid this rulemaking, the Coast Guard will hold a public hearing at a time and place announced by a later notice in the Federal Register.

Background and Purpose

The Hudson River Pilots Association has requested that the Coast Guard establish a federal anchorage ground in the Hudson River near Hyde Park, New York. The closest anchorage to this area is down river to anchorage number 17, the northern boundary of which lies between the Yonkers municipal pier and the pilot station just to the north. The area that the Pilots Association has suggested for consideration is bound by the following coordinates: NW corner 41° 48' 35" N 073° 57' 00W" NE corner 41° 48' 35" N 073° 56' 44W" SE corner 41° 47' 32" N 073° 56' 50W" SW corner 41° 47' 32" N 073° 57' 10W"

Discussion of Proposed Rule

The proposed anchorage would be valuable to vessels awaiting favorable tides and/or daylight for passage to Albany and other upper river ports. Additionally, the new anchorage could relieve some of the overcrowding in the existing New York Harbor anchorages, thus increasing vessel safety. This new anchorage will only be authorized for use from March 1 through December 15 each year. This is due to ice conditions in the river. No vessel may anchor in Anchorage 19–A from December 16 to the last day of February without permission from the Captain of the Port New York.

Regulatory Evaluation

This proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. It has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under that Order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979).

The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this proposed rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10(e) of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary. The effect of this regulation would not be significant for the following reasons: due to icing of the river in winter months, the anchorage would be seasonal in nature, recreational traffic could still traverse the anchorage when necessary, and the proposed anchorage would still permit unobstructed navigation of the Hudson in that area on the western side.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), the Coast Guard considers whether this proposed rule, if adopted, will have a significant economic impact on the substantial number of small entities. "Small entities" include small businesses, notfor-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

Therefore, the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule, if adopted, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. If, however, you think that your business or organization qualifies as a small entity and that this proposed rule will have a significant economic impact on your business or organization, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and in what way and to what degree this proposed rule will economically affect it

Collection of Information

This proposed rule does not provide for a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this propose rule under the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612 and has determined that this proposed rule does not have sufficient implications for federalism to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

Environment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this proposed rule and concluded that under Figure 2-1, paragraph 34(f), of Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, this proposed rule is categorically excluded form further environmental documentation. Figure 2–1, paragraph 34(f) only requires an environmental checklist and a "Categorical Exclusion Determination" for regulations establishing anchorage grounds. A **Categorical Exclusion Determination is** available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 110

Anchorage grounds.

Proposed Regulations

For reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposed to amend 33 CFR Part 110 as follows:

PART 110—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 110 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 471, 1221 through 1236, 2030, 2035, 2071; 49 CFR 1.46 and 33 CFR 1.05–1(g).

2. In § 110.155, add paragraph (c)(6) to read as follows:

§110.155 Port of New York.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(6) Anchorage No. 19–A. An area located west of Hyde Park enclosed by the coordinates staring at $41^{\circ}48'35''$ N, $073^{\circ}57'00''$ W; to $41^{\circ}48'35''$ N, $073^{\circ}56'44''$ W; to $41^{\circ}47'32''$ N, $073^{\circ}56'50''$ W; to $41^{\circ}47'32''$ N, $073^{\circ}57'10''$ W; thence back to $41^{\circ}48'35''$ N, $073^{\circ}57'00''$ W.

(i) No vessel may anchor in Anchorage 19–A from December 16 to the last day of February without permission from the Captain of the Port, New York

(ii) [Reserved]

* * * * * Dated: June 3, 1998.

James D. Garrison,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Commander, First Coast Guard District. [FR Doc. 98–18396 Filed 7–9–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

38 CFR Part 17

RIN 2900-AJI8

Enrollment—Provision of Hospital and Outpatient Care to Veterans

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs. **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document proposes to amend VA's medical regulations. The Veterans' Health Care Eligibility Reform Act of 1996 mandates that VA implement a national enrollment system to manage the delivery of healthcare services. Accordingly, the medical regulations are proposed to be amended to establish provisions consistent with this mandate. Starting October 1, 1998, most veterans must be enrolled in the VA healthcare system as a condition of

receiving VA hospital and outpatient care. Veterans would be allowed to apply to be enrolled at any time. They would be eligible to be enrolled based on funding availability and their priority status. In accordance with statutory provisions, the proposed rule also states that some categories of veterans would be eligible for VA hospital and outpatient care even if not enrolled. This document further proposes to establish a "medical benefits package" setting forth, with certain exceptions, the hospital and outpatient care that would be provided to enrolled veterans and certain other veterans.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 8, 1998. ADDRESSES: Mail or hand-deliver written comments to: Director, Office of Regulations Management (02D) Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Ave., NW, Room 1154, Washington, DC 20420. Comments should indicate that they are submitted in response to "RIN: 2900-AJ18." All written comments received will be available for public inspection at the above address in the Office of Regulations Management, Room 1158, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday (except holidavs).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roscoe Butler. Health Administration Service, (10C3), Veterans Health Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20420, (202) 273-8302. (This is not a toll-free number.) SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document proposes to amend VA's medical regulations at 38 CFR part 17. Public Law 104-262, the Veterans Health Care Eligibility Reform Act of 1996, mandated that VA implement a national enrollment system to manage the delivery of healthcare services. Accordingly, the medical regulations are proposed to be amended to establish provisions consistent with this mandate. Starting October 1, 1998, most veterans must be enrolled in the VA healthcare system as a condition for receiving VA hospital and outpatient care. They would be allowed to apply to be enrolled at any time. In accordance with statutory provisions, the proposal also states that some categories of veterans would be eligible for VA hospital and outpatient care even if not enrolled. This document further proposes to establish a "medical benefits package" setting forth, with certain exceptions, the hospital and outpatient care that would be provided to enrolled veterans and certain other veterans.

National Enrollment System (Proposed § 17.36)

The proposed rule restates statutory provisions by announcing that certain veterans must be enrolled in the VA healthcare system as a condition for receiving VÅ hospital and outpatient care. Also, consistent with the mandate of Public Law 104-262, the proposed rule contains a mechanism for determining which categories of veterans are eligible to be enrolled. Moreover, the proposed rule includes procedures for applying for enrollment, continuation of enrollment, and disenrollment; and provides for notification to veterans of determinations regarding their enrollment status.

The proposed rule also contains provisions for automatically enrolling veterans who were enrolled prior to October 1, 1998, in the VA healthcare system under the trial VA voluntary enrollment program that began on October 1, 1997, if they are in a funded priority category as explained below. This would help avoid duplicate decisionmaking and paperwork since the trial VA voluntary enrollment program used essentially the same procedures for enrollment as those set forth in the proposed rule.

Consistent with the statutory mandate, the proposed rule provides that the Secretary will determine which categories of veterans are eligible to be enrolled based on the following order of priority:

(1) Veterans with a singular or combined rating of 50 percent or greater based on one or more service-connected disabilities or unemployability.

(2) Veterans with a singular or combined rating of 30 percent or 40 percent based on one or more serviceconnected disabilities.

(3) Veterans who are former prisoners of war; veterans with a singular or combined rating of 10 percent or 20 percent based on one or more serviceconnected disabilities; veterans who were discharged or released from active military service for a disability incurred or aggravated in the line of duty; veterans who receive disability compensation under 38 U.S.C. 1151; veterans whose entitlement to disability compensation is suspended pursuant to 38 U.S.C. 1151, but only to the extent that such veterans' continuing eligibility for hospital and outpatient care is provided for in the judgment or settlement described in 38 U.S.C. 1151; veterans whose entitlement to disability compensation is suspended because of the receipt of military retired pay; and veterans receiving compensation at the