and 604. Alternatively, EPA may certify that the rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and government entities with jurisdiction over populations of less than 50,000.

SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Clean Air Act do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not impose any new requirements, the Regional Administrator certifies that it does not have a significant impact on any small entities affected. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the CAA, preparation of a flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The Clean Air Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. Union Electric Co. v. U.S. EPA, 427 U.S. 246, 255-66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2) and 7410(k)(3).

#### D. Unfunded Mandates

Under Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under Section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

ÉPA has determined that the approval action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

## E. Submission to Congress and the General Accounting Office

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement

Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. However, section 808 provides that any rule for which the issuing agency for good cause finds (and incorporates the finding and a brief statement of reasons therefore in the rule) that notice and public procedure thereon are impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest, shall take effect at such time as the agency promulgating the rule determines. 5 U.S.C. 808(2). As stated previously, EPA has made such a good cause finding, including the reasons therefore, and established an effective date of August 10, 1998. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

#### F. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by August 24, 1998. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

## List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides.

Dated: June 10, 1998.

#### A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

Part 52 of chapter I, title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows:

## PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42.U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

#### Subpart L—Georgia

2. Section 52.582, is amended by adding paragraph (b)(5) to read as follows:

#### § 52.582 Control strategy: Ozone.

(b) \* \* \*

(5) Alternative Fuel Refueling Station/ Park and Ride Transportation Center— This project is referred to as DO-AR-

[FR Doc. 98–16801 Filed 6–23–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[OPP-300654A; FRL-5797-3]

RIN 2070-AB78

#### Peroxyacetic Acid; Exemption From the Requirement of a Tolerance; Correction

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: EPA published in the Federal Register of May 6, 1998, a final rule establishing an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of the antimicrobial pesticide peroxyacetic acid up to 100 parts per million (ppm), in or on raw agricultural commodities, in processed commodities, when such residues result from the use of peroxyacetic acid as an antimicrobial agent on fruits, tree nuts, cereal grains, herbs, and spices. The word "vegetables" was omitted from the specific tolerance exemption language which is reproduced in five places of the final rule. This document corrects the final rule by inserting the word "vegetables" into each place that contains the specific tolerance exemption language.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This correction is effective June 24, 1998. ADDRESSES: Written objections and hearing requests, identified by the docket control number, [OPP-300654A], must be submitted to: Hearing Clerk (1900), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. M3708, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Fees accompanying objections and hearing requests shall be labeled "Tolerance Petition Fees" and forwarded to: EPA **Headquarters Accounting Operations** Branch, OPP (Tolerance Fees), P.O. Box 360277M, Pittsburgh, PA 15251. A copy of any objections and hearing requests

filed with the Hearing Clerk identified by the docket control number, [OPP–300654A], must also be submitted to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch, Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person, bring a copy of objections and hearing requests to Rm. 119, CM 12, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA.

A copy of objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: oppdocket@epamail.epa.gov. Copies of objections and hearing requests must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Copies of objections and hearing requests will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect 5.1/6.1 file format or ASCII file format. All copies of objections and hearing requests in electronic form must be identified by the docket control number [OPP-300654A]. No Confidential Business Information (CBI) should be submitted through e-mail. Electronic copies of objections and hearing requests on this rule may be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Marshall Swindell, Product Manager 33, Antimicrobials Division (7510W), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location, telephone number, and e-mail address: 2800 Crystal Drive, 6th Floor, Arlington, VA, 22202, 703–308–6341, e-mail: swindell.marshall@epamail.epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

In the Federal Register of May 6, 1998 (63 FR 24949) (FRL-5789-3), EPA, issued a final rule establishing an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of the antimicrobial pesticide peroxyacetic acid up to 100 ppm, in or on raw agricultural commodities, in processed commodities, when such residues result from the use of peroxyacetic acid as an antimicrobial agent on fruits, tree nuts, cereal grains, herbs, and spices. The word "vegetables" was omitted from the specific tolerance exemption language which is reproduced in five places of the final rule. This document corrects the final rule by inserting the word "vegetables" into each place that contains the specific tolerance exemption language.

#### **II. Correction**

In FR Doc. 98–12036 published on May 6, 1998 (63 FR 24949), the word "vegetables," should be inserted after "fruits," in the following places:

- 1. On page 24949, in the second column, in the **SUMMARY**, in the ninth line.
- 2. On page 24951, in the first column, the paragraph under **II. Aggregate Risk Assessment and Determination of Safety**, in the fifth line from the bottom.
- 3. On page 24952, in the second column, under *C. Exposures and Risks*, in the paragraph numbered 1., in the tenth line.
- 4. On page 24954, in the third column, the first paragraph under **IV. Conclusion**, in the eighth line.

# III. Regulatory Assessment Requirements

This final rule does not impose any requirements. It only implements a technical correction to the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). As such, this action does not require review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866, entitled Regulatory Planning and Review (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq., or Executive Order 13045, entitled Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997). For the same reason, it does not require any action under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (Pub. L. 104-4), Executive Order 12875, entitled Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership (58 FR 58093, October 28, 1993), or Executive Order 12898, entitled Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). In addition, since this type of action does not require any proposal, no action is needed under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.).

# IV. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the Agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and

the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of this rule in the **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: June 10, 1998

#### Frank Sanders,

Director, Antimicrobials Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

#### PART 180— [AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority; 21 U.S.C. 346a and 371.

## §180.1196 [Corrected]

2. On page 24955, in the third column, § 180.1196 is corrected by adding "vegetables," after "fruits," in the eighth line.

[FR Doc. 98–16676 Filed 6–23–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[OPP-300655A; FRL-5797-4]

RIN 2070-AB78

# Hydrogen Peroxide; Exemption From the Requirement of a Tolerance; Correction

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** EPA published in the **Federal Register** of May 6, 1998, a final rule establishing an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of the antimicrobial pesticide hydrogen peroxide up to 120 parts per million (ppm), in or on raw agricultural commodities, in processed commodities, when such residues result from the use of hydrogen peroxide as an antimicrobial agent on fruits, tree nuts, cereal grains, herbs, and spices. The word "vegetables" was omitted from the specific tolerance exemption language which is reproduced in five places of the final rule. This document corrects the final rule by inserting the word "vegetables" into each place that contains the specific tolerance exemption language.