you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commission, Room 234, 1919 M St., NW, Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to lesmith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection, contact Les Smith at 202–418–0214 or via internet at lesmith@fcc.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval Number: 3060–0560. Title: Section 76.911, Petition for reconsideration of certification. Form No.: N/A.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business and other forprofit entities; State, local and tribal governments.

Number of Respondents: 45 [(20 petitions × 2 parties) + 5 competing operators].

Estimated Time Per Response: 2–10 hours

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement; third party disclosure.

Cost to Respondents: \$410 (postage and stationery costs).

Total Annual Burden: 410 hours. Needs and Uses: Section 76.911 states that a cable operator, or other interested party, may challenge a franchising authority's certification by filing a petition for reconsideration. The petition may allege either that the cable operator is not subject to rate regulation because effective competition exists, or that the franchising authority does not meet the Commission's certification standards. The burden associated with the petition process was not previously accounted for in this collection; therefore, this collection has been revised. Section 76.911(b)(2) also states that if evidence establishing effective competition is not otherwise available. then cable operators may request from a competitor information regarding the competitor's reach and number of subscribers. A competitor must respond to such request within 15 days and such responses may be limited to numerical totals. Commission staff use information derived from petitions for reconsideration of certification to resolve disputes concerning the presence or absence of effective competition in franchise areas and to determine whether there are grounds for denying franchising authority certifications to regulate rates.

Federal Communications Commission.

### Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–14096 Filed 5–27–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–10–P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

## Public Information Collections Approved by Office of Management and Budget

May 18, 1998.

The Federal Communications
Commission (FCC) has received Office
of Management and Budget (OMB)
approval for the following public
information collections pursuant to the
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995,
Public Law 104–13. An agency may not
conduct or sponsor and a person is not
required to respond to a collection of
information unless it displays a
currently valid control number. For
further information contact Shoko B.
Hair, Federal Communications
Commission, (202) 418–1379.

#### **Federal Communications Commission.**

OMB Control No.: 3060–0717. Expiration Date: 05/31/2001. Title: Billed Party Preference for

Title: Billed Party Preference for InterLATA 0+ Calls, CC Docket No. 92–77 (47 CFR Sections 64.703(a), 64.709, 64.710).

Form No.: N/A.

*Respondents:* Business or other forprofit entities.

Estimated Annual Burden: 1500 respondents; 446.10 hours per response (avg.); 699,157 total annual burden hours.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: \$198,000. Frequency of Response: On occasion,

annually, third party disclosure.

Description: 1. Section 64.709, OSP Informational Tariff Filing Requirement: In the Second Report and Order and Order on Reconsideration issued in CC Docket No. 92-77 (released January 29, 1998), the Commission codifies the OSP informational tariff filings at 47 CFR 64.709. OSPs currently are required by statute, i.e., 47 U.S.C. § 226(h)(1)to file informational tariffs with the Commission. The new rules governing the filing of such tariffs codify existing FCC requirements. The Commission also amended the rules to increase the usefulness of informational tariffs by requiring that such tariffs include specific rates expressed in dollars and cents as well as applicable per-call aggregator surcharges or other per-call fees, if any, that are collected from consumers. (Number of respondents:

300; annual burden per respondent: 50 hours; total annual burden: 16,500 hours). 2. Section 64.703(a)(4), Disclosures: In the Second Report and Order and Order on Reconsideration, the Commission amends its rules, effective generally July 1, 1998, to require operator services providers (OSPs) to disclose orally to away-fromhome callers, at no cost to such callers, how they may obtain all applicable charges for a call placed through an OSP, without the caller having to hang up to dial a separate number. The Commission's decision is intended to make it easier for callers using operator services at pay phones, hotels and other call aggregator locations to obtain immediately the total cost to them of making a call using the carrier selected by the pay phone or premises owner before the call is made. Subsection 64.703(a)(4) is added, which requires each OSP to disclose, audibly and distinctly to the consumer, at no charge and before connecting any interstate call, how to obtain rate quotations, including any applicable surcharges, if the call is to be placed through the carrier selected by the payphone or premises owner. (No. of respondents: 630; annual burden per respondent: 6-8 secs per call; total annual burden: 13,711 hours). 3. Section 64.710, Operator services for prison inmate phones. New Section 64.710 requires providers of interstate operator services to inmates at correctional institutions to identify themselves, audibly and distinctly, to the party to be billed for the call and also disclose immediately thereafter to that party how he or she, without having to hang up to dial a separate number, may obtain the charges for the call, before the carrier may connect, and bill for, a call. (No. of respondents; 570; annual burden per respondent: 4 hours; total annual hour burden: 2280 hours). 4. Section 64.703(a)(1)-(3), Consumer Information, Branding by OSPs. Section 64.703(a)(1)-(3), requires that operator service providers disclose to consumers their identity, and upon request by the consumer, the rates for the call, collection methods for the charges, and complaint procedures. 47 U.S.C. Section 226 required adoption of this rule. Providers of operator services are required to identify itself, audibly and distinctly, to both the calling party and the called party, rather than just one party. This requirement was a response to a widespread failure of operator service providers to disclose information necessary for informed consumer choice in the marketplace. This requirement is currently approved

by OMB under OMB control number 3060–0666 and is not being modified by the Second Report and Order and Order on Reconsideration in CC Docket No. 92–77. It is being consolidated with and is now approved under OMB control number 3060–0717 as suggested by OMB. (No. of respondents: 630; annual burden per respondent: 2 secs per call; total annual burden: 666,666).

The new information disclosure rules will make it easier for callers using operator services provided at call aggregator phones, and prison-inmate phones, to obtain immediately the cost of the call, prior to the call being connected. This should eliminate the surprise that many consumers encounter upon subsequently receiving the bill or bills containing what they believe to be excessive charges or surcharges for such calls. Further, requiring that carriers divulge this information without the consumer having to dial a separate telephone number more readily enables consumers to obtain valuable information necessary in making the decision whether to have that carrier carry the call at the identified rates. OSPs are required under Section 226(h)(1) of the Communications Act to file informational tariffs with the Commission and to update these tariffs regularly. The tariffs are filed to protect consumers from unfair and deceptive practices relating to their use of operator services to place interstate telephone calls; and to ensure that consumers have the opportunity to make informed choices in making such calls. Obligation to comply: mandatory.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0817.
Expiration Date: 05/31/2001.
Title: Computer III Further Remand Proceedings: BOC Provision of Enhanced Services (ONA Requirements), CC Docket No. 95–20.
Form No.: N/A.

*Respondents:* Businesses or other for profit entities.

Estimated Annual Burden: 5 respondents; 2 hours per response (avg.); 20 total annual burden hours.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: \$0.

Frequency of Response: On occasion; semi-annually.

Description: In the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking issued in CC Docket Nos. 95–20 and 98–10, the Commission seeks to eliminate outdated, unnecessary regulations, while continuing to protect against potential anticompetitive behavior by the Bell operating companies (BOCs) in the provision of information services. In fulfillment of this goal, the Commission

proposed and OMB approved the following collection of information from **BOCs:** Open Network Architecture (ONA) Reporting Requirements: The Commission sought comment on whether we should modify current ONA reporting requirements by reducing the frequency of semi-annual reporting to annual, whether the current quarterly installation and maintenance reports and accompanying annual affidavits are necessary or effective for the nondiscrimination obligations of Computer III, whether the separate subsidiary network information disclosure rules should continue to apply to BOCs with Computer II subsidiaries, whether the "all-carrier rule" should continue to apply to all carriers, other than incumbent LECs, owning basic transmission facilities, or whether the Commission should eliminate current ONA reporting requirements on the BOCs and GTE. In the FNPRM, the Commission tentatively concludes that the BOCs should be permitted to make one consolidated filing (or posting) for all generic information they currently submit in their semi-annual ONA reports. If adopted, the proposed collections would be used to ensure that BOCs and GTE comply with the Computer III, ONA requirements as modified by the FNPRM, and with the Communications Act, as amended, and with Commission policies and regulations. Obligation to respond: mandatory.

Public reporting burden for the collections of information is as noted above. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of the collections of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden to Performance Evaluation and Records Management, Washington, D.C. 20554.

Federal Communications Commission.

## Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-14093 Filed 5-27-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

## **Sunshine Act Meeting**

AGENCY: Federal Election Commission.

FEDERAL REGISTER NUMBER: 98–13018. PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED DATE & TIME: Thursday, May 21 1998, 10:00 a.m., meeting open to the public.

The Following Item Was Added to the Agenda: Electronic Filing for Presidential Committees.

**DATE & TIME:** Tuesday, June 2, 1998 at 10:00 a.m.

**PLACE:** 999 E Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

**STATUS:** This Meeting Will Be Closed to the Public.

ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED: Compliance matters pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 437g.

Audits conducted pursuant to 2 U.S.C.  $\S$  437g,  $\S$  438(b), and Title 26, U.S.C.

Matters concerning participation in civil actions or proceedings or arbitration.

Internal personnel rules and procedures or matters affecting a particular employee.

**DATE & TIME:** Thursday, June 4, 1998 at 10:00 a.m.

**PLACE:** 999 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. (ninth floor).

**STATUS:** This meeting Will Be Open to the Public.

ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED: Correction and Approval of Minutes. Administrative Matters.

## PERSON TO CONTACT FOR INFORMATION:

Mr. Ron Harris, Press Officer, Telephone: (202) 694–1220.

## Marjorie W. Emmons,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 98–14223 Filed 5–26–98; 10:37 am] BILLING CODE 6715–01–M

## FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

# Ocean Freight Forwarder License; Applications

Notice is hereby given that the following applicants have filed with the Federal Maritime Commission applications for licenses as ocean freight forwarders pursuant to section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 (46 U.S.C. app. 1718 and 46 CFR part 510).

Persons knowing of any reason why any of the following applicants should not receive a license are requested to contact the Office of Freight Forwarders, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573.

Cargo U.K., Inc., 4790 Aviation Parkway, Atlanta, GA 30349, Officers: Roger H. Botting, President

Southeast Logistics, 122 Agape Street, Williamson, GA 30292, Pat Owen, Sole Proprietor

Ocean's Freight, Inc., 2664 West 70th Place, Hialeah, FL 33016, Officer: Luis Miguel Boscan, President