important intake structure and environmental characteristics.

EPA has the authority to collect this information under Section 308 of the CWA (33 U.S.C. Section 1318). All recipients of the screener questionnaire are required to complete and return the questionnaire to EPA. The survey instrument will be mailed after OMB approves the ICR. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The Federal **Register** Notice required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on September 18, 1997. EPA received six sets of comments (75 comments in all). EPA's response to these comments are presented in Attachment 4 of the ICR.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 50 hours per response. Burden means the total time. effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Nonutility Power Producers (SIC 49 and all other Industrial Self-Generators), Paper and Allied Products (SIC 2611, 2621, and 2631), Chemical and Allied Products (SIC 28 except 2895, 2893, 2851, and 2879), Petroleum and Coal Products (SIC 2911), and Primary Metals (SIC 3312, 3315, 3316, 3317, 3353, 3363, 3365, and 3366).

Estimated number of respondents: 2,600. Frequency of Response: This is a one time collection.

Estimated total Annual Hour Burden: 130,000 hours.

Estimated total annualized cost burden: \$7,125,300.

As a result of the insights gained from the public comment and pretest activities, EPA reduced the burden on respondents by simplifying and shortening the screener questionnaire. In particular, EPA moved several financial questions back so that only those facilities that are within the scope of CWA Section 316(b) will have to answer those questions. In addition, EPA reduced the level of detail of the questions in the electricity generation section. EPA has also lengthened the response time from 30 to 60 days.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1828.01 in any correspondence.

- Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, PPE Regulatory Information Division (2137), 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460. and
- Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: May 4, 1998.

Joseph Retzer,

Director, Regulatory Information Division. [FR Doc. 98–12308 Filed 5–7–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6011-5]

Contractor Access to Confidential Business Information Under the Clean Air Act

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The United States **Environmental Protection Agency has** authorized the following subcontractor to access information that has been, or will be, submitted to the EPA under section 114 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) as amended: Caldwell Environmental, Inc., 6205 Winthrop Drive, Raleigh, NC 27612. Some of this information may be claimed to be confidential business information (CBI) by the submitter. This subcontractor will be providing support to the EPA under contracts 68-D6-0008 and 68-D6-0010. The prime contractor on this contract is EC/R. Incorporated. 2327 Englert Drive, Suite 100, Durham, North Carolina, 27713.

DATES: Access to confidential data submitted to EPA will occur no sooner than May 18, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Melva Toomer, Document Control Officer, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (MD–11), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, (919) 541–0880.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The EPA is issuing this notice to inform all submitters of information under section 114 of the CAA that the EPA may provide the above mentioned subcontractor access to these materials on a need-to-know basis. Under the direction of the prime contractor, this subcontractor will provide technical support to the Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS) in developing Federal Air Pollution Control Regulations.

In accordance with 40 CFR 2.301(h), the EPA has determined that the above subcontractor requires access to CBI submitted to the EPA under sections 112 and 114 of the CAA in order to perform work satisfactorily under the above noted contract. The subcontractor's personnel will be given access to information submitted under section 114 of the CAA. The subcontractor's personnel will be required to sign nondisclosure agreements and will receive training on appropriate security procedures before they are permitted access to CBI.

Clearance for access to CAA CBI is scheduled to expire on September 30, 2001 under contract 68–D6–0008 and contract 68–D6–0010.

Dated: May 1, 1998.

Robert Brenner,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 98–12305 Filed 5–7–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5491-5]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or (202) 564–7153. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed April 27, 1998 Through May 01, 1998 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 980149, Draft Supplement, BLM, MT, Judith-Valley-Phillips Comprehensive Resource Management Plan, New Information Addressing Oil and Gas Leasing on Federal Minerals, Implementation, Lewistown District, Judith Basin, Fergus, Petroleum, Phillips and Valley Counties, MT, Due: August 06, 1998, Contact: Jerry Majerus (406) 538–7461.

- EIS No. 980150, Final EIS, COE, AZ, Rio Salado Environmental Restoration of two Sites along the Salt River; (1) Phoenix Reach and (2) Tempe Reach, Feasibility Report, in the Cities of Phoenix and Tempe, Maricopa County, AZ, Due: June 08, 1998, Contact: Alex Watt (213) 452–3860.
- EIS No. 980151, Final EIS, AFS, KY, Daniel Boone National Forest Off-Highway Vehicle (OHV) Management Policy, Modification, Several Counties, KY, Due: June 08, 1998, Contact: Benjamin T. Worthington (606) 745–3100.
- EIS No. 980152, Draft EIS, USA, Stratford Army Engine Plant (SAEP) Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, City of Stratford, Fairfield and New Haven Counties, CT, Due: June 22, 1998, Contact: Leslie Sullivan (703) 697–0153.
- EIS No. 980153, Draft EIS, NPS, MS, Natchez Trace Parkway, Construction of Section 3X Southern Terminus, Adam Counties, MS, Due: July 07, 1998, Contact: Wendell Simpson (601) 680–4003.
- EIS No. 980154, Final EIS, FHW, CA, CA–101/Cuesta Grade Highway Improvements, 1.1 Miles north of Reservoir Canyon Road to the Cuesta Grade Overhead, Funding and Permit Issuance, San Luis Obispo County, CA, Due: June 08, 1998, Contact: John R. Schultz (916) 498–5041.
- EIS No. 980155, Draft EIS, DOE, SC, Tritium Extraction Facility (TEF), Construction and Operation near the Center of Savannah River Site at H Area, (DOE/EIS–0271D), Aiken and Barnwell Counties, SC, Due: June 22, 1998, Contact: Andrew R. Grainger (800) 881–7292.
- EIS No. 980156, Draft EIS, COE, GA, SC, Savannah Harbor Section 203 Expansion Project, Channel Deepening and Harbor Improvements, Georgia Ports Authority, Federal Navigation Project, Chatham County, Ga and Jasper County, SC, Due: June 22, 1998, Contact: William Bailey (912) 652–5781.
- EIS No. 980157, Draft EIS, AFS, OR, Moose Subwatershed Timber Harvest and Other Vegetation Management Actions, Central Cascade Adaptive Management (CCAMA), Willamette National Forest, Sweet Home Ranger District, Linn County, OR, Due: June 22, 1998, Contact: Donna Short (541) 367–5168.
- EIS No. 980159, Final EIS, UAF, FL, CA, Evolved Expandable Launch Vehicle (EELV) Program, Development,

Operation and Deployment, Proposed Launch Locations are Cape Canaveral Air Station (AS), Florida and Vandenberg Air Force Base (AFB), California, Federal Permits and Licenses, FL and CA, Due: June 08, 1998, Contact: Patty Vaught (703) 604–0561.

- EIS No. 980160, Final EIS, NSF, Amundsen-Scott South Pole Station, Proposal to Modernize through Reconstruction and Replacement of Key Facilities, Antarctica, Due: June 08, 1998, Contact: Joyce A. Jatko (703) 306–1032.
- EIS No. 980161, Draft EIS, BLM, AZ, Hualapai Mountain Land Exchange/ Plan Amendment, Implementation, Kingman and Dutch Flat, Mohave County, AZ, Due: July 27, 1998, Contact: Don McClure (520) 692– 4400.

This EIS was inadvertently omitted from the 04–24–98 **Federal Register**. The official 45 days NEPA review period is calculated from 04–24–98.

Dated: May 5, 1998

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities. [FR Doc. 98–12297 Filed 5–7–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5491-6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared April 20, 1998 Through April 24, 1998 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the FR dated April 10, 1998 (63 FR 17856).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-K65203-CA Rating EC2, Sirretta Peak Motorcycle Trail Construction, Approval and Implementation, Sirretta Peak/Machine Creek Area, Kern Plateau, Sequoia National Forest, Cannell Meadow Ranger District, Tulare County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential adverse impacts to the watershed and wildlife habitat from the construction and use of a motorized trail in a roadless area.

ERP No. D–BLM–K67047–NV Rating EC2, Trenton Canyon Mining Project, Construction, Operation and Expansion, Plan of Operation, Valma and North Peak Deposits, Humboldt and Lander Counties, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns to the proposed project, based on a lack of analysis of a reasonable range to project alternatives, and potential environmental degradation to waters of the United States. EPA asked for additional information, including information on a sequential backfilling alternative, waste rock and pit wall rock characterization, cumulative impact, project description, comprehensive mitigation and monitoring plan.

ERP No. D–COE–E39042–GA Rating EC2, Latham River/Jekyll Creek Environmental Restoration Project (Section 1135), To Establish the Without Project Condition, Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway (AIWW), Glynn County, GA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over the longterm impacts to wetlands resources in the project and the potential for increased development on Jekyll Island.

ERP No. D–COE–K32049–CA Rating EO2, San Francisco Bay to Stockton Phase III (John F. Baldwin) Navigation Channel Project, Construction and Operation, For Deliver of Petroleum to Refineries, Storage Terminals and Other Facilities, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, US Coast Guard Permit, Contra Costa County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objectives with two action alternatives because, according to the DEIS, deepening 16 miles of navigation channel would result in adverse water quality impacts, specifically intrusion of salt water into the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta that would exceed salinity standards. This increased salinity intrusion would have adverse effects on municipal drinking water supplies, fish and wildlife resources. EPA also expressed concerns on Clean Water Act Section 404 issues associated with a pipeline system alternative and noted that all three action alternatives may require a conformity determination for oxides of nitrogen (an ozone precursor) due to the San Francisco Bay Area's ozone maintenance status.

ERP No. D–FRC–B03009–ME Rating EC2, Maritimes Phase II Project, Construct and Operate an Interstate Natural Gas Pipeline, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Endangered Species Act (ESA) and NPDE's permits, US