

reais to U.S. dollars, the Department incorrectly divided the reais amount by the exchange rate.

Department's Position: We agree with the petitioners. The exchange rate that the Department used was 1.182033 U.S. dollars = 1 real. Therefore, when converting reais to U.S. dollars, we should have multiplied the reais amount by 1.182033. We have corrected this calculation for these amended final results of review.

Issue 6: The petitioners allege that the Department made the same conversion error, noted in *Issue 5* above, with regard to U.S. packing expenses.

Department's Position: We agree with the petitioners and have corrected this error for these amended final results of review.

Issue 7: The petitioners contend that in calculating adjustments to CV the Department subtracted home market packing expenses from a CV that did not include those expenses.

Department's Position: We agree with the petitioners, and have eliminated this adjustment from the weighted-average margin calculation for these amended final results of review.

Issue 8: The petitioners note that although the Department's final results of review analysis memorandum states that the Department calculated the ratio of net profit to cost of goods sold based on information from Ferbasa's financial statements, it appears that the Department actually calculated a ratio of net profit to net sales value, thereby understating the profit ratio.

Ferbasa contends that in the draft amended final results of review, the Department calculated the profit ratio using profit and cost of manufacturing rather than profit and cost of goods sold. Ferbasa further contends that the Department should apply the profit rate to a pre-profit CV that does not include amounts for ICMS and IPI taxes since the profit ratio is calculated based on a cost of goods sold amount that is net of the ICMS and IPI taxes.

Department's Position: We agree with the petitioners that in our final results of review we inadvertently calculated a ratio of profit to net sales value. We also agree with Ferbasa that for the draft amended final results of review, we inadvertently used the cost of manufacturing rather than the cost of goods sold in our profit rate calculation. For these amended final results, we have corrected the profit calculation to reflect a ratio of net profit to cost of goods sold. However, there is no information on the record to substantiate Ferbasa's claim that the cost of goods sold figure is calculated net of ICMS and IPI taxes. Therefore, we

are not changing the pre-profit CV in our calculations to exclude these taxes.

Issue 9: The petitioners argue that the Department's final results of review analysis memorandum states that the Department applied an 18 percent facts available (FA) rate for ICMS taxes incurred by Ferbasa on electricity costs. Petitioners note, however, that in the calculations, the Department applied as FA for ICMS taxes on electricity an actual figure that was less than 18 percent of Ferbasa's electricity cost.

Department's Position: We agree with the petitioners and have corrected this error for these amended final results of review.

Amended Final Results of Review

As a result of our correction of the ministerial errors, we have determined that the amended weighted-average margin for Ferbasa for the period August 15, 1993 through February 28, 1995 is 30.69 percent.

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisal instructions concerning the respondent directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final amended results of administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The amended cash deposit rate for the reviewed company named above will be the rate as stated above; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original less than fair value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this review, the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will be 35.95 percent, the All Others rate established in the amended final LTFV investigation. These amended deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Assessment Rates

For assessment purposes, we calculated an importer-specific

assessment rate. For the export price (EP) sale, we divided the total dumping margin (calculated as the difference between normal value and EP) by the total entered value of the merchandise. Upon completion of this review, we will direct the U.S. Customs Service to assess the resulting *ad valorem* rate against the entered value of each entry of the subject merchandise by the importer during the period of review.

This notice also serves as the final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of the APO is a sanctionable violation.

These amended final results of administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) and (h) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1) and (h)) and 19 CFR 353.28.

Dated: January 12, 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-1158 Filed 1-14-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 010998B]

Western Pacific Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Western Pacific Fishery Council will hold public meetings (including hearings) in Hawaii on the islands of Maui, Oahu, and Kauai to

solicit comment on a limited access program proposed for the Mau Zone bottomfish fishery in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands.

DATES: The schedule for the public meetings is as follows: Tuesday, 27 January 1998, Lihikai Elementary School, 6:00 pm - 9:00 pm, Kahalui, Maui; Wednesday, 28 January 1998, Council Office, 6:30 pm - 9:00 pm, Honolulu, Hawaii; and Thursday, 29 January 1998, Wilcox Elementary, 6:00 pm - 9:00 pm, Lihue, Kauai.

ADDRESSES: The meetings will be held at: Maui, Lihikai Elementary School, Kahalui, Maui, 335 Papa Street, 96732; Oahu, Council Office, 1164 Bishop Street, Suite 1400, Honolulu, Hawaii, 96813; Kauai, Wilcox Elementary School, 4319 Hardy Street, Lihue, Kauai, 96766. Telephone inquiries can be made to (808) 522-8220.

Council address: Western Pacific Fishery Management Council, 1164 Bishop St., Suite 1400, Honolulu, HI, 96813.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kitty M. Simonds, Executive Director; telephone 808-522-8220.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Western Pacific Fishery Management Council will be holding public meetings/hearings on Maui, Oahu, and Kauai to solicit comments on the proposed limited access system for the Mau Zone bottomfish fishery in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands. In 1989 the Mau Zone was established through Amendment 2 of the Council's Bottomfish and Seamount Groundfish Fishery Management Plan. The Mau Zone was created as an open access fishery but required a federal permit for participation. Currently, a 2 year moratorium is in place on the issuance of new permits for the Mau Zone fishery. The moratorium is scheduled to expire 27 March 1999, by which time the Council intend to establish a new management program for the fishery.

Although other issues not on the agenda may come before this Council for discussion, in accordance with the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, those issues may not be the subject of formal Council action during these meetings. Council action will be restricted to those issues specifically identified in the agenda listed in this notice.

Special Accommodations

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Kitty M. Simonds, 808-522-8220

(voice) or 808-522-8226 (fax), at least 5 days prior to meeting date.

Dated: January 9, 1998.

Richard W. Surdi,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 98-1051 Filed 1-14-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 010998A]

Endangered and Threatened Species; Permits

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Receipt of applications for scientific research permits 1059, 1072, 1074, 1075, 1088, 1092, 1114, 1115, and 1116. Issuance of scientific research permits 1066, 1079, 1080, 1082, 1103, 1104, modification 3 to permit 895, and amendments to permits 795, 1005, 822, and 825.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the following applicants have applied in due form for permits that would authorize takes of an endangered or threatened species for scientific research purposes: Carl Page, in Cotati, CA (1059); U.S.D.I. Bureau of Reclamation (BOR), in Shasta Lake, CA (1072); Pacific Lumber Company (PLC), in Scotia, CA (1074); Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations (PCFFA), in Miranda, CA (1075); U.S.D.I. Bureau of Land Management (BLM), in Arcata, CA (1088); Sierra Pacific Industries (SPI), in Redding, CA (1092); the State of Washington, Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) at Olympia, WA (1114); Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County (PUDCC), at Wenatchee, WA (1115); and Public Utility District No. 1 of Douglas County (PUDDC) at East Wenatchee, WA (1116).

Notice is also given that NMFS has issued scientific research permits that authorize takes of Endangered Species Act-listed anadromous fish species for the purpose of scientific research and/or enhancement, subject to certain conditions set forth therein, to: D.W. Alley and Associates (DWAA), Brookedale, CA (1066); Georgia-Pacific West, Inc. (GPWI), Fort Bragg, CA (1079); Dr. Jerry J. Smith, San Jose State University (SJSU), San Jose, CA (1080); Salmon Trollers Marketing Association (STMA), Fort Bragg, CA (1082); the

California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CDFFP), Santa Rosa, CA (1103); Louisiana-Pacific Corporation (LPC), Trinidad, CA (1104); has issued a modification to a permit to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), at Walla Walla, WA (895).

Notice is also given that NMFS issued amendments to permits to: the Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG), at Boise, ID (795); the Northwest Fisheries Science Center, NMFS (NWFSC), at Seattle, WA (1005); the Fish Passage Center (FPC), at Portland, OR (822); and the Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission (CRITFC), at Portland, OR (825).

DATES: Written comments or requests for a public hearing on any of these applications must be received on or before February 17, 1998.

ADDRESSES: The applications and related documents are available for review in the following offices, by appointment:

For applications/permits 1059, 1066, 1072, 1074, 1075, 1079, 1080, 1082, 1088, 1092, 1103, 1104: Protected Species Division, NMFS, 777 Sonoma Avenue, Room 325, Santa Rosa, CA 95404-6528 (707 575-6066);

For applications/permits 895, 795, 1005, 822, 825, 1114, 1115, 1116: Protected Resources Division, F/NW03, NMFS, 525 NE Oregon Street, Suite 500, Portland, OR 97232-4169 (503-230-5400);

All documents may also be reviewed by appointment in the Office of Protected Resources, F/NW03, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910-3226 (301-713-1401).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For permits 1059, 1066, 1072, 1074, 1075, 1079, 1080, 1082, 1088, 1092, 1103, 1104: Tom Hablett, Protected Resources Division, NMFS Santa Rosa office, (707-575-6066).

For permits 1114, 1115, 1116: Tom Lichatowich, Protected Resources Division, NMFS Portland office, (503-230-5438).

For permits 895, 795, 1005, 822, 825: Robert Koch, Protected Resources Division, NMFS Portland office, (503-230-5424).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Permits are requested under the authority of section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA) (16 U.S.C. 1531-1543) and the NMFS regulations governing ESA-listed fish and wildlife permits (50 CFR parts 217-227).

Those individuals requesting a hearing on these requests for permits should set out the specific reasons why a hearing would be appropriate (see **ADDRESSES**). The holding of such a