

PRPs at the Site, including the U.S. Army, the U.S. Navy and the General Services Administration, to pay approximately \$5.1 million, in aggregate, in settlement of claims for EPA's past and future response costs, and certain private parties' past costs at the Site. The monies paid by these 266 settlers will be used to reimburse past costs incurred at the Site and to partially fund the remedial action being performed by the two performing parties.

The Consent Decree provides the settling defendants with releases for civil liability for: (1) EPA's and the State of Connecticut's ("State's") past CERCLA response costs at the Site; (2) response costs in connection with the remedy for the Site; and (3) for damages for natural resources under the trusteeship of the Secretary of Commerce, through the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Notice of the Consent Decree originally was published on March 26, 1998. See 63 Fed. Reg. 14730-31. Since that time however, the Consent Decree's appendix listing the names of the settling defendants was modified to add the names of additional settling defendants. Because of this modification, the public notice period will be extended. The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree.

Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States and State of Connecticut v. Town of Southington, et al.*, DOJ Ref. No. 90-11-2-420A.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, U.S. Courthouse, 915 Lafayette Blvd., Rm. 309, Bridgeport, CT 06604; the Region I Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, Region I Records Center, 90 Canal Street, First Floor, Boston MA 02203; and at the Consent Decree Library 1120 G Street, N.W., Fourth Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, Fourth Floor, N.W., Washington D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$175.00 (25 cents per page reproduction

costs); payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Bruce Gelber,

Deputy Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 98-11325 Filed 4-28-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Air Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 U.S.C. 50.7, and pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 7413(g), notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Total Petroleum, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 97-182 P, was lodged on April 3, 1998, with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

The Consent Decree settles an action brought under section 113 of the Clean Air Act ("the Act"), 42 U.S.C. 7413, and the Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources ("NSPS"), 40 CFR part 60, subparts A, Ka, VV, GGG, and QQQ. The Consent Decree provides for Total's payment of a civil penalty to the United States in the amount of \$75,000, and requires Total to implement and complete a Pollution Reduction Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") costing \$315,700 at its Ardmore, Oklahoma facility. The SEP involves the redesign of the alkylation neutralization system to minimize emissions to the atmosphere, eliminate the risk of soil, air and ground water contamination from the system and eliminate any risks to operators by installing a bulk dry line system with enclosed auger delivery into a sealed covered unit. The sealed covered unit will have double containment and leak detection system and scrubbers will minimize fumes and lime dust.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Total Petroleum, Inc.*, DOJ Ref. #90-5-2-1-1985.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Eastern District of Oklahoma, 1200 W. Okmulgee Street, Muskogee, Oklahoma 74401; the Region VI Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue,

Dallas, Texas; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$5.75 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Walker Smith,

Deputy Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 98-11323 Filed 4-28-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—National Information Infrastructure Testbed

Notice is hereby given that, on November 12, 1997, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), National Information Infrastructure Testbed, Inc., d/b/a InfoTEST International ("InfoTEST") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, the following companies have become members of the National Information Infrastructure Testbed: Bellcore, Piscataway, NJ; and Agility Forum—Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA. Organizations that are no longer National Information Infrastructure Testbed members are: Jet Propulsion Laboratory; PeerLogic; Institute for Defense Analysis; and Lawrence Livermore Laboratory.

No other changes have been made in the membership, nature, or objectives of the consortium. Membership in InfoTEST remains open, and the consortium intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On December 7, 1993, InfoTEST filed its original notification (as the National Information Infrastructure Testbed) pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice

in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on May 18, 1994 (60 FR 25960).

The last notification was filed with the Department of Justice on August 11, 1997. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on October 31, 1997 (62 FR 58982).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 98-11326 Filed 4-28-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Bureau of Labor Statistics

Labor Research Advisory Council; Meetings and Agenda

The Spring meetings of committees of the Labor Research Advisory Council will be held on May 19, 20, and 21. All of the meetings will be held in the Conference Center of the Postal Square Building (PSB), 2 Massachusetts Avenue, NE, Washington, DC.

The Labor Research Advisory Council and its committees advise the Bureau of Labor Statistics with respect to technical matters associated with the Bureau's programs. Membership consists of union research directors and staff members. The schedule and agenda of the meetings are as follows:

Tuesday, May 19, 1998

9:30 a.m.—Committee on Occupational Safety and Health Statistics—Meeting Room 3

1. Discuss methods for estimating fatality rates by State
2. Review worker demographic and injury and illness characteristic information from the 1996 Survey of Occupational Injuries and Illnesses
3. Discuss strategies for special epidemiological studies as followback surveys to the BLS Survey of Occupational Injuries and Illnesses
4. Discuss the increase in the occurrence of injuries and illnesses with restricted work activity

1:30 p.m.—Committee on Prices and Living Conditions—Meeting Room 3

1. Update on program developments
 - a. Producers Price Indexes
 - b. The Consumer Price Index
2. Other business

Wednesday, May 20, 1998

9:30 a.m.—Committee on Employment and Unemployment Statistics—Meeting Room 10

1. Union membership as measured by the Current Population Survey (CPS)—Federal employee membership in CPS compared to Office of Personnel Management data
2. Local Area Unemployment Statistics data on the Internet—outlook for easier access to these data
3. Job Opening and Labor Turnover Survey—plans for FY 1999
4. Wage data from the Occupational Employment Statistics Survey—what data are available
5. Longitudinal Database—status of project
6. Supplements to the Current Population Survey
7. Current Employment Statistics—discussion of fluctuations in Average Weekly Hours and Average Hourly Earnings series related to varied length of bi-monthly and monthly payrolls

1:30 p.m.—Committee on Foreign Labor Statistics—Meeting Room 10

1. Report on recent developments in the Office of Productivity and Technology
2. International comparisons of unit labor costs in manufacturing

Committee on Productivity, Technology and Growth—Meeting Room 10

1. Recent trends in productivity measures for major sectors of the U.S. economy
2. The new BLS industry productivity data set
3. Review of 1996–2006 projections publications
4. Overview of the Office of Employment Projections internet site
5. Discussion of information technology worker job market

Thursday, May 21, 1998

9:30 a.m.—Committee on Compensation and Working Conditions (Formerly Wages and Industrial Relations—Meeting Room 3

1. Factors explaining wage variation in the National Compensation Survey
2. Office of Compensation and Working Conditions compensation data on the internet
3. Benefits in the National Compensation Survey

The meetings are open to the public. Persons planning to attend these meetings as observers may want to

contact Wilhelmina Abner on (Area Code 202) 606-5970.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 22nd day of April, 1998.

Katharine G. Abraham,
Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 98-11355 Filed 4-28-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-24-M

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Copyright Office

[Docket No. 98-3]

Change in Procedure for Recording Certain Multiple Title Documents

AGENCY: Copyright Office, Library of Congress.

ACTION: Notice of policy decision.

SUMMARY: The Copyright Office of the Library of Congress issues this policy decision changing the recordation procedure for a narrow category of multiple title documents. The change will be incorporated in a revised Chapter 1600 of the Compendium of Copyright Office Practices, "Recordation of Transfer and Other Documents Pertaining to a Copyright," to be made available on-line on the Copyright Office Website on July 1, 1998.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kent Dunlap, Principal Legal Advisor Copyright, GC/I&R, P.O. Box 70400, Southwest Station, Washington, D.C. 20024. Telephone (202) 707-8380. Telefax: (202) 707-8366.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Currently, titles that are repeated in documents submitted for recordation are indexed as a single "title" and are counted as a single title. Similarly, titles that are repeated and followed by consecutive issues, volumes, chapters or installments are counted and indexed as a single title, even when different registration numbers or dates are listed. For index purposes, the title is followed by a notation such as "vol. 1, no. 1-12".

Under the changed practice, titles that are repeated in documents will continue to be counted as a single title, except where the document lists different issues, volumes, chapters, or installments following the title. Each such entry will be regarded as a separate title, and will be indexed separately and counted separately for purposes of computing the recordation fee.

The Office believes that this change will facilitate the search for individual issues or volumes in the Copyright Office records, and that the new method of assessing the fee will more accurately