

occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34 (d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with Section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: April 16, 1998.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 98-11149 Filed 4-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-810]

#### Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration, Import Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty changed circumstances review.

**SUMMARY:** On March 26, 1998, the Department of Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of its antidumping duty changed circumstances review on certain welded stainless steel pipe from Korea (63 FR 14679) to examine whether SeAH Steel Corporation is the successor to Pusan Steel Pipe, the successor to Sammi Metal Products Co., or neither. We have now completed this review and determine that, for purposes of applying the antidumping duty law, SeAH Steel Corporation is the successor to Pusan Steel Pipe and, as such, should be assigned the antidumping deposit rate applicable to Pusan Steel Pipe.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 27, 1998.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Marian Wells or Cynthia Thirumalai, Import Administration, International

Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-6309, (202) 482-4087.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On March 26, 1998, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of its antidumping duty changed circumstances review on certain welded stainless steel pipe from Korea (63 FR 14679). We have now completed this changed circumstances review in accordance with section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

##### Scope of Review

The merchandise subject to this review is circular welded non-alloy steel pipe and tube, of circular cross-section, not more than 406.4mm (16 inches) in outside diameter, regardless of wall thickness, surface finish (black, galvanized, or painted), or end finish (plain end, beveled end, threaded, or threaded and coupled). These pipes and tubes are generally known as standard pipes and tubes and are intended for the low-pressure conveyance of water, steam, natural gas, air, and other liquids and gases in plumbing and heating systems, air-conditioning units, automatic sprinkler systems, and other related uses. Standard pipe may also be used for light load-bearing applications, such as for fence tubing, and as structural pipe tubing used for framing and as support members for reconstruction or load-bearing purposes in the construction, shipbuilding, trucking, farm equipment, and other related industries. Unfinished conduit pipe is also included in this order.

All carbon-steel pipes and tubes within the physical description outlined above are included within the scope of this review except line pipe, oil-country tubular goods, boiler tubing, mechanical tubing, pipe and tube hollows for redrums, finished scaffolding, and finished conduit. In accordance with the Department's Final Negative Determination of Scope Inquiry on Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe and Tube from Brazil, the Republic of Korea, Mexico, and Venezuela (61 FR 11608, March 21, 1996), pipe certified to the API 5L line-pipe specification and pipe certified to both the API 5L line-pipe specifications and the less-stringent ASTM A-53 standard-pipe specifications, which falls within the physical parameters as outlined above, and entered as line pipe of a kind used for oil and gas pipelines

is outside of the scope of the antidumping duty order.

Imports of these products are currently classifiable under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) subheadings: 7306.30.10.00, 7306.30.50.25, 7306.30.50.32, 7306.30.50.40, 7306.30.50.55, 7306.30.50.85, and 7306.30.50.90. Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

This changed circumstances administrative review covers SeAH Steel Corporation (SeAH) and any parties affiliated with SeAH.

#### Successorship and Final Results of Review

We received no comments on the preliminary results of review. Therefore, for the reasons stated in the preliminary results of review and based on the facts on the record, we find that SeAH is the successor to Pusan Steel Pipe (PSP) for antidumping duty cash deposit purposes.

SeAH will, therefore, be assigned the PSP cash deposit rate of 6.00 percent ad valorem. This cash deposit requirement will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of changed circumstances review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date. This cash deposit rate shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 353.22(f).

Dated: April 21, 1998.

**Robert S. LaRussa,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 98-11145 Filed 4-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-815, A-580-816]

#### Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews: Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From Korea; Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Korea

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of amendment to final results of antidumping duty administrative reviews.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is amending its final results of reviews, published on March 18, 1998, of the antidumping duty orders on cold-rolled and corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Korea, to reflect the correction of ministerial errors in those final results. The period covered by these amended final results is the period August 1, 1995 through July 31, 1996.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 27, 1998.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steve Bezirgianian, Thomas Killiam, Fred Baker, or Alain Letort, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III—Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone 202/482-0162 (Bezirgianian), 202/482-2924 (Baker), 202/482-2704 (Killiam) or 202/482-4243 (Letort), fax 202/482-1388.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions codified at 19 CFR Part 353 (April 1997). Although the Department's new regulations, codified at 19 CFR 351 (62 FR 27296—May 19, 1997) do not govern these proceedings, citations to those regulations are provided, where appropriate, to explain current departmental practice.

**Background**

On March 18, 1998, the Department published the final results of its administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on certain cold-rolled and corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Korea, for the period August 1, 1995 through July 31, 1996 (63 FR 13170). The reviews covered shipments of the merchandise from Korea by Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd. (Dongbu), Union Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Union), and Pohang Iron and Steel Co., Ltd. (POSCO). (POSCO and the companies collapsed with POSCO (Pohang Coated Steel Co., Ltd. (POCOS) and Pohang Steel Industries Co., Ltd. (PSI)), are

collectively referred to below as the POSCO Group.)

On March 23, 1998, the POSCO Group and Dongbu submitted clerical error allegations. On March 25, 1998, petitioners responded to Dongbu's allegation. On March 31, 1998, petitioners alleged that the Department had made a ministerial error with respect to the POSCO Group. On April 3, 1998, the POSCO Group responded to the petitioners' allegation.

**Clerical Error Allegations**

The POSCO Group alleged that the Department had made three ministerial errors. We disagree with two of those allegations, both of which involved expenses which the POSCO Group asserted should not have been included in the calculation of the U.S. indirect selling expense variable (INDIRSU). We discuss the basis for our determination with respect to those two allegations in the analysis memorandum from Steve Bezirgianian to Richard Weible dated April 15, 1998. Dongbu alleged that the Department had made one clerical error by allegedly using the wrong date as the U.S. date of sale. We disagree with that allegation, and discuss our basis in the analysis memorandum from Fred Baker to Richard Weible dated April 15, 1998. We address herein the remaining allegations from the POSCO Group and the petitioners. Additional discussion of the allegations received from POSCO appears in the aforementioned analysis memorandum from Steve Bezirgianian to Richard Weible.

*Comment 1:* The POSCO Group argues that the Department erred in its calculations for corrosion-resistant products by failing to deduct home market freight expenses from normal value.

**Department's Position**

We agree, and have corrected this error in these amended final results.

*Comment 2:* Petitioners assert that the Department erred in its calculations for the POSCO Group by not including various POSAM and BUS expenses in the numerators of its calculations of U.S. indirect selling expenses (INDIRSU). They note that the Department's analysis memorandum stated explicitly that the only expenses not to be included in the calculation were "freight out."

The POSCO Group argues that it is not the Department's practice to include the expenses in question in the calculation of indirect selling expenses, and to the extent they are relevant for the Department's analysis they are accounted for in another variable reported by POSCO.

**Department's Position**

We agree with petitioners. It was not our intent to omit the expenses at issue from the calculation of total indirect selling expenses. Therefore, this omission constitutes a ministerial error. Furthermore, upon review of this issue, we determined that we had also inadvertently failed to include certain POSAM income in the denominator of the calculation of INDIRSU for POSAM. See the April 15, 1998, memorandum from Steve Bezirgianian for Richard Weible. Therefore, we have corrected both the ministerial error noted by petitioners and the additional ministerial error that we subsequently discovered.

**Amended Final Results of Review**

As a result of the correction, we have determined that the following weighted-average margins exists for the period August 1, 1995 through July 31, 1996:

**CERTAIN COLD-ROLLED CARBON STEEL FLAT PRODUCTS**

Manufacturer/producer/exporter <sup>1</sup>	Weighted-average margin (percent)
The POSCO Group .....	5.72
Dongbu .....	1.21

<sup>1</sup> Union had no shipments of cold-rolled products during the period of review.

**CERTAIN CORROSION-RESISTANT CARBON STEEL FLAT PRODUCTS**

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
The POSCO Group .....	1.46
Dongbu .....	0.60
Union .....	0.39

The Department shall determine, and the United States Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department shall issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements shall be effective upon publication of this notice for all shipments of the subject merchandise from Korea that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, on or after the date of publication of this notice, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit for the reviewed companies will be the rates stated above, except for Union, which had a *de minimis* margin, and whose cash deposit rate is therefore zero; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in these

reviews, but covered in a previous segment of these proceedings, the cash deposit rates shall continue to be the company-specific rates published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in these reviews, or the original investigations, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rates shall be those established for the manufacturer of the merchandise in the most recently completed segment of these proceedings; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in these or any previous reviews, the cash deposit rate will continue to be 14.44 percent (for certain cold-rolled carbon steel flat products) and 17.70 percent (for certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products), which were the "all others" rates in the LTFV investigations.

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as final reminder to importers of their responsibility to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also is the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO.

These amended final results of administrative review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 17, 1998.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 98-11001 Filed 4-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-421-804]

#### **Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From the Netherlands; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** On March 18, 1998, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on cold-rolled carbon steel flat products from the Netherlands (63 FR 13204). The period of review is August 1, 1995 through July 31, 1996. On March 18, 1998, the sole respondent, Hoogovens Staal BV, and its U.S. subsidiary, Hoogovens Steel USA, Inc. (collectively, Hoogovens) filed a timely request that the Department correct certain clerical errors in these final results. On March 25, 1998, the petitioners (Bethlehem Steel Corporation, U.S. Steel Company (a Unit of USX Corporation), Inland Steel Industries, Inc., Geneva Steel, Gulf States Steel Inc. of Alabama, Sharon Steel Corporation, and Lukens Steel Company) filed a response claiming that none of the errors alleged by Hoogovens appeared to be ministerial in nature, inasmuch as the Department followed the allocation methodology described in the final analysis memorandum. We are publishing this amendment to the final results of review in accordance with 19 CFR 353.28(c).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 27, 1998.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Helen Kramer or Linda Ludwig, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0405 or (202) 482-3833, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise stated, all citations to the Tariff Act are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations

to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR Part 353 (1997).

#### **Scope of this Review**

The products covered by this review include cold-rolled (cold-reduced) carbon steel flat-rolled products, of rectangular shape, neither clad, plated nor coated with metal, whether or not painted, varnished or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances, in coils (whether or not in successively superimposed layers) and of a width of 0.5 inch or greater, or in straight lengths which, if of a thickness less than 4.75 millimeters, are of a width of 0.5 inch or greater and which measures at least 10 times the thickness or if of a thickness of 4.75 millimeters or more are of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness, as currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) under item numbers 7209.15.0000, 7209.16.0030, 7209.16.0060, 7209.16.0090, 7209.17.0030, 7209.17.0060, 7209.17.0090, 7209.18.1530, 7209.18.1560, 7209.18.2550, 7209.18.6000, 7209.25.0000, 7209.26.0000, 7209.27.0000, 7209.28.0000, 7209.90.0000, 7210.70.3000, 7210.90.9000, 7211.23.1500, 7211.23.2000, 7211.23.3000, 7211.23.4500, 7211.23.6030, 7211.23.6060, 7211.23.6085, 7211.29.2030, 7211.29.2090, 7211.29.4500, 7211.29.6030, 7211.29.6080, 7211.90.0000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7212.50.0000, 7215.50.0015, 7215.50.0060, 7215.50.0090, 7215.90.5000, 7217.10.1000, 7217.10.2000, 7217.10.3000, 7217.10.7000, 7217.90.1000, 7217.90.5030, 7217.90.5060, and 7217.90.5090. Included in this review are flat-rolled products of nonrectangular cross-section where such cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling process (i.e., products which have been "worked after rolling")—for example, products which have been beveled or rounded at the edges. Excluded from this review is certain shadow mask steel, i.e., aluminum-killed, cold-rolled steel coil that is open-coil annealed, has a carbon content of less than 0.002 percent, is of 0.003 to 0.012 inch in thickness, 15 to 30 inches in width, and has an ultra flat, isotropic surface. These HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

The POR is August 1, 1995, through July 31, 1996. This review covers entries of certain cold-rolled carbon steel flat