

notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2A, which describes the application procedure.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to 14 CFR part 71 to modify Class E airspace at Griffith, IN, to accommodate aircraft executing the proposed GPS Rwy 26 SIAP, at Griffith-Merrillville Airport by adding an eastern extension to the existing controlled airspace at the airport. Controlled airspace extending upward from 700 to 1200 feet AGL is needed to contain aircraft executing the approach. The area would be depicted on appropriate aeronautical charts. Class E airspace designations for airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth are published in paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9E dated September 10, 1997, and effective September 16, 1997, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document would be published subsequently in the Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this proposed regulation—(1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9E, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 10, 1997, and effective September 16, 1997, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.

* * * * *

AGL IN E5 Griffith, IN [Revised]

Griffith-Merrillville Airport, IN
(Lat. 41°31'11" N., long. 87°24'04" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.4-mile radius of the Griffith-Merrillville Airport; and within 2.0 miles either side of the 080° bearing from the airport, extending from the 6.4-mile radius to 7.8 miles east of the airport, excluding that area within the Chicago, IL, Class E airspace area.

* * * * *

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois, on April 7, 1998.

Maureen Woods,
Manager, Air Traffic Division.

[FR Doc. 98-10678 Filed 4-21-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 98-ASO-6]

Proposed Amendment of Class E Airspace; Daytona Beach, FL

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice proposes to amend Class E airspace at Daytona Beach, FL. The Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) for VHF Omnidirectional Range (VOR) Runway (RWY) 8 at the Ormond Beach Municipal Airport has been amended to a VOR or Global Positioning System (GPS) RWY 17 SIAP. As a result, the airspace for the Ormond Beach Municipal Airport must be amended.

DATES: Comments must be received before May 22, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Docket No. 98-ASO-6, Manager, Airspace Branch, ASO-520, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320.

The official docket may be examined in the Office of Regional Counsel for Southern Region, Room 550, 1701 Columbia Avenue, College Park, Georgia 30337, telephone (404) 305-5586.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy B. Shelton, Manager, Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305-5586.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal.

Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made:

"Comments to Airspace Docket No. 98-ASO-6." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination in the Office of Regional Counsel for Southern Region, Room 550, 1701 Columbia Avenue, College Park, Georgia 30337, both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with the rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

Availability of NPRM's

Any person may obtain a copy of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)

by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Manager, Airspace Branch, ASO-520, Air Traffic Division, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2A, which describes the application procedure.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) to amend Class E airspace at Daytona Beach, FL. The VOR RWY 8 SIAP at the Ormond Beach Municipal Airport has been amended to a VOR or GPS RWY 17 SIAP. As a result, the airspace for the Ormond Beach Municipal Airport must be amended from a 6.4- to a 7.3-mile radius to accommodate the SIAP and for Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at the airport. Additionally, the airspace extension for the previous VOR RWY 8 SIAP will be removed. Class E airspace designations for airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface are published in Paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9E dated September 10, 1997, and effective September 16, 1997, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document would be published subsequently in the Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore, (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (Air).

The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration

proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for Part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9E, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 10, 1997, and effective September 16, 1997, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.

* * * * *

ASO FL E5 Daytona Beach, FL [Revised]

Daytona Beach International Airport, FL
(Lat. 29°10'48" N, long. 81°03'27" W)
Spruce Creek Airport

(Lat. 29°04'49" N, long. 81°02'48" W)
Ormond Beach Municipal Airport
(Lat. 29°18'04" N, long. 81°06'50" W)
Ormond Beach VORTAC
(Lat. 29°18'12" N, long. 81°06'46" W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth within a 10-mile radius of Daytona Beach International Airport, within a 6.4-mile radius of Spruce Creek Airport and within a 7.3-mile radius of Ormond Beach Municipal Airport.

* * * * *

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on April 8, 1998.

Wade T. Carpenter,

*Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division,
Southern Region.*

[FR Doc. 98-10677 Filed 4-21-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

16 CFR Part 901

Request for Comments Concerning Procedures for State Application for Exemption From the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Request for public comments.

SUMMARY: The Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") requests public comments about the overall costs and benefits and the continuing needs for its Procedures for State Application

for Exemption from the Provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), hereinafter known as "Procedures."

DATES: Written comments will be accepted until June 22, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be directed to: Secretary, Federal Trade Commission, Room H-159, Sixth Street and Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580. Comments should be identified as "Procedures for Exemption from FDCPA, 16 CFR Part 901—Comment."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John F. LeFevre, Attorney, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, D.C. 20580, telephone number (202) 326-3209 or Tom Kane, Attorney, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, D.C. 20580, telephone number (202) 326-2304, E-mail [tkane@ftc.gov].

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

A. The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1691 *et seq.* ("FDCPA"), prohibits a number of deceptive, unfair and abusive practices by third party debt collectors. Section 817 of the FDCPA requires that the Commission exempt from its requirements "any class of debt collection practices within any state if the Commission determines that under the law of the state, the class of debt collection practices is subject to requirements substantially similar to those imposed by [the FDCPA], and that there is adequate provision for enforcement." The Commission has received one application for exemption from Sections 803-812 of the FDCPA from the State of Maine for debt collection practices conducted within that State and granted that exemption.¹

The FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from using false or misleading statements, harassing or abusive conduct or any unfair methods to collect debts. Among the practices which are specifically prohibited are making false threats to coerce payment (such as false threats of suit); using deceptive collection notices that falsely appear to be from an attorney or court; and engaging in any sort of harassment, such as threatening violence, using profanity and obscenities, or making continuous phone calls. The FDCPA also restricts the extent to which debt collectors may call a consumer at work and prohibits them from making calls to consumers

¹ Notice of Maine Exemption from the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 60 Fed. Reg. 68173 (December 27, 1995).