Intended Use: See notice at 63 FR 5364, February 2, 1998.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides micron-scale resolution using operation at 1.0 GHz for local stiffness analysis of materials. The National Aeronautics and Space Administration advised March 26, 1998 that (1) this capability is pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

# Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 98–10411 Filed 4–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

## Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 98–019. Applicant: University of Minnesota, Department of Neurosurgery, Lions Research Building, 2001 Sixth Street, S.E., #421, Minneapolis, MN 55455. Instrument: Eye Tracking System. Manufacturer: Thomas Recording, Germany. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to record eye movements in studies of how the brain processes visual information to move our limbs. The experiments require recording eye movements and arm movements and at the same time recording from brain cells in a monkey during visually guided movements. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 31, 1998.

Docket Number: 98-020. Applicant: North Carolina State University, Campus Box 7212, Raleigh, NC 27695-7212. Instrument: Mini 4–Pocket E-Beam Evaporator, Model EGC04. Manufacturer: Oxford Applied Research, United Kingdom. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be incorporated into a new type of electron microscope system which will be used for studies of the phenomena of epitaxial layer growth of electronic materials. The research will explore how films nucleate on different surfaces, and how strain is relaxed between films of different lattice constant. In addition, the instrument will be used in the course PY 699, Independent Research Studies to introduce graduate students into the techniques of research. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: April 1, 1998.

#### Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 98–10413 Filed 4–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### **International Trade Administration**

## Environmental Technologies Trade Advisory Committee (ETTAC); Meeting

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Technologies Trade Advisory Committee will hold a plenary meeting on May 1, 1998. The ETTAC was created on May 31, 1994, to advise the U.S. government on policies and programs to help expand U.S. exports for environmental products and services.

Date and Place: May 1, 1998, 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. The meeting will take place in Room 1414 of the Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

This is the first formal meeting of the newly appointed ETTAC. The focus of the meeting will be an orientation and introduction of new members. Among anticipated discussion items include committee priorities (eg., setting a work plan), selection of new officers, and the status of ongoing priorities (eg., APEC trade liberalization, Climate Change, and water strategy and finance).

This program is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Sage Chandler, Department of Commerce, Room 1004, Washington, DC 20230. Seating is limited and will be on a firstcome, first-served basis.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sage Chandler with The Office of Environmental Technologies Exports, Room 1003, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, phone (202) 482–5225, facsimile (202) 482–5665, TDD (800) 833–8723.

Dated: April 9, 1998.

#### Anne L. Alonzo,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Environmental Technologies Exports. [FR Doc. 98–10407 Filed 4–16–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DR–M

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

## North American Free-Trade Agreement, Article 1904 NAFTA Panel Reviews; Notice of Termination of Panel Review

**AGENCY:** NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of termination of panel review.

**SUMMARY:** A Notice of Consent Motion to Terminate the Panel Review of the final countervailing determination made by the Secretaria de Comercio y Fomento Industrial, respecting Hydrogen Peroxide Originating in the USA was filed by Electroquimica Mexicana S.A. de C.V. on November 24, 1997. The same company filed the First Request for Panel Review with the Mexican Section of the NAFTA Secretariat pursuant to Article 1904 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement. This panel review was assigned Secretariat File Number MEX-97–1904–01 by the Mexican Section. Pursuant to Rule 71(2) of the Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Review, this panel review was terminated on December 22, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James R. Holbein, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20230, (202) 482-5438.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686). The Panel Review in this matter was conducted in accordance with these Rules.

Dated: April 9, 1998.

## James R. Holbein,

United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat. [FR Doc. 98–10434 Filed 4–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–GT–M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### Minority Business Development Agency

[Docket No. 980320072-8072-01]

Solicitation of Minority Business Development Center Applications for Miami/Ft. Lauderdale, Raleigh/Durham, San Antonio, El Paso, Statewide New Mexico, Philadelphia, Williamsburg, Seattle, Honolulu and San Jose; Correction

**AGENCY:** Minority Business Development Agency, Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice; Correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Minority Business Development Agency (MBDA) published a document in the **Federal Register** of March 27, 1998, concerning solicitation of competitive applications from organizations to operate the Minority Business Development Centers (MBDC) listed in that document. This document removes the Statewide New Mexico MBDC from that list because MBDA has determined it was not necessary to solicit applications for this location. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Iglehart, Regional Director at (214) 767–8001.

*Correction:* In the **Federal Register** issue of March 27, 1998, in FR Doc. 98– 801, on page 14900 (and continuing on page 14901), in the third column, remove the paragraph numbered 6. On page 14901, second column in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**, first paragraph, remove the words, "Statewide New Mexico.".

11.800 Minority Business Development Center

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance) Dated: April 15, 1998.

#### Juanita E. Berry,

Federal Register Liaison Officer, Minority Business Development Agency.

# Paul R. Webber,

Assistant Director, Minority Business Development Agency.

[FR Doc. 98–10409 Filed 4–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–21–P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

## Alaska Region Logbook Family of Forms

**ACTION:** Proposed Collection; Comment Request.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before June 22, 1998. **ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and

Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Patsy A. Bearden, F/ AK01, NOAA/NMFS, P.O. Box 21668, Juneau, AK 99802–1668 (907–586– 7228).

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Abstract

Participants in the groundfish fishery in the Alaska Region are required to report certain information to the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). The information obtained is used for the monitoring and management of the groundfish fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone off Alaska for purposes of conservation of the fisheries as well as for the enforcement of fisheries regulations.

### **II. Method of Collection**

Forms are used for all requirements: Catch and effort logbooks, production logbooks and reports, product transfer reports, vessel activity reports, and check-in/check-out reports. Copies of some reports must be sent via facsimile to NMFS.

# III. Data

OMB Number: 0648–0213.

*Form Number:* None. *Type of Review:* Regular Submission.

*Affected Public:* Individuals, Business and other for-profit (commercial fishermen, fish processors).

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 1,336.

Estimated Time Per Response: 23 minutes for buying station daily cumulative logbook, 30 minutes for catcher/processor daily cumulative production logbook, 31 minutes for mothership daily cumulative production logbook, 24 minutes for shoreside processor daily cumulative production logbook, 18 minutes for daily fishing logbook, 17 minutes for weekly production report, 11 minutes for daily production report, 11 minutes for product transfer report, 7 minutes for catcher/processor or mothership checkin/out, 5 minutes for buying station check-in/out, 8 minutes for shoreside processor check-in/out, 14 minutes for U.S. vessel activity report, and 35 minutes for submission of state fish tickets.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 46,659 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:* \$0—no capital, operations, or maintenance costs are expected.

# **IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the