Non-Discriminatory Transmission Services by Public Utilities). In furtherance of this policy, DOE intends to condition any Presidential permit issued in this proceeding on compliance with these open access principles.

Procedural Matters

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this application should file a petition to intervene or protest at the address provided above in accordance with section 385.211 or 385.214 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214).

Fifteen copies of such petitions and protests should be filed with the DOE on or before the date listed above. Additional copies of such petitions to intervene or protest also should be filed directly with: Mr. Joseph H. Rowley, Assistant Manager, Power Department, Imperial Irrigation District, P.O. Box 937, Imperial, CA 922512.

Before a Presidential permit may be issued or amended, the DOE must determine that the proposed action will not adversely impact on the reliability of the U.S. electric power supply system and also consider the environmental impacts of the proposed action pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. DOE also must obtain the concurrence of the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense before taking final action on a Presidential permit application.

Copies of this application will be made available, upon request, for public inspection and copying at the address provided above.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 30, 1998.

Anthony J. Como,

Manager, Electric Power Regulation, Office of Coal & Power Im/Ex, Office of Fossil Energy.

[FR Doc. 98–8759 Filed 4–2–98; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. SA98-75-000]

George Grenyo; Notice Rescinding Errata Notice and Issuing Notice of Petition for Adjustment

March 30, 1998.

Take notice that the March 26, 1998 Errata Notice previously issued in this proceeding with respect to the petition for adjustment filed by George Grenyo, in Docket No. SA98–75–000, is hereby withdrawn.

Also take notice that on March 16, 1998, George Grenyo (Grenyo) filed a petition for adjustment, pursuant to Section 502(c) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 [15 U.S.C. 3142(c) (1982)], requesting to be relieved of his obligation to pay Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG) the Kansas ad valorem tax refunds for the royalty interests attributable to Grenyo's working interest in the Beach 2–33 and McGraw Leases, otherwise required by the Commission's September 10, 1997 order in Docket No. RP97-369-000 et al,1 on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals.2 Grenyo's petition is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Grenyo's petition indicates that he has already paid CIG \$6,879.63, and that this sum includes unspecified amounts attributable to royalty interests in the Beach 2–33 and McGraw Leases.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before 15 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of this notice, file with the Federal energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214, 385.211, 385.1105, and 385.1106). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.
[FR Doc. 98–8713 Filed 4–2–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. SA98-40-001]

Hummon Corporation; Notice of Amendment to Petition for Adjustment and Request for Extension of Time

March 30, 1998.

Take notice that, on March 13, 1998. Hummon Corporation (Hummon) filed a supplement, in Docket No. SA98-40-001, amending its March 9, 1998 petition (in Docket No. SA98-40-000) for an adjustment the Commission's refund procedures (to defer payment of principal and interest for one year), an adjustment to its procedures to stop the accruing of interest, and a 90-day extension of time to make refunds to Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern). Hummon's March 9 petition was filed on behalf of Hummon and the working interest owners (First Sellers1) for whom Hummon operated. Hummon's March 13 amendment adds two First Sellers to the list of working interest owners covered by Hummon's March 9 petition—Bernard J. Alberts and Elinor B. Amstutz-and deletes three First Sellers—Bernard J. Amstutz, Seymour Roth, and Alan Sturm—from that list. The March 13 amendment also revises the amount reported to be in dispute with Northern. Hummon's March 9 petition and March 13 amendment to the March 9 petition are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Hummon's March 9 petition was filed in response to the Commission's September 10, 1997, order in Docket No. RP97–369–000 *et al*,² on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals,³ which directed first sellers to make Kansas ad valorem tax refunds, with interest, for the period from 1983 to 1988. Hummon's March 9 petition stated that Northern had reduced the

 $^{^1}$ See 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997); order denying reh'g issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,058 (1998).

² Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC, 91 F.3d 1478 (D.C. 1996), cert. denied, Nos. 96–954 and 96–1230 (65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754, May 12, 1997) (Public Service).

¹The First Seller, working interest owners originally covered by Hummon's March 9 petition for adjustment included: A.L. Abercrombie, Bernard J. Amstutz, Wilber D. Berg, George C. Berryman, Ralph L. Bradley, Donald M. Brod, Robert A. Clark, E.A. Cook III, Jamie Coulter, Lowell D. Denniston, George C. Hill, Byron E. Hummon, Jr., John L. James, Willard J. Kiser, Enterprises, Jack W. Kowalski, James G. Neuner, Pat Petroleum Company, R.L. Robertson, Seymour Roth, Melva Stockstill, Dwight D. Sutherland, Jr., Dwight D. Sutherland, Sr., Arthur Vara, Kenneth S. White, Wanda L. Yinger, Trustee, and Alan Sturm.

² See 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997); order denying reh'g issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,058 (1998).

³ Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC, 91 F. 3d 1478 (D.C. Cir. 1996), cert. denied, 65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754 (May 12, 1997) (Nos. 96– 954 and 96–1230).

amount of its total refund claim, from \$137,703.66 as set forth in Northern's Statement of Refunds Due filed in Docket No. RP98–39–000, to \$86,105.54, including interest through March 9, 1998. Hummon's March 13 amendment indicates that \$32,764.60 of the \$86,105.54 revised total refund due has been refunded to Northern, and that \$35,340.58 has been placed into escrow.

Any person desiring to answer Hummon's March 13 amendment should file such answer with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, on or before April 20, 1998, in accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.213, 385.215, 385.1101, and 385.1106).

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–8711 Filed 4–2–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. SA98-45-001 and SA98-45-002]

Molz Oil Company; Notice of Amendment To Petition for Adjustment and Request for Extension of Time

March 30, 1998.

Take notice that, on March 13, 1998 (Docket No. SA98-45-001) and March 20, 1998 (Docket No. SA98-45-002), Molz Oil Company (Molz) filed supplements amending its March 9, 1998 petition for adjustment and request for a 90-day extension of time to resolve a dispute with Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc., formerly: Williams Natural Gas Company (Williams), over the amount of Kansas ad valorem tax refunds owed by Molz's First Sellers, filed in Docket No. SA98-45-000. The supplements add three First Sellers-Dean Courson, Bob Watts, and Mollie Watts—to the list of First Sellers represented by Molz's March 9 petition and revise the amount reported to be in dispute with Williams. The March 9 petition and March 13 and March 20 supplements amending the March 9 petition are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Molz filed the March 9 petition pursuant to Section 502(c) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA), on behalf of Molz and First Sellers Donald Albers, Darry Brown, Rick Caruthers, Judy Courson, Donald E. Evans, Helen Evans, K. B. Evans, Martha Evans, Beverly Molz, Jim Molz, Ben Rathgeber, Bob and Lometa Rathgeber, Lamoine Schrock, R. K. Sweetman and Westmore Drilling Co. i.e., the working interest owners for whom Molz operated.

Molz filed the March 9 petition in response to the Commission's September 10, 1997, order in Docket No. RP97-369-000 et al.1 on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals,2 which directed first sellers to make Kansas ad valorem tax refunds, with interest, for the period from 1983 to 1988. Molz requests the Commission: (1) to grant a procedural adjustment, allowing Molz and the listed First Sellers (as amended) to place into an escrow account the disputed amount of the refund set forth in the Statement of Refunds Due that Williams filed in Docket No. RP98-52-000; (2) to allow Molz (following resolution of the dispute) to retain in that account (a) the principal and interest on amounts attributable to production prior to October 4, 1983, and (b) the interest on all reimbursed principal determined to be refundable as being in excess of maximum lawful prices, excluding interest retained under (a) above; and (3) to find that Molz is not a working interest owner or First Seller of the production with respect to which the tax reimbursements were made, such that Molz has no refund liability under the Statement of Refunds Due filed by Williams in Docket No. RP98-52-000.

Molz's March 9 petition stated that Williams' Statement of Refunds Due was in the amount of \$93,447.06, including interest accrued through December 31, 1997, of which \$35,727.19 was in dispute. Molz's March 13 supplement amended the disputed amount, increasing it to \$81,337.12, including interest accrued through March 9, 1998. Molz's March 20 supplement amended the disputed amount again, increasing it to \$86,222.68, including interest accrued through March 9, 1998. Molz identifies Ronald and Kristi Molz and Marvin Miller as working interest owners in its March 13 and March 20 supplements (although they are not listed as First Sellers). Molz further states in both supplements that, because of financial hardship, Ronald and Kristi Molz and Marvin Miller have deposited only the principal amount attributable to their

respective working interest shares of the refund claimed by Williams, and that the claimed interest for Ronald and Kristi Molz that has not been deposited totals \$2,963.19, while the claimed interest for Marvin Miller that has not been deposited totals \$117.95.

Any person desiring to answer Molz's March 13 and March 20 amendments should file such answer with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, on or before April 20, 1998, in accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.213, 385.215, 385.1101, and 385.1106).

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–8712 Filed 4–2–98; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER98-2233-000]

New England Power Company; Notice of Filing

March 30, 1998.

Take notice that on March 18, 1998, New England Power Company (NEP), filed an amendment to its FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1 (Tariff 1). The amendment modifies the Tariff 1 term provision to allow a customer to terminate service without having to provide the advance written notice otherwise required under Tariff 1 and the customer's service agreement, provided that the customer pays a contract termination charge. NEP proposes an effective date of March 31, 1998, for the amendment.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before April 7, 1998. Protests will be considered by the Commission to determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the

¹ See 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997); order denying reh'g issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,058 (1998).

² Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC, 91 F. 3d 1478 (D.C. Cir. 1996), cert. denied, 65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754 (May 12, 1997) (Nos. 96–954 and 96–1230).