CFR part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Brooks Memorial Library, 224 Main Street, Brattleboro, VT 05301. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the

petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Mr. David R. Lewis, Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge, 2300 N Street, NW., Washington, DC 20037-1128, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)–(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated March 20, 1998, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Brooks Memorial Library, 224 Main Street, Brattleboro, VT 05301.

Dated at Rockville, MD, this 23rd day of March 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Richard P. Croteau**,

Project Manager, Project Directorate I-3, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 98–8028 Filed 3–26–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards Subcommittee Meeting on Reliability and Probabilistic Risk Assessment; Notice of Meeting

The ACRS Subcommittee on Reliability and Probabilistic Risk Assessment will hold a meeting on April 16, 1998, Room T–2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Thursday, April 16, 1998—8:30 a.m. Until the Conclusion of Business

The Subcommittee will continue its review of matters related to elevation of core damage frequency to a fundamental goal and possible revision to the Commission's Safety Goal Policy Statement. The purpose of this meeting is to gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and to formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the full Committee.

Oral statements may be presented by members of the public with the concurrence of the Subcommittee Chairman; written statements will be accepted and made available to the Committee. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Subcommittee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the cognizant ACRS staff engineer named below five days prior to the

meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

During the initial portion of the meeting, the Subcommittee, along with any of its consultants who may be present, may exchange preliminary views regarding matters to be considered during the balance of the meeting.

The Subcommittee will then hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff, its consultants, and other interested persons regarding this review.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by contacting the cognizant ACRS staff engineer, Mr. Michael T. Markley (telephone 301/415-6885) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EST). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual one or two working days prior to the meeting to be advised of any potential changes to the agenda, etc., that may have occurred.

Dated: March 23, 1998.

Medhat M. El-Zeftawy,

Acting Chief, Nuclear Reactors Branch. [FR Doc. 98–8026 Filed 3–26–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of the Census

Procedures for Reconciling and Appealing Address List Information for the 2000 Census

AGENCY: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, and Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce. ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of their implementation of the Census Address List Improvement Act of 1994, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and the Bureau of the Census (Bureau) request public comment on proposed processes for developing the address information that will be used in conducting the 2000 Census. The Bureau is proposing a Reconciliation process that would seek to resolve disagreements between the Bureau and participating local or tribal governments, or their designated representatives, regarding specific

addresses or groups of addresses. For any disagreements that are not resolved, OMB is proposing an Appeal process that would be available to local and tribal governments, or their designated representatives, that wish to appeal the decisions made by the Bureau of the Census with respect to their suggestions for the Census 2000 address list.

In conducting the Census 2000 enumeration the Bureau will include all addresses added to or corrected in the census address list as a result of the Reconciliation and/or Appeal processes. using the same procedures used for all other addresses on the list. Inclusion of an address on the list does not mean that a housing unit or its inhabitants are actually at the address, or that the address will be included in the final Census 2000 data summaries. The census-taking process will determine the inclusion status of the addresswhether or not it is actually a housing unit—and the final population and housing unit status for each address. DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 26, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments: Please send comments concerning these proposed procedures to: Katherine K. Wallman, Chief Statistician, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, NEOB, Room 10201, 725 17th Street NW, Washington DC 20503; fax: (202) 395–7245.

Electronic availability and addresses: This Federal Register Notice is available electronically from the OMB Homepage on the World Wide Web: <<http://www.whitehouse.gov/WH/EOP/OMB/html/fedreg.html>>. Federal Register notices also are available electronically from the U.S. Government Printing Office web site: <<http://www.access.gpo.gov/su_docs/aces/aces140.html>> Questions about accessing the Federal Register online via GPO Access may be directed to telephone (202) 512–1530 or toll free at (888) 293–6498; to fax (202) 512–1262; or to email << pre>groaccess@groagogos>

or to email <<gpoaccess@gpo.gov>> This notice is available in paper copy from the OMB Publications Office, NEOB, Room 2200, 725 17th Street NW, Washington DC 20503; telephone (202) 395–7332; fax (202) 395–6137.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Kirkendall, Office of Management and Budget, NEOB, Room 10201, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503; telephone: (202) 395–7313; fax (202) 395–7245.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Census Address List Improvement Act of 1994

The Census Address List Improvement Act of 1994 (P.L. 103–430)

changed the Bureau's decennial census address list development procedures to improve the accuracy of, and resolve disagreements concerning, address information. In addition to requiring that the United States Postal Service provide address information to the Bureau (Section 4), the Act also increased the role of local and tribal governments in the development of Bureau address information. Section 2 of the Act requires the Bureau to develop a process under which it would receive, review, and respond to recommendations by these governments regarding address information. To resolve any disagreements that may remain after this process, Section 3 of the Act requires the Administrator of OMB's Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA), acting through the Chief Statistician and in consultation with the Bureau, to develop an appeal process through which local and tribal governments, or their designated representatives, may administratively appeal the decisions by the Bureau under 13 U.S.C. 16.

The Bureau's LUCA Process

The Bureau is attempting to develop the most accurate and comprehensive address information practicable for all jurisdictions in the country in cooperation with the United States Postal Service and local and tribal governments, as required by the Census Address List Improvement Act of 1994. The Bureau's address list partnerships with these governments will be undertaken via the program entitled the "Local Update of Census Addresses" (LUCA), during which the Bureau will provide portions of its census address list to participating local and tribal governments for their review. For those areas that do not have city-style addresses (i.e., rural route, post office box number, or general delivery addresses), the Bureau will provide the most recent address and location information available from field activities.

Jurisdictions that participate in the LUCA program may respond with address change suggestions including corrections, additions, deletions, and address location information. The Bureau issued its standards for addresses in the **Federal Register**, 60 FR 58326, November 27, 1995. These standards described the components of acceptable city-style addresses, including apartment numbers for each