into an escrow account: (i) Amounts attributable to royalty refunds which have not been collected from the royalty owner (principal and interest), (ii) interest on royalty amounts which have been recovered from the royalty owners (the principal of which was refunded); and (iii) interest on the total amount of refunds allegedly due (excluding royalties, disputed amounts, and pre-October 3rd production).

In support of its request Hoffmann states that it is not seeking to relieve itself of its refund obligation, rather it merely seeks to establish procedures which ensure that it pays only that which is legitimately owed and that if it is subsequently determined that its refund liability was less than that claimed by Panhandle, it can recover the overpayment.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before 15 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of this notice, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214, 385.211, 385.1105, and 385.1106). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-7845 Filed 3-25-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. SA98-27-000]

Kaiser-Francis Oil Company; Notice of **Petition for Adjustment**

March 20, 1998.

Take notice that on March 9, 1998, Kaiser-Francis Oil Company (Kaiser-Francis) filed a petition for adjustment, pursuant to section 502(C) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 [15 U.S.C. 3142(c) (1982)], requesting that the Commission issue an order determining that the Kansas ad valorem tax refunds required by the

Commission's September 10, 1997 order (in Docket No. RP97-369-000 et al 1 on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals,² are barred by operation of law. The subject refunds have been sought by Colorado Interstate Company (CIG), in response to the Commission's September 10 order. Kaiser-Francis's petition is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Kaiser-Francis requests that the commission (1) grant an adjustment to its procedures to allow Kaiser-Francis to deter payment of principal and interest attributable to royalties for one year until March 9, 1999; and (2) grant an adjustment to the Commission's procedures to allow Kaiser-Francis to place into an escrow account in a federally-insured financial institution: (i) amounts attributable to royalty refunds which have not been collected from the royalty owner (principal and interest), (ii) interest on royalty amounts which have been recovered from the royalty owners (the principal of which was refunded); and (iii) interest on the total amount of refunds allegedly due (excluding royalties).

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before 15 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of this notice, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426 a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214, 385.211, 385.1105, and 385.1106). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-7848 Filed 3-25-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. SA98-29-000]

Kaiser-Francis Oil Company; Notice of **Petition for Adjustment**

March 20, 1998.

Take notice that on March 9, 1998, Kaiser-Francis Oil Company (Kaiser) filed a petition for adjustment under section 502(c) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA),1 requesting that the Commission: (1) Grant an adjustment to its procedures to allow Kaiser to defer payment of principal and interest attributable to royalties for one-year until March 9, 1999; and (2) Grant a procedural adjustment to allow Kaiser to place into an escrow account: (i) amounts attributable to royalty refunds which have not been collected from the royalty owner (principal and interest); (ii) interest on royalty amounts which have been recovered from the royalty owners (the principal of which was refunded); and (iii) interest on the total amount of refunds allegedly due (excluding royalties). The March 9, 1998, deadline was established for first sellers to remit refunds of Kansas ad valorem taxes to their pipeline purchasers, as required by the Commission's September 10, 1997 order in Docket Nos. GP97-3-000, GP97-4-000, GP97-5-000, and RP97-369-000.2 Kaiser's petition is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The Commission's September 10 order on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals ³ directed first sellers under the NGPA to make Kansas ad valorem tax refunds, with interest, for the period from 1983 to 1988. The Commission issued a January 28, 1998 order in Docket No. RP98-39-001, et al. (January 28, Order),4 clarifying the refund procedures, stating that producers could request additional time to establish the uncollectability of royalty refunds, and that first seller may file requests for NGPA section 502(c) adjustment relief from the refund requirements and the timing and procedures for implementing the refunds, based on the individual

¹ See 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997); order denying reh'g issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC ¶61,058

² Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC, 91 F.3d 1478 (D.C. 1996), cert. denied, Nos. 96-954 and 96-1230 (65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754, May 12, 1997) (Public Service).

^{1 15} U.S.C. 3142(c) (1982).

² See 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997); order denying reh'g issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,058

³ Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC, 91 F.3d 1478 (D.C. 1996), cert. denied, Nos. 96-954 and 96-1230 (65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754, May 12,

⁴⁸² FERC ¶ 61,059 (1998)

circumstances applicable to each first seller.

Kaiser states it is substantially and adversely affected by the potential Kansas ad valorem tax refund requirement. Kaiser is not seeking to relieve itself of that refund obligation. Rather Kaiser seeks to establish procedures which ensure: (a) That it pays only that which is legitimately owned; and (b) that if it is subsequently determined that its refund liability was less than that originally claimed by Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle) in Docket No. RP98-40-000, it can recover the overpayment. Accordingly, Kaiser requests an adjustment to the general refund procedures to permit it to pay the following amount into an escrow account: (a) the principal and interest on the uncollected royalties; and (b) interest on amounts not disputed herein other than amounts listed in (a) above.

Kaiser states that with respect to the royalty amounts of the alleged refunds due, Kaiser has been working diligently to determine its potential refund liability and to obtain contribution from its royalty owners. However, Kaiser has not been able either to obtain reimbursement or confirm the uncollectibility of the vase majority of its royalty amounts for which refunds are due. Rather than deferring royalty refunds, Kaiser would prefer to pay the amount of the refunds which its believes may be uncollectible into an escrow account. Accordingly, Kaiser intends to place the amount of \$33,830.61 (reflecting all royalties and related interest) into its escrow account and hereby requests all necessary approval to do so. Kaiser requests a oneyear extension of the refund due date for the purpose of allowing it to try to collect the royalty refunds. In addition, Kaiser seeks authorization to place the following amounts into its escrow account: (a) the interest on the royalty refunds, the principal of which is paid to Panhandle; and (b) the interest on refunds due (other than royalties), in the amount of \$64,627.10. Kaiser intends to place these amounts in its escrow account on March 9, 1998, and requests appropriate adjustment relief to authorize that plan.

Kaiser states that although there are issues relating to portions of the principal refunds which are pending before the Court,⁵ to demonstrate its good faith in these proceedings Kaiser has paid the principal amount of

refunds attributable to Kaiser's working interest in the amount of \$39,912.22 to Panhandle. Should the Commission provide assurances that kaiser will be able to recover any overpayments without having to initiate a prompt return of refund amounts determined not to be due (such return of refunds not dependent upon recovery from consumers), Kaiser would agreed to waive this request for escrowing certain monies. Without such assurances, Kaiser is entitled to have its property protested until the issue of liability has been fully resolved in Courts or Congress.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before 15 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of this notice, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214, 385.211, 385.1105, and 385.1106). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–7849 Filed 3–25–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. SA98-53-000]

Kansas Petroleum, Inc.; Notice of Petition for Adjustment

March 20, 1998.

Take notice that on March 9, 1998, Kansas Petroleum, Inc. (Kansas Petroleum), care of 200 West Douglas— Fourth Floor, Wichita, Kansas 67202– 3084, filed a petition for adjustment under section 502(c) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA),¹ requesting, on behalf of first sellers (First Sellers ² for whom it operated, that the Commission grant them relief from any further refund liability not heretofore paid for the Kansas ad valorem tax reimbursements set forth in the Statement of Refunds Due (SRD) ³ submitted to Kansas Petroleum by Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), all as more fully set forth in the petition which is open to the public for inspection.

Kansas Petroleum also requests that the Commission, pending resolution of this proceeding, permit Kansas Petroleum to place in an escrow account the amount of interest on the refund liability as calculated.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before 15 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of this notice, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214, 385.211, 385.1105, and 385.1106). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–7851 Filed 3–25–98; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-262-000]

Kern River Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

March 20, 1998.

Take notice that on March 4, 1998, Kern River Transmission Company (Kern River), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108, filed a request with the Commission in Docket No. CP98–262–000, pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the

⁵ See, Case No. 98–60043, United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in Anadarko Petroleum Corp. v. FERC, and Union Pacific Resources Company v. FERC.

^{1 15} U.S.C. 3142(c) (1982).

² First Sellers are identified as: E.N. Diderich Trust, Howard M. Gillespie Living Trust, Gail P. Popovich, James E. Rhude, James Tasheff, Arthur O. Wilkonson, and Lester Wilkonson Trust.

³ Kansas Petroleum states that Northern's SRD claims \$84,976.18 for the principal and \$156,844.71 in interest accrued through March 9, 1998, for a total of \$241,820.89.