and widespread use of advanced telecommunications, NTIA will collect information to study the impacts of funded projects and the performance of the TIIAP program.

Affected Public: Not-for-profit institutions, federal government, state, local or tribal government.

Frequency: Ōn occasion.

OMB Desk Officer: Tim Fain, (202)
395–3561.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Linda Engelmeier, DOC Forms Clearance Officer, (202) 482–3272, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Tim Fain, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, 725 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20503.

Dated: March 16, 1998.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 98–7101 Filed 3–18–98: 8:45 am] BILLING CODE: 3510–60–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of the Census [Docket No. 980310058-8058-1]

Advertising, Marketing, and Partnership Efforts Evaluation

AGENCY: Bureau of the Census, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of new survey.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Title 13, United States Code, Sections 141 and 193, the Bureau of the Census will conduct a new survey called the Advertising, Marketing, and Partnership Efforts (AMPE) Evaluation. This survey was submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) on February 5, 1998 for review on an emergency basis. Approval was granted on February 12, 1998. The survey was given OMB Control Number 0607-0852. The emergency circumstances surrounding this survey prevented us from making prior notice in the Federal Register and from allowing public comment on the proposed survey prior to its submission to OMB. This notice describes the AMPE Evaluation, as approved by OMB.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the data collection instrument and the request that was

submitted to OMB for clearance of the survey may be obtained from Solomona Aoelua, Census 2000 Publicity Office, Census Bureau, Washington, DC 20233–0001. When requesting information on this survey, please refer to the AMPE Evaluation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Solomona Aoelua, (301) 457–2988.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Census Bureau has contracted with an advertising company to provide a national advertising and awareness campaign for Census 2000. This campaign will be implemented on a test basis for the Census 2000 Dress Rehearsal, which will take place this year in the following locations: Sacramento, California; Columbia, South Carolina and the surrounding eleven counties; and the Menominee Indian Reservation and the surrounding areas of Menominee County, Wisconsin.

The AMPE Evaluation will measure the public's knowledge and awareness of the census before the start and after the completion of the paid advertising campaign. Our purpose is to learn about what factors affect the likelihood of people responding by mail in the Census 2000 Dress Rehearsal, barriers to participation, knowledge and awareness of the dress rehearsal, and sources of knowledge and the relative effectiveness of the various promotional activities. This knowledge will assist the Census Bureau in improving its plans for Census 2000.

The Census Bureau has hired a contractor to conduct telephone interviews with a sample of residents in two of the three Census 2000 Dress Rehearsal sites before and after the advertising campaign. Due to the small population of Menominee County, this site will be excluded from the evaluation. Respondents will be selected at random through a process called random digit dialing. Postadvertising interviews will be conducted using computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI). Due to the short time frame for developing the evaluation instruments, CATI will not be used in the preadvertising interviews.

Census Day for the Census 2000 Dress Rehearsal is April 18, 1998. The paid advertising began on March 1, 1998. To ensure that we obtained a sufficient number of interviews for the preadvertising period, we began our field data collection on February 13, 1998 immediately following OMB approval and concluded by the end of February. We completed approximately 2,000 interviews (1,000 per site) in the

Sacramento, California and Columbia, South Carolina sites.

For the postadvertising period, we plan to complete approximately 3,000 interviews (1,500 per site) from mid-April to early June 1998. We estimate that it will take approximately 15 minutes to complete each interview. The total estimated burden to be imposed is 1,250 hours.

Due to the recent continuing resolutions, we were unable to fully define and finalize our marketing plans for the Census 2000 Dress Rehearsal until late January 1998. The evaluation mechanism could not be developed until the advertising program was finalized. This circumstance prevented us from following normal clearance processes and necessitated an emergency clearance.

Conclusion

The AMPE Evaluation is critical to the Census Bureau's mission, especially in regard to the objectives of Census 2000. The Census 2000 Dress Rehearsal is the Bureau's last major opportunity to test its advertising, marketing, and partnership plans. I, therefore, conclude that this important evaluation should be conducted.

Dated: March 11, 1998.

James F. Holmes,

Acting Director, Bureau of the Census.
[FR Doc. 98–7073 Filed 3–18–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-822, A-122-823]

Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products and Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate: Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limits for preliminary results of antidumping administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATES: March 19, 1998.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Lesley Stagliano or Maureen Flannery,
AD/CVD Enforcement, Import
Administration, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution
Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230;
telephone: (202) 482–0648 or (202) 482–

3020, respectively.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

Background

The Department of Commerce (the Department) received a request from petitioners and respondents to conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products and cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Canada. On September 25, 1997 (62 FR 50292), the Department published its initiation of this administrative review covering the period August 1, 1996 through July 31, 1997.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Because of the complexity of certain issues of this case, it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. See Memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini to Robert S. LaRussa, Extension of Time Limit for the Administrative Review of Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products and Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Canada, dated February 12, 1998.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time limits for the preliminary results sixty days to July 3, 1998. The final determination continues to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: February 12, 1998.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement III.

[FR Doc. 98–7164 Filed 3–18–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-054, A-588-604]

Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From Japan, and Tapered Roller Bearings, Four Inches or Less in Outside Diameter, and Components Thereof, From Japan; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice of amended final results of administrative reviews.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is amending its final results of the administrative reviews, published on January 15, 1998, of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings (TRBs) and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from Japan (A–588–604), and the antidumping finding on TRBs, four inches or less in outside diameter, and components thereof, from Japan (A–588–054), to reflect the correction of ministerial errors in those final results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 19, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charles Ranado, Stephanie Arthur, or John Kugelman, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement III, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–3518, 6312, and 0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are in reference to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations are to the Department's regulations, 19 CFR part 353 (1997).

Background

On January 15, 1998, the Department published its final results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order (A–588–604) on TRBs and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from Japan, and the antidumping finding (A–588–054) on TRBs, four inches or less in outside diameter, and components thereof, from Japan (63 FR 2558). The Department has now amended the final results of these reviews in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

On January 15, 1998, the petitioner filed clerical error allegations with respect to two of the respondents, NSK and NTN. On January 21, 1998, we received clerical error allegations from NSK, and on January 26, 1998, we received clerical error comments from NTN. None of the parties submitted rebuttal comments. The Department agreed that certain of the allegations constituted ministerial errors.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the A-588-054 finding are sales or entries of TRBs, four inches or less in outside diameter when assembled, including inner race or cone assemblies and outer races or cups, sold either as a unit or separately. This merchandise is classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item numbers 8482.20.00 and 8482.99.30. Imports covered by the A-588-604 order include TRBs and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, which are flange, take-up cartridge, and hanger units incorporating TRBs, and tapered roller housings (except pillow blocks) incorporating tapered rollers, with or without spindles, whether or not for automotive use. Products subject to the A-588-054 finding are not included within the scope of the A-588-604 order, except for those manufactured by NTN Corporation (NTN). This merchandise is currently classifiable under HTS item numbers 8482.99.30, 8483.20.40, 8482.20.20, 8483.20.80, 8482.91.00, 8484.30.80, 8483.90.20, 8483.90.30, and 8483.90.60. These HTS item numbers and those for the A-588-054 finding are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

The A-588-054 review covers TRB sales by two TRB manufacturers/ exporters (Koyo Seiko Ltd. (Koyo) and NSK Ltd. (NSK)), and two resellers/ exporters (Fuji Heavy Industries (Fuji) and MC International (MC)). The review of the A-588-604 case covers TRB sales by three manufacturers/exporters (Koyo, NSK and NTN Corporation (NTN)), and two resellers/exporters (Fuji and MC). Because Fuji and MC had no shipments in the A-588-604 review, and for the reasons explained in our notice of preliminary results, we have not assigned a rate to these firms for these amended final results. The period of review (POR) for both cases is October 1, 1995, through September 30, 1996.

Clerical Error Allegations

Comment 1: NTN asserts that the Department erroneously attempted to correct the currency conversion error related to the calculation of CEP profit which is mentioned in the final results memorandum. The respondent claims that as the program is currently written, EP sales are divided by the exchange rate, which is incorrect since EP sales are already reported correctly. The respondent maintains that this error has distortive effects on the calculation of the total cost of goods sold and total revenue.