heraldic items, when authorized by local procurement procedures, may forward a sample insignia to TIOH for quality assurance inspection if the commander feels the quality does not meet standards.

### § 507.18 Processing complaints of alleged breach of policies.

The Institute of Heraldry may revoke or suspend the certificate of authority to manufacture if there are breaches of quality control policies by the manufacturer. As used in this paragraph, the term quality control policies includes the obligation of a manufacturer under his or her "Agreement to Manufacture," the quality control provisions of this part, and other applicable instructions provided by TIOH.

(a) *Initial processing*. (1) Complaints and reports of an alleged breach of quality control policies will be forwarded to the Director, The Institute of Heraldry, 9325 Gunston Road, Room S–112, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060–5579 (hereinafter referred to as Director).

(2) The Director may direct that an informal investigation of the complaint

or report be conducted.

- (3) If such investigation is initiated, it will be the duty of the investigator to ascertain the facts in an impartial manner. Upon conclusion of the investigation, the investigator will submit a report to the appointing authority containing a summarized record of the investigation together with such findings and recommendations as may be appropriate and warranted by the facts.
- (4) The report of investigation will be forwarded to the Director for review. If it is determined that a possible breach of quality control policies has occurred, the Director will follow the procedures outlined in paragraphs (b) through (g) of this section.
- (b) Voluntary performance. The Director will transmit a registered letter to the manufacturer advising of the detailed allegations of breach and requesting assurances of voluntary compliance with quality control policies. No further action is taken if the manufacturer voluntarily complies with the quality control policies; however, any further reoccurrence of the same breach will be considered refusal to perform.
- (c) Refusal to perform. (1) If the manufacturer fails to reply within a reasonable time to the letter authorized by paragraph (b) of this section, or refuse to give adequate assurances that future performance will conform to quality control policies, or indicates by subsequent conduct that the breach is

continuous or repetitive, or disputes the allegations of breach, the Director will direct that a public hearing be conducted on the allegations.

(2) A hearing examiner will be appointed by appropriate orders. The examiner may be either a commissioned offer or a civilian employee above the grade of GS-7.

(3) The specific written allegations, together with other pertinent material, will be transmitted to the hearing examiner for introduction as evidence at

the hearing.

- (4) Manufacturers may be suspended for failure to return a loaned tool without referral to hearing specified in paragraph (c)(1) of this section; however, the manufacturer will be advised, in writing, that tools are overdue and suspension will take effect if not returned within the specified time.
- (d) Notification to the manufacturer by examiner. Within a 7-day period following receipt by the examiner of the allegations and other pertinent material, the examiner will transmit a registered letter of notification to the manufacturer informing him or her of the following:

(1) Specific allegations.

- (2) Directive of the Director requiring the holding of a public hearing on the allegations.
- (3) Examiner's decision to hold the public hearing at a specific time, date, and place that will be not earlier than 30 days from the date of the letter of notification.
- (4) Ultimate authority of the Director to suspend to revoke the certificate of authority should the record developed at the hearing so warrant.

(5) Right to-

- (i) A full and fair public hearing.
- (ii) Be represented by counsel at the hearing.
- (iii) Request a change in the date, time, or place of the hearing for purposes of having reasonable time in which to prepare the case.

(iv) Submit evidence and present witnesses in his or her own behalf.

- (v) Obtain, upon written request filed before the commencement of the hearing, at no cost, a verbatim transcript of the proceedings.
- (e) Public hearing by examiner. (1) At the time, date, and place designated in accordance with paragraph (d)(3) of this section, the examiner will conduct the public hearing.
- (i) A verbatim record of the proceeding will be maintained.
- (ii) All previous material received by the examiner will be introduced into evidence and made part of the record.
- (iii) The Government may be represented by counsel at the hearing.

- (2) Subsequent to the conclusion of the hearing, the examiner will make specific findings on the record before him or her concerning each allegation.
- (3) The complete record of the case will be forwarded to the Director.
- (f) Action by the Director. (1) The Director will review the record of the hearing and either approve or disapprove the findings.

(2) Upon arrival of a finding of breach of quality control policies, the manufacturer will be so advised.

- (3) After review of the findings, the certificate of authority may be revoked or suspended. If the certificate of authority is revoked or suspended, the Director will—
- (i) Notify the manufacturer of the revocation or suspension.
- (ii) Remove the manufacturer from the list of certified manufacturers.
- (iii) Inform the Army and Air Force Exchange Service of the action.
- (g) Reinstatement of certificate of authority: The Director may, upon receipt of adequate assurance that the manufacturer will comply with quality control policies, reinstate a certificate of authority that has been suspended or revoked.

#### Thomas B. Proffitt,

Director.

[FR Doc. 98–6201 Filed 3–10–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 011-0063b; FRL-5966-9]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, San Diego County Air Pollution Control District, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) that concern ten administrative and traditional source category rules.

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to regulate emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), oxides of nitrogen (NO $_{\rm X}$ ) and other pollutants in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the

EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by April 10, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office [AIR-4], Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the rule revisions are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations: Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

San Diego County Air Pollution Control District, 9150 Chesapeake Drive, San Diego, CA 92123–1096

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cynthia G. Allen, Rulemaking Office [AIR-4], Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, Telephone: (415) 744–1189.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns San Diego County Air Pollution Control District Rule 10, Permits Required; Rule 17, Cancellation of Applications; Rule 19, Provision of Sampling and Testing Facilities; Rule 21, Permit Conditions; Rule 61.7, Spillage and Leakage of VOC; Rule 61.8, Certification of Requirements For Vapor Control Equipment; Rule 101, Definitions (Open Burning); Rule 102, Open Fires, Western Section; Rule 103,

Open Fires Eastern Section; and Rule 108, Burning Conditions. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.* Dated: February 2, 1998.

#### Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 98–5851 Filed 3–10–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[TX 62-1-7271b; FRL-5971-8]

### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan for Texas: General Conformity Rules

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action proposes to approve a revision to the Texas State Implementation Plan (SIP) for the State of Texas that contains general conformity rules. Specifically, the general conformity rules, if approved, will enable the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission (TNRCC) to review conformity of all Federal actions (see 40 CFR part 51, subpart W-**Determining Conformity of General** Federal Actions to State or Federal Implementation Plans) with the control strategy SIPs submitted for the nonattainment and maintenance areas within the State. This proposed action would streamline the conformity process and allow direct consultation among agencies at the local levels. The Federal actions by the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration (under 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act) are covered by the transportation conformity rules under 40 CFR part 51, subpart T—Conformity to State or Federal Implementation Plans of Transportation Plans, Programs, and Projects Developed, Funded or Approved Under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act. The EPA approved the Texas transportation conformity SIP on November 8, 1995 (60 FR 56244).

The EPA is proposing to approve this SIP revision under sections 110(k) and 176 of the Clean Air Act (the Act). The rationale for the proposed approval and other information are provided in the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving

this General Conformity SIP revision as a direct final rulemaking without prior proposal because the EPA views this action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in providing comments on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing, postmarked by April 10, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Mr. Thomas H. Diggs, Chief, Air Planning Section, at the EPA Region 6 address listed. Copies of the Texas General Conformity SIP and other relevant information are available for inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. Interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day.

Air Planning Section (6PDL), Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202, Telephone: (214) 665–7214.

Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, Office of Air Quality, 12124 Park 35 Circle, Austin, Texas 78753, Telephone: (512) 239–0800.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. J. Behnam, P. E.; Air Planning Section (6PDL), Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202, Telephone (214) 665–7247.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.