

Wilson County

Hale House—Patterson Hotel, 116 Depot St.,
Watertown, 97000245

*Utah**Wayne County*

Fruita Rural Historic District, Roughly, along
UT 24 from Sulphur Cr. to Hickman
Natural Bridge, Torrey vicinity, 97000246.

[FR Doc. 97-4647 Filed 2-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Title II Development Proposals; Instructions for Cooperating Sponsor Submission of FY 1996 Development Program Results Reports

Pursuant to the Agricultural Market and Transition Act of 1996, notice is hereby given that the Final Draft Guidelines for Fiscal Year 1996 P.L. 480 Title II Cooperating Sponsor Annual Results Report is being made available to interested parties for the required thirty (30) day comment period.

Individuals who wish to receive a copy of the draft guidelines should contact: Office of Food for Peace, Room 323, SA-8, Agency for International Development, Washington, D.C. 20523-0809. Contact person: Gwen Johnson, (703) 351-0110. Individuals who have questions or comments on the draft guidelines should contact David Nelson at (703) 351-0168.

The thirty day comment period will begin February 26, 1997.

Dated: February 7, 1997.

Jeanne Markunas,

*Acting Director, Office of Food for Peace,
Bureau for Humanitarian Response.*

Draft for Comment

Instructions for Cooperating Sponsor Submission of FY 1996 Title II Development Program Annual Results Reports

I. Background

This guidance applies only to Title II development activities.

Pursuant to Section 407(f) of the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954 (P.L. 480), as amended, USAID reports annually to Congress and other interested parties on the impact of Title II food aid in addressing food security. Consequently, USAID management and its field Missions, in consultation with Title II Cooperating Sponsors (CSs), are establishing core results indicators that will ensure consistency and compatibility of information and permit the USAID to demonstrate the impact of

food aid resources over a planned period of time.

USAID Missions and the Office of Food for Peace (FFP) have been designated as "Operating Units" under USAID reengineering guidelines. Each is expected to submit a Results Review and Resources Request (R4) report, covering the strategic support and/or program objectives (SOs) identified in its strategic plan. (The draft BHR/FFP strategic objective for Title II Development Programs is provided in Attachment 1.) CSs are requested to contribute to the process by submitting relevant information on their Title II development program(s).

The FY 1996 Title II Annual Results Report is to be submitted to the respective field Missions and FFP. The Mission will integrate findings on complementary activities from this report into the relevant SOs in its R4 report. It will comment on those activities that are outside of the Mission's Strategic Objectives and forward the report to FFP. FFP will use the contents of the CSs' Annual Results Reports and Mission R4 reports to satisfy USAID and Congressional requirements to demonstrate the impact of food aid in addressing food security as well as to justify resource requests for the next fiscal year.

While preparation of the Title II Annual Results Report is the primary responsibility of CSs, they should consult closely with the Missions in the R4 reporting process. For countries in Africa without a USAID Missions presence, CSs should consult with the responsible REDSO. In addition to the information required in these guidelines, the Missions may, within reason, and for the purposes of their own specific information requirements, request additional information from CSs, related to results reporting and the preparation of R4s.

This Title II Annual Results Report request is consistent with the R4 guidance which has been disseminated to Missions worldwide.

II. Purpose

This Title II Annual Results Report is intended to provide meaningful results-oriented information to USAID, as well as the Congress, host governments, stakeholders, and our ultimate customers, the beneficiaries. It will assist USAID Missions and FFP to demonstrate the impact of Title II food aid on food security by serving as an important information source during Mission and FFP preparation of their R4s during the spring of 1997. The Title II Annual Results Report is to focus on the food aid activity's performance

indicators and progress towards the achievement of results. It will also include a discussion of any modifications to the approved Title II activity, and a review of anticipated resource requests for FY 98. CSs are requested to follow the guidelines below to the extent possible and report as well as they can on actual performance for FY 1996 and expected results for FYs 1997, 1998 and 1999, noting linkages to USAID activities.

III. Submission Due Date and Review Process

CSs must consult with their respective Missions regarding the submission due date, which should be linked to the Mission R4 preparation schedule. However, the CS must submit one unbound copy of the Title II Annual Results Report to the BHR/FFP officer responsible for the country activity no later than April 15 (March 15 for countries without a USAID Mission presence).

If the Mission R4 has not been submitted to USAID Washington by the deadline for the Annual Results Report submission to FFP, Missions are required to forward comments on the Annual Results Report. In either document, the Mission must provide any necessary clarifications to a CS's Annual Results Report along with Mission assessments of its accuracy and completeness. For countries in Africa without a USAID presence, such as Burkina Faso, Cape Verde, the Gambia and Mauritania, REDSO assumes this responsibility.

To the extent possible, FFP will coordinate the review of the Title II Annual Results Reports with the Bureau reviews of Mission R4s.

IV. Submission Length

The Title II Annual Results Report should be thorough and concise. Reports should total no more than 10 pages for programs with two or fewer program components and no more than 18 pages for programs with three or more program components, excluding annexes. Repetition of information already presented in the relevant approved Development Activities Plan (DAP) or Previously Approved Activity (PAA) document is unnecessary. Reference to the sections in these documents where key issues are elaborated (including page number and/or section references) should be made, as appropriate.

Draft for Comment

Guidelines for FY 1996, Title II Development Program Annual Results Report

I. Overview of Title II Activities

A. Results

Briefly discuss progress to date or results achieved over the life-of-activity (FY 1996 and prior years encompassed by the currently operative DAP approval), including the role of the Section 202(e) grant (if a grant was utilized during the life of the activity), with particular emphasis on results obtained in FY 1996. Also present anticipated results for FYs 1997–99 in terms of your chosen performance indicators and/or Title II generic indicators.

A good performance assessment will (1) Describe progress over the past year relative to planned results as reflected by the objective's indicators, baselines and targets; (2) state explicitly whether progress met, exceeded or fell short of expectations toward achievement of the objective; (3) interpret significant trends and highlight differences between planned and actual performance; (4) identify reasons for performance shortfalls or greater than expected results; and, (5) indicate plans for evaluation, where contributing factors are not well understood. In cases where up-to-date performance indicator data are not available because of the timing of data collection, you should provide other evidence of progress toward achieving targets.

This part of the report should also include information on evaluation findings, customer feedback results, or other evidence of progress toward achievement of targets that supports a balanced assessment of progress for each objective.

Some examples of key questions to consider are as follows:

- What circumstances led to exceeding or falling short of expected targets? Were targets too high or too low? If so, why?
- Have key assumptions changed?
- How did customer feedback influence the CS's thinking on accomplishing the objective? Did this feedback confirm the program is on track, or are there issues which must be addressed?
- How have inter-sectoral partnering (among non-governmental organizations and governmental actors), and changes in the institutional and policy framework to stimulate community initiative influenced program management?

—What is the significance of what is being accomplished (e.g., what is the food security impact of improved water and sanitation infrastructure, service and practices for the affected 1000 households and the region or country as a whole?)

In part B below, be sure to provide a complete explanation of the status of results monitoring and when performance reports conforming to these guidelines will be submitted.

B. Monitoring, Evaluation, Audits, and Studies

1. Provide a brief update on the monitoring and evaluation system established for your program, its design and how it measured the results presented. State specifically how the baseline data were established, and whether baseline data collection is complete; how performance indicators were chosen and are being monitored; how impact indicators were chosen and are being, or will be, evaluated; and the number and general profile of personnel involved in the monitoring and evaluation effort.

Note: If relevant, attach a revised implementation schedule or monitoring and evaluation plan to serve as a modification to your approved activity.

2. List all evaluations, audits, and studies conducted during the life of the activity. State the purpose, the funding sources, the time period covered, the individuals and/or organizations involved, and the participation of Title II beneficiaries in the evaluations, audits, and studies.

3. Attach as an annex a summary of the key findings or recommendations of the evaluations, audits, or studies conducted in FY 1996 (i.e., a copy of the executive summary). Provide the status of any outstanding recommendations from FY 1996 and/or previous years, if any. If a copy of an evaluation or study has not already been submitted to BHR/FFP, please attach a copy.

C. Monetization Sales (if applicable)

This section fulfills the requirement of Regulation 11 that CSs submit an annual report on monetization and program income activities. A separate annual monetization and program income report is not necessary, as, pursuant to the authority granted him in 22 CFR 211.12 (Regulation 11), the Acting Assistant Administrator/BHR is waiving the following sentence in 22 CFR 211.5(l), "This annual report should be submitted to AID/W by December 31 of each calendar year for the fiscal year ending September 30 of

that calendar year," to the extent that it conflicts with this guidance with regard to the timing of reports.

1. Discuss the FY 1996 monetization, anticipated and unanticipated effects on local, regional, or national production, and marketing of the monetized commodity or its substitutes. Note whether the timing of the sale corresponded with the agricultural crop cycle in order to obtain the best sales price.

2. Provide a detailed monetization cost and revenues analysis. The analysis should include the following: date of each commodity sale, the commodity and amount (in MT) monetized, the sales price per MT obtained, the amount in U.S. dollars of local currency generated, and a comparison of the actual sales price to estimated and actual Free alongside ship (FAS), Commodity, Insurance and Freight (CIF), and local commercial market values. When reporting this information, CSs are requested to use the worksheet provided in Attachments 2 and 3.

D. Environmental Compliance

Title II development activities will be reviewed in accordance with USAID's environmental review procedures found in Regulation 16 (22 CFR 216). USAID's Global Environmental Office is currently reviewing of FY 1997 DAPs to determine which Cooperating Sponsor activities may need to undergo an environmental review, if they have not already. Title II activities most likely to be affected by this new requirement are those involved in agricultural and physical infrastructure development. USAID and CSs recognize that guidelines and training on environmental compliance will benefit most Title II partners, and improve the environmental soundness of Title II development activities. Further guidance and clarification of procedures to ensure better furtherance of Regulation 16 will be provided by USAID. Compliance with Regulation 16 may require modifications to project designs and budgets. Cooperating Sponsors should be prepared to amend these as necessary.

If your program activities encompass agricultural and/or infrastructure development, note briefly whether and how environmental impact assessment of any kind was incorporated in the activity design and how environmental impact is currently being monitored.

II. Modifications to Activity Design

A. Follow-Up of FY 1997 Title II Review (if Applicable)

If you have not already done so, please respond to the technical and programmatic concerns raised during the FY 1997 review, as detailed in the review summary cable or FFP's letter of approval. Address the extent to which you have implemented or plan to implement the recommendations made and the resulting budgetary impact. Explain any delays in implementing recommendations.

B. Lessons Learned During Recent Activity Implementation

Based on recent progress and constraints, describe any modifications made in FY 1996 to activity design or implementation, including revisions to objectives, benchmarks, performance indicators, and the implementation schedule. In addition, explain how any modification may affect activity budgets and commodity allocations. Finally, note significant changes in your operating environment, e.g., economic, social or political developments that affected or may continue to affect performance in meeting one or more objectives.

III. Resource Analysis and Requests

(Sections A–D may be attached as an annex)

A. FY 1996 Expenditure Report and Narrative

1. Prepare a comprehensive report of actual expenditures during FY 1996. If possible, report on expenditures by Title II activity. Identify all applicable funding sources, including, for example: Section 202(e); monetization; Cooperating Sponsor contribution; other donors; and other program income such as interest, empty container sales, participant contributions, etc. Report all opening and closing balances by funding source, and compare budgeted to actual line-item expenditures. Amounts should be denominated in U.S. dollars. For local currency line items that have been translated into U.S. dollars, state the exchange rate and the date it was obtained.

2. Provide a brief explanation of significant line-item deviations from the FFP-approved budget. If there was a shortfall in funding (particularly local currency from monetization) during FY 1996, discuss the activities affected, the impact of the shortfall on the achievement of objectives, and how the shortfall was covered. Conversely, if the funds available during FY 1996 exceeded budgeted expenditures,

discuss the activities affected, the impact on the achievement of objectives, and how the additional funding was or will be spent.

B. FY 1996 Monetization Pipeline Analysis

For each activity supported by Title II monetization, provide a pipeline analysis of local currency funds including: FY 1996 opening balance of funds from prior year monetizations, including interest; actual funds received from monetization sales during FY 1996; interest earned during FY 1996; total actual expenditure of local currency during FY 1996; closing balance of funds at the end of FY 1996; and the amount of reserve/bridge funding needed to support the activity until the FY 1997 monetization sale takes place. CSs are requested to report this information utilizing the worksheet(s) provided in Attachment 4.

C. FY 1996 Commodity Pipeline Analysis

Attach as an annex the FY 1996 Fourth Quarter Commodity Status and Recipient Status Report (CSR/RSR) and Loss Report, along with a summary of CSR/RSR data for the full FY 1996.

D. FY 1997, 1998 & 1999 Budget Revisions

If changes to the original FY 1997, 1998 or 1999 budgets are required or envisioned, prepare a revised comprehensive budget to serve as a modification to the approved activity. List all funding sources, actual opening balances, estimated line-item expenditures, and estimated closing balances. Also present a table showing revised commodities and tonnages by the Annual Estimate of Requirement's (AER) category for each program activity.

E. Future New Submissions

This section pertains only to DAPs/PAAs ending in FY 1997 and FY 1998:

Briefly discuss plans to submit follow-on Title II proposals for FY 98 or FY 99, including any anticipated changes in activity and/or resource requirements, discussions between your staff and the USAID Mission on planned activities, whether and/or how the activity supports one or more of the objectives under the Mission's strategic plan for the country.

For anticipated new proposals, include a table showing the commodities and tonnages, by AER category for each program activity, along with any Section 202(e) funding, that

you plan to request. (This table may be included in an annex.)

[FR Doc. 97-4381 Filed 2-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6116-01-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-393]

Certain Ion Trap Mass Spectrometers and Components Thereof; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed on January 24, 1997, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Finnigan Corporation, 355 River Oaks Parkway, San Jose, California 95134. A supplement to the complaint was filed on February 13, 1997. The Complaint, as supplemented, alleges a violation of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain ion trap mass spectrometers and components thereof, by reason of infringement of claims 1–20 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,540,884, and claims 1 and 12–19 of U.S. Reissue Patent 34,000.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after a hearing, issue a permanent exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 112, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Juan Cockburn, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–2572.

AUTHORITY: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. 210.10.

SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION: Having considered the complaint, the U.S.