

The PEA is envisioned to serve as a baseline environmental document from which other drilling proponents and permit applicants will be able to tier site specific environmental assessments for similar activities within the reservoir area. The USIBWC reviewed and approved the completed Draft PEA from TransTexas for proposed oil and gas development within the reservoir, and it is currently available for review and comment.

Finding of the Programmatic Environmental Assessment

The PEA finds that the proposed action for the USIBWC to grant exceptions to its policy of prohibiting oil and natural gas development upon USIBWC real property below the 307-foot traverse property line at Falcon Reservoir but above the 307-foot mean sea level elevation does not constitute a major federal action which would cause a significant local, regional, or national adverse impact on the environment based on the following facts:

1. Construction, drilling and production activities at potential well pad sites will have no significant adverse impacts on air quality. Standard construction practices to control fugitive dust would be utilized.

2. The slight impacts from construction, drilling and production activities associated with noise at potential well pad sites are fully mitigable through vegetative buffer zones, equipment noise suppressors, and avoidance of critical wildlife use periods.

3. Negligible impacts to geologic and water resources are mitigable through the use of erosion and sediment control measures and devices, secondary containment measures, best management practices during all phases of site development, and use of site specific spill prevention control and countermeasure plans.

4. Biological resources will be protected from impacts by total avoidance of clearing within heavy brush corridors, animal exclusion fences around drill pad locations, site specific surveys for threatened and endangered plants and animals, and monitoring plans coordinated by the appropriate federal and state conservation agencies.

5. Impacts to cultural resources can be mitigated through avoidance of sites determined to be eligible for the National Register of Historic Places and if avoidance is not viable, implementation of a Memorandum of Agreement for mitigating impacts will be necessary prior to BLM approval of applications for permits to drill, USIBWC issuance of land use permits,

and any development at potential drill sites.

6. Negligible impacts associated with land use and transportation will not require additional mitigation.

7. Negligible impacts associated with visual resources are mitigable through properly placed night lighting, unobtrusive painting of facilities, and alignment of access road and utility corridors for limited views of individual project facilities.

On the basis of the TransTexas Draft PEA, the USIBWC has determined that an environmental impact statement is not required for the USIBWC to grant exceptions to its policy of prohibiting oil and natural gas development upon USIBWC real property under Falcon Reservoir and hereby provides notice of a finding of no significant impact (FONSI). An environmental impact statement will not be prepared unless additional information which may affect this decision is brought to our attention within thirty (30) days of the date of this Notice. Copies of the Draft PEA are available for public review at the USIBWC Falcon Dam Field Office, Falcon Road, Falcon Heights, Texas 78545, and have been distributed to Federal, State, and local agencies and organizations that have been consulted and coordinated within the preparation of the PEA. A limited number of copies are available to fill single copy requests at the above address.

Dated: February 10, 1997.

Randall A. McMains,

Attorney.

[FR Doc. 97-4018 Filed 2-18-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-03-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-362]

Southern California Edison Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-15, issued to Southern California Edison Company (the licensee), for operation of the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS), Unit No. 3 located in San Diego County, California.

The proposed amendment would defer implementation of Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.1.5.4 of Technical Specification 3.1.5, "CEA Alignment"

until no later than the next SONGS Unit 3 refueling outage (currently scheduled to begin on April 12, 1997).

The exigent circumstances for this amendment request exist due to the recent discovery of the inappropriate crediting of previous test results to this post-Technical Specification Improvement Program SR.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed change would defer the implementation of Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.1.5.4 of Technical Specification (TS) 3.1.5 until the Unit 3, Cycle 9 refueling outage.

Operation of the facility would remain unchanged as a result of the proposed changes and no assumptions or results of any accident analyses are affected. Based on testing, operating experience, and the inherent reliability of the system, Edison concludes the Reed Switch Position Transmitters have demonstrated their capability to perform their specified safety function and are operable. Therefore, the proposed change will not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of any accident previously evaluated.

2. The proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed change would defer the implementation of Surveillance Requirement (SR) SR 3.1.5.4 of Technical Specification (TS) 3.1.5 until the Unit 3, Cycle 9 refueling outage.

Operation of the facility would remain unchanged as a result of the proposed change. The Reed Switch Position Transmitters cannot initiate an accident.

Therefore, the proposed change will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. The proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed change would defer the implementation of Surveillance Requirement (SR) SR 3.1.5.4 of Technical Specification (TS) 3.1.5 until the Unit 3, Cycle 9 refueling outage. The Reed Switch Position Transmitters are concluded to be able to perform their safety function and are operable. Therefore, the proposed change will not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 14 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 14-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period, such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 14-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the Federal Register a notice of issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By March 21, 1997, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Main Library, University of California, P.O. Box 19557, Irvine, CA 92713. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the

proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing.

The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If the amendment is issued before the expiration of the 30-day hearing period, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. If a hearing is requested, the final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may

be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to William H. Bateman, Director, Project Directorate IV-2: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to T.E. Oubre, Esquire, Southern California Edison Company, P.O. Box 800, Rosemead, CA 91770, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1) (i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated February 7, 1997, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room, located at the Main Library, University of California, P.O. Box 19557, Irvine, CA 92713.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of February 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Mel B. Fields,
*Project Manager, Project Directorate IV-2,
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of
Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*
[FR Doc. 97-4055 Filed 2-18-97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

The Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995: Covered Officials

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is adding to its list of "covered officials", for purposes of

the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995, the NRC's Chief Information Officer.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In late 1995, President Clinton signed into law the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 (the "Act"), which requires some individuals and entities who lobby "covered" Federal officials to register with Congress and to file semiannual reports describing their lobbying activities.

For purposes of the Act, the NRC had determined that "covered" officials at the NRC were limited to Members of the Commission and their personal staffs, the Inspector General, the Executive Director for Operations, the General Counsel, and the Directors of the Offices of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards and Nuclear Regulatory Research. See Federal Register of February 1, 1996 (61 FR 3737).

As a result of the enactment of the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996, the position of Chief Information Officer (CIO) was created for 22 named executive-branch agencies, including the NRC. The NRC has determined that the NRC's CIO is a "covered" official for purposes of the Act. Therefore, the NRC is adding the NRC's CIO to its list of "covered officials".

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pamela Urban, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555-0001, telephone (301) 415-1619.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of February, 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
John C. Hoyle,
Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 97-4057 Filed 2-18-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; Subcommittee Meeting on Planning and Procedures; Notice of Meeting

The ACRS Subcommittee on Planning and Procedures will hold a meeting on March 5, 1997, Room T-2B1, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance, with the exception of a portion that may be closed pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (2) and (6) to discuss organizational and personnel matters that relate solely to internal personnel rules and practices of ACRS, and matters the release of which would

constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Wednesday, March 5, 1997—2:00 p.m. until 4:00 p.m.

The Subcommittee will discuss proposed ACRS activities and related matters. It may also discuss the qualifications of candidates for appointment to the ACRS. The purpose of this meeting is to gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and to formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the full Committee.

Oral statements may be presented by members of the public with the concurrence of the Subcommittee Chairman; written statements will be accepted and made available to the Committee. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Subcommittee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the cognizant ACRS staff person named below five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, the scheduling of sessions open to the public, whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements, and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by contacting the cognizant ACRS staff person, Dr. John T. Larkins (telephone: 301/415-7360) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EST). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual one or two working days prior to the meeting to be advised of any changes in schedule, etc., that may have occurred.

Date: February 12, 1997.
Sam Duraiswamy,
Chief, Nuclear Reactors Branch.
[FR Doc. 97-4056 Filed 2-18-97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

Sunshine Act Meeting

DATE: Weeks of February 17, 24, March 3, and 10, 1997.

PLACE: Commissioner's Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: