Dated: February 10, 1997.
Joseph Retzer, *Director, Regulatory Information Division.*[FR Doc. 97–3778 Filed 2–13–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### [FRL-5688-3]

#### Ambient Air Monitoring Reference and Equivalent Methods; Equivalent Method Designation

Notice is hereby given that the EPA, in accordance with 40 CFR part 53, has designated another equivalent method for the measurement of ambient concentrations of sulfur dioxide. The new equivalent method is an automated method (analyzer) that utilizes a measurement principle based on pulsed UV fluorescence. The new designated method is identified as follows:

EQSA-0197-114, "Horiba Instruments, Incorporated, Model APSA-360 Ambient SO2 Monitor," operated with a full scale range of 0-0.5 ppm, at any temperature in the range of 5 °C to 40 °C, with a Line Setting of "MEASURE", an Analog Output of "MOMENTARY VALUE", and with or without either of the following options: (1) Rack Mounting Plate and Side Rails, (2) RS-232 Communications Port.

This method is available from Horiba Instruments, Incorporated, 17671 Armstrong Avenue, Irvine, California 92714. The application for designation of this sulfur dioxide method was received on September 23, 1996.

A test analyzer representative of this method has been tested by the applicant, in accordance with the test procedures specified in 40 CFR part 53. After reviewing the results of these tests and other information submitted by the applicant, the EPA has determined, in accordance with part 53, that this method should be designated as an equivalent method. The information submitted by the applicant will be kept on file at the EPA's National Exposure Research Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, and will be available for inspection to the extent consistent with 40 CFR part 2 (EPA's regulations implementing the Freedom of Information Act).

As a designated equivalent method, this method is acceptable for use by States and other air monitoring agencies under requirements of 40 CFR part 58, Ambient Air Quality Surveillance. For such purposes, the method must be used in strict accordance with the operation or instruction manual associated with the method and subject to any limitations (e.g., operating range) specified in the applicable designation

(see description of the method above). Vendor modifications of a designated method used for purposes of part 58 are permitted only with prior approval of the EPA, as provided in part 53. Provisions concerning modification of such methods by users are specified under section 2.8 of appendix C to 40 CFR part 58 (Modifications of Methods by Users).

In general, this designation applies to any analyzer which is identical to the analyzer described in the designation. In many cases, similar analyzers manufactured prior to the designation may be upgraded (e.g., by minor modification or by substitution of a new operation or instruction manual) so as to be identical to the designated method and thus achieve designation status at a modest cost. The manufacturer should be consulted to determine the feasibility of such upgrading.

Part 53 requires that sellers of designated methods comply with certain conditions. These conditions are given in 40 CFR 53.9 and are summarized below:

(1) A copy of the approved operation or instruction manual must accompany the analyzer when it is delivered to the ultimate purchaser.

(2) The analyzer must not generate any unreasonable hazard to operators or to the environment.

(3) The analyzer must function within the limits of the performance specifications given in table B–1 of part 53 for at least one year after delivery when maintained and operated in accordance with the operation manual.

(4) Any analyzer offered for sale as a reference or equivalent method must bear a label or sticker indicating that it has been designated as a reference or equivalent method in accordance with part 53.

(5) If such an analyzer has two or more selectable ranges, the label or sticker must be placed in close proximity to the range selector and indicate which range or ranges have been included in the reference or equivalent method designation.

(6) An applicant who offers analyzers for sale as reference or equivalent methods is required to maintain a list of ultimate purchases of such analyzers and to notify them within 30 days if a reference or equivalent method designation applicable to the analyzers has been canceled or if adjustment of the analyzers is necessary under 40 CFR 53.11(b) to avoid a cancellation.

(7) An applicant who modifies an analyzer previously designated as a reference or equivalent method is not permitted to sell the analyzer (as modified) as a reference or equivalent

method (although he may choose to sell it without such representation), nor to attach a label or sticker to the analyzer (as modified) under the provisions described above, until he has received notice under 40 CFR 53.14(c) that the original designation or a new designation applies to the method as modified or until he has applied for and received notice under 40 CFR 53.8(b) of a new reference or equivalent method determination for the analyzer as modified.

Aside from occasional breakdowns or malfunctions, consistent or repeated noncompliance with any of these conditions should be reported to: Director, National Exposure Research Laboratory, Department E (MD–77B), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711.

Designation of this equivalent method will provide assistance to the States in establishing and operating their air quality surveillance systems under part 58. Technical questions concerning the method should be directed to the manufacturer. Additional information concerning this action may be obtained from Frank F. McElroy, Air Measurements Research Division (MD–77B), National Exposure Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, (919) 541–2622.

Dated: February 6, 1997.
Robert J. Huggett,
Assistant Administrator for Research and Development.
[FR Doc. 97–3774 Filed 2–13–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

#### [ER-FRL-5477-5]

# Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared January 27, 1997 Through January 31, 1997 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 5, 1996 (61 FR 15251).

#### **Draft EISs**

ERP No. D-DOE-E09802-SC Rating EC2, Savannah River Site, Shutdown of

the River Water System (DOE/EIS–0268D), Implementation, Aiken, SC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern regarding the disclosure of potential ecological risks. EPA requested that this issue be fully discussed in the final document.

ERP No. D-UAF-K11076-CA Rating EC2, Airborne Laser (ABL) Phase Program Definition and Risk Reduction Phase, Proposed Locations: Home Base Edwards Air Force Base; Diagnostic Test Range-White Sands Missile Range, NM; and Expanded Area Test Range-Western Range (Vandenberg Air Force Base and Point Mugu Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division), CA and NM.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns due to potential effects to threatened and endangered species, and air quality and upper atmosphere. EPA requested additional information on these issues.

ERP No. DS-NOA-A91062-00 Rating LO, Atlantic Coast Weakfish Fishery, Fishery Management Plan, Implementation, Updated Information, Weakfish Harvest Control in the Atlantic Ocean Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), off the New England, Mid-Atlantic and South Atlantic Coasts.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the regulations.

#### Final EISs

ERP No. F-BIA-G09803-NM Jemez Mountains Electric Cooperative, Construction, Operation and Maintenance, El Rancho Substation, Sante Fe County, NM.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS has been completed and the project found to be satisfactory. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-CGD-A39137-00 Atlantic Protected Living Marine Resource Initiative, Implementation, Atlantic Ocean, from Maine to Florida.

*Summary:* EPA had no objections to the preferred alternative.

ERP No. F-GSA-E60015-GA, Clifton Road Campus of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Acquisition of Additional Property, DeKalb County, GA.

Summary: EPA had no environmental concerns about this project.

ERP No. FA-AFS-L82010-00, Pacific Northwest Region National Forests, Nursery Pest Control Management Plan, Additional Information concerning Changes to a List of Chemical Pesticides and Streamlining the Process for Future Changes Approved for Use at J. Herbert Stone, Bend Pine and Wind River Nurseries and Dorena Tree Improvement Center, WA and OR.

*Summary:* Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal

comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: February 11, 1997.

B. Katherine Biggs,
Associate Director, NEPA Compliance
Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 97–3809 Filed 2–13–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

#### [ER-FRL-5477-4]

## **Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability**

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 OR (202) 564–7153. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed February 03, 1997 Through February 07, 1997 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 970045, Draft Supplement, FRC, ME, Granite State Gas Transmission, Construction and Operation of a Liquefied Natural Gas Facility, Updated Information on Potential Alternative Sites, Permits and Approvals, In the Town of Wells, York County, ME, *Due:* March 31, 1997, *Contact:* Chris Zerby (202) 208–0111.

EIS No. 970046, Final Supplement, AFS, VA, WV, George Washington National Forest, Revised Land and Resource Management Plan, Consenting to Oil and Gas Leasing in Laurel Fork Special Management Area, Highland County, VA, *Due:* March 17, 1997, *Contact:* Dave Plunkett (540) 564–8300.

EIS No. 970047, Final EIS, NPS, NY, Manhattan

Sites General Management Plans, Implementation, Castle Clinton National Monument, Federal Hall National Memorial, General Grant National Memorial, Saint Paul's Church National Historic Site and Theodore Roosevelt Birthplace National Historic Site, New York and Westchester Counties, NY, Due: March 17, 1997, Contact: Joseph Avery (212) 825–6990.

EIS No. 970048, Final EIS, BLM, UT, Lisbon Valley Copper Project, Plan of Operations Approval for an Open Pit Copper Mine and Heach Operation in Lower Lisbon Valley, San Juan and Grand Counties, UT, *Due:* March 17, 1997, *Contact:* Lynn Jackson (801) 259–6111.

EIS No. 970049, Final EIS, NOA, HI, Hawaiian Islands Humpback Whales and Their Habitat National Marine Sanctuary Management Plan, Implementation, Honolulu, Kauai and Maui Counties, HI, *Due:* March 17, 1997, *Contact:* W. Stanley Wilson (301) 713–3074.

EIS No. 970050, Final EIS, USN, CA, Naval Station Long Beach Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits Issuance and Possible NPDES Permit Issuance, Los Angeles County, CA, *Due:* March 17, 1997, *Contact:* John Hill (619) 556–0250 ext.221.

EIS No. 970051, DRAFT EIS, NCP, DC, New Washington Convention Center, Construction and Operation, Possible Sites are Mount Vernon Square and Northeast No.1, Washington Convention Center Authority, Washington, DC, *Due:* March 31, 1997, *Contact:* Reginald Griffith (202) 724–0174.

EIS No. 970052, Final EIS, FTA, CA, South Sacramento Corridor, Transit Improvements, Funding, Sacramento, Yolo, EL Dorado and Placer Counties, CA, *Due:* March 17, 1997, *Contact:* Bob Hom (415) 744–3133.

EIS No. 970053, Draft EIS, BLM, WY, Cave Gulch-Bullfrog-Waltman Natural Gas Development Project, Implementation, Platte River Resource Area, Natrona County, WY, *Due:* April 01, 1997, *Contact:* Kate Padilla (307) 261–7603.

EIS No. 970054, Draft EIS, UAF, TX, Reese Air Force Base (AFB) Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Lubbock and Terry Counties, TX, *Due:* March 31, 1997, *Contact:* Robert Lopez (210) 536–6545.

EIS No. 970055, Draft Supplement, FAA, WA, Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Improvement, South Aviation Support Area, Airport Layout Plan, Airport Master Plan; Updated Information on Master Plan Development Actions, Funding, Section 10 and 404 Permits and NPDES Permit, Port of Seattle, King County, WA, Due: March 31, 1997, Contact: Dennis Ossenkop (206) 227–2611.

EIS No. 970056, Draft Supplement, USN, PR, VA, Relocatable Over the Horizon Radar (ROTHR) System Construction and Operation, New and Updated Information on Fort Allen as Potential Site, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and Chesapeake, VA, *Due:* March 31, 1997, *Contact:* Linda Blount (757) 322–4892.

### Amended Notices

EIS No. 960099, Draft EIS, AFS, OR, Red Mountain Project, Implementation, Three Timber Sales: Twin, Muddy Creek and Gee, Wallowa-Whitman National Forest, Baker Ranger District, Baker County, or, *Due: Contact:* Barry Hansen (503) 523–6391. Published FR 01–19–96—Officially Withdrawn by the Preparing Agency.