program, we found that we inadvertently failed to include a step in the product matching section of the program. This resulted in a failure to properly search for identical control numbers in both of the home market control number fields for a given U.S. sale. Correcting the margin program resulted in matching previously unmatched U.S. sales as identified by Daido in its November 17, 1997, clerical error allegation.

Issue 3: Unmatched U.S. Sales— Computer Input Error by Respondent

Daido states that, in three instances, it inadvertently assigned slightly different control numbers for the same products on its home market and U.S. sales tapes. Specifically, in the first instance, Daido states that it made home market sales of a model identical to one sold in the United States. However, Daido states that although the digits in the control number are exactly the same in the U.S. and home market sales tapes, it inadvertently coded the home market model with a space in the middle. In the second instance, Daido claims that an extra digit was mistakenly added to the end of a home market control number. In the final instance, Daido maintains that although for one model the control number in the U.S. sales listing differs from the control number in the home market sales listing by one digit (i.e., the use of a "C" in the home market and a "D" in the United States), the products are identical. As a result of these three errors, certain U.S. sales went unmatched. Daido requests that we revise the matching control numbers in the three instances listed above so that the control numbers on both the home market and U.S. sales tapes are identical.

DOC Position: The Department does not believe that it may make corrections after final results of administrative review for errors committed by a party to the proceeding. (See Enuma issue number 2). Consequently, we made no revision to Daido's margin calculation with regard to these alleged errors.

Amended Final Results

As a result of our correction of the ministerial errors, we have determined the following amended margins exist for Enuma and Daido for the period April 1, 1995 through March 31, 1996:

Manufacturer/exporter	Percentage*		
Daido	3.09		
Enuma	1.55		

^{*} Amended Weighted-Average Margin

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisement instructions concerning the respondent directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these amended final results of administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for each reviewed company named above will be the rate as stated above; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this review, the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will be 15.92 percent, the All Others rate based on the first review conducted by the Department in which a "new shipper" rate was established in the final results of antidumping administrative review (48 FR 51801, November 14, 1983).

This notice serves as the final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of the APO is a sanctionable violation.

These amended final results of administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the

Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1) and 19 CFR 353.28(c).

Dated: December 17, 1997.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97–33606 Filed 12–23–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness).

ACTION: Notice.

In compliance with Section 3506 (c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) announces the following proposed reinstatement of a public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by 13 February 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Department of the Army, Hq DAO ODCSPER (DAPE-PRO) ATTN: Mr. Robbie Robinson, 4000 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–0300. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal and associated collection instruments, please write to the above address or call at (703) 614–4766.

Title, Associated Form, and OMB Control Number: Repatriation Processing Center Processing Sheet, DD Form 2585, OMB Number 0704–0334.

Needs and Uses: This information collection is necessary for personnel accountability of all evacuees, regardless of nationality, who are processed through designated Repatriation Centers throughout the United States. The information obtained from the DD Form 2585 is entered into an automated system; a series of reports are accessible to DoD Components, Federal and State Agencies, and Red Cross as required.

Affected Public: Individuals or

Affected Public: Individuals or households, Federal Government; State and local governments; not-for-profit institutions.

Annual Burden Hours: 1,667. Number of Respondents: 5,000. Responses Per Respondent: One. Average Burden per Response: 20

Frequency: One-time.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Summary of Information Collection

Executive Order 12636 (Assignment of Emergency Preparedness Responsibilitis) assigns Federal departments and agencies

responsibilities during emergency situations. In its supporting role to the Departments of State and Health and Human Services (DHHS), the Department of Defense will assist in planning for the protection, evacuation and repatriation of U.S. citizens in threatened areas overseas. The DD Form 2585, Repatriation Processing Center Processing Sheet, has numerous functions, but is primarily used for personnelaccountability of all evacuees who process through designated Repatriation Centers. During processing, evacuees are provided emergency human services, including food, clothing lodging, family reunification, social services and financial assistance through federal entitlements, loans, or emergency aid organizations. The information, once collected, is input into the Repatriation Automated Accounting and Reporting System, and available to designated offices throughout Departments of Defense,

State, Health and Human Services, the American Red Cross, and State government emergency planning offices for operational inquiries and reporting and future planning purposes.

Dated: December 18, 1997.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 97–33541 Filed 12–23–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5000–04–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Air Force In-Progress A–76 Cost Comparisons and Direct Conversions (As of October 1, 1997)

The Force is conducting the following cost comparisons direct conversions in accordance with OMB Circular A–76, Performance of Commercial Activities.

Installation	State	Cost comparison function(s)	Total an- nounced authoriza- tions	Public an- nouncement date	Solicitation scheduled for		
Cost Comparisons							
EIELSON AFB	AK	MISC SERVICES	11	11/17/95	07/07/98		
EIELSON AFB	AK	ADMIN TELEPHONE PBX	10	10/18/96	07/01/98		
ELMENDORF AFB	AK	POWER PRODUCTION	36	09/22/95	06/15/97		
ELMENDORF AFB	AK	MILITARY FAM HSG MGMT	22	09/19/96	02/02/98		
ELMENDORF AFB	AK	ADMIN TELEPHONE PBX	16	07/09/97	02/01/98		
EDWARDS AFB	CA	BASE SUPPLY	327	05/02/96	05/12/97		
LOS ANGELES AFS	CA	COMMUNICATION FUNCTIONS	13	07/01/96	04/30/97		
LOS ANGELES AFS	CA	EDUCATION SERVICES	28	07/01/96	07/01/97		
LOS ANGELES AFS	CA	COMM O&M FUNCTIONS	85	07/01/97	07/30/98		
LOS ANGELES AFS	CA	HOUSING MANAGEMENT	10	07/01/97	07/30/98		
LOS ANGELES AFS	CA	SERVICES ACTIVITIES	8	07/01/97	07/30/98		
MARCH AFB	CA	AIRFIELD OPS & WEATHER	41	06/13/96	12/30/98		
MARCH AFB	CA	TRANSIENT AIRCRAFT MAINT	0	06/13/96	08/30/97		
MARCH AFB	CA	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	237	06/13/96	02/08/99		
ONIZUKA AFS	CA	UTILITIES PLANT	25	05/06/96	11/01/97		
TRAVIS AFB	CA	MIL FAM HSG MAINT	38	05/05/97	06/25/97		
VANDENBERG AFB	CA	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	217	07/29/96	10/30/97		
VANDENBERG AFB	CA	STRUCTURAL MAINTENANCE	32	05/06/96	10/30/97		
VANDENBERG AFB	CA	CE MATERIAL ACQUISITION	12	05/06/96	10/30/97		
VANDENBERG AFB	CA	HOUSING MANAGEMENT	14	07/29/96	11/01/97		
VANDENBERG AFB	CA	CIVIL ENGINEERING	5	07/29/96	11/01/97		
BUCKLEY ANGB	CO	AIRFIELD MANAGEMENT	37	03/22/95	01/01/98		
FALCON AFB	CO	UTILITIES PLANT	22	05/06/96	11/01/97		
PETERSON AFB	CO	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	179	07/29/96	07/03/97		
PETERSON AFB	CO	CE MATERIAL ACQUISITION	8	07/29/96	01/09/98		
USAF ACADEMY	CO	MESS ATTENDANTS	170	03/10/97	11/03/97		
DOVER AFB	DE	TRANS ACFT MAINT/AGE	24	09/05/97	06/02/98		
EGLIN AFB	FL	CIVIL ENGINEERING	96	12/03/96	04/15/98		
HOMESTEAD AFB	FL	AIRFIELD OPS & WEATHER	25	06/13/96	04/13/99		
HOMESTEAD AFB	FL	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	149	06/13/96	06/10/99		
HURLBURT COM FL	FL	GROUNDS MAINTENANCE	11	08/08/96	07/09/97		
HURLBURT COM FL	FL	TRANSIENT AIRCRAFT MAINT	11	08/08/96	06/09/97		
HURLBURT COM FL	FL	ENVIROMENTAL	13	09/23/97	07/20/98		
PATRICK AFB	FL	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	148	07/29/96	11/15/97		
PATRICK AFB	FL	HOUSING MANAGEMENT	7	07/29/96	11/01/97		
DOBBINS AFB	GA	CONTROL TOWER OPERATIONS	33	06/13/96	07/13/97		
DOBBINS AFB	GA	COMMUNICATION FUNCTIONS	0	06/13/96	07/13/97		
DOBBINS AFB	GA	WEATHER SERVICES	0	06/13/96	07/13/97		
DOBBINS AFB	GA	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	127	06/13/96	07/13/97		
ROBINS AFB	GA	AUDIOVISUAL	42	05/02/96	03/18/97		