## **Proposed Rules**

### **Federal Register**

Vol. 62, No. 242

Wednesday, December 17, 1997

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

### Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 51

[Docket Number FV-97-302]

RIN 0581-AB51

### Fees for Destination Market Inspections of Fresh Fruits, Vegetables and Other Products

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This proposed rule would revise the regulations governing the inspection and certification for fresh fruits, vegetables and other products by increasing by approximately 10 percent the fees charged for the inspection of these products at destination markets. These revisions are necessary in order to recover, as nearly as practicable, the costs of performing inspection services at destination markets under the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946. The fees charged to persons required to have inspections on imported commodities in accordance with the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937 and for imported peanuts under the Agricultural Act of 1949 would also be affected. This rule would also revise the regulations with regard to the disposition of inspection certificates to require that one copy of the certificate be delivered or mailed to the shipper of the inspected product.

**DATES:** Comments must be postmarked or courier dated on or before February 17, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning this proposal. Comments must be sent to the Program Support Section, Fresh Products Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, Agricultural Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, P.O. Box 96456, Room 2049 South Building, Washington, D.C. 20090–6456. Comments should make reference to the date and page number

of this issue of the **Federal Register** and will be made available for public inspection in the above office during regular business hours.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rob Huttenlocker at the above address or call (202) 720–0297.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Executive Order 12866 and Regulatory Flexibility Act**

This rule has been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget and has been determined not significant for purposes of Executive Order 12866.

Also, pursuant to the requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) has considered the economic impact of this action on small entities.

AMS regularly reviews its user-fee financed programs to determine if the fees are adequate. The existing fee schedule will not generate sufficient revenues to cover program costs while maintaining an adequate reserve balance (four months of costs) as called for by Agency policy (AMS Directive 408.1). Current revenue projections for destination market inspection work during FY 97 are \$12.0 million with costs projected at \$11.9 million and an end-of-year reserve of \$3.0 million. However, the Fresh Products Branch's (FPB) trust fund balance for this program will be approximately \$1.0 million under the four-month level of approximately \$4.0 million. Further, FPB's costs of operating the destination market program are expected to increase to approximately \$12.9 million during FY 98 and to approximately \$13.2 million in FY 99. These cost increases will result from both inflationary increases with regard to current FPB operations and services and the need to improve or expand current services.

Employee salaries and benefits are major program costs that account for approximately 80 percent of FPB's total operating budget. A general and locality salary increase for Federal employees, ranging from 2.30 to 4.66 percent depending on locality, effective January 1997, significantly increased program costs. Another general and locality salary increase is expected to become effective in January 1998. In addition, inflation also impacts upon FPB's non-salary costs. These increases will increase FPB's costs of operating this

program by approximately \$300,000 per year.

Additional revenues are also needed to enable FPB to cover the costs of improving program integrity by mailing copies of all destination market certificates to the shippers of the products inspected. FPB estimates that it will cost \$200,000 per year for the postage, envelopes and additional staff time to send the approximately 275,000 inspection certificates it issues annually. Additional revenues are also necessary in order that FPB may cover the costs of securing the additional staff (\$200,000) needed to increase the timeliness of service delivery in several destination markets which are currently in need of additional staffing (e.g., Dallas, Texas). Finally, FPB needs an additional \$200,000 per year for three to four years to cover the costs of securing the equipment (e.g., digital imaging cameras and computers, inspector notebook computers and Agencymandated information systems upgrades) needed to expand FPB's services and to make existing services more efficient in the future.

This proposed fee increase should result in an estimated \$1.2 million in additional revenues per year (only \$600,000 during FY 98 since any fee increase would be effective on April 1, 1998) and should enable FPB to cover its costs while maintaining current program reserves (at a level below that provided for by Agency policy).

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of businesses subject to such actions in order that small businesses will not be unduly or disproportionately burdened. The action described herein is being considered for several reasons, including that additional user fee revenues are needed to cover the costs of: (1) providing current program operations and services; (2) improving program integrity by mailing copies of all destination market certificates to the shippers of the products inspected (the basis for the proposed change in regulation with regard to the disposition of inspection certificates to include that one copy be delivered or mailed to the shipper of the inspected product); (3) improving the timeliness with which inspection services are provided; and (4) acquiring technological advancements (e.g., digital imaging cameras and computers, inspector notebook

computers and Agency-mandated information systems upgrades) aimed at expanding FPB's services and making them more efficient in the future. The objective of this proposed rule is to increase user fee revenue generated under the destination market program by approximately \$1.2 million or approximately 10 percent per year. This action is authorized under the Agricultural Marketing Act (AMA) of 1946 (see 7 U.S.C. 1622(h)) which states that the Secretary of Agriculture may assess and collect "such fees as will be reasonable and as nearly as may be to cover the costs of services rendered \*

There are more than 2,000 users of FPB's destination market grading services (including applicants who must meet import requirements 1inspections which amount to under 2.5 percent of all lot inspections performed). A small portion of these users are small entities under the criteria established by the Small Business Administration (13 CFR 121.601). There will be no additional reporting, recordkeeping, or other compliance requirements imposed upon small entities as a result of this proposed rule. FPB has not identified any other Federal rules which may duplicate, overlap or conflict with this proposed rule.

Inasmuch as the destination market grading services are voluntary (except when required for imported commodities), and since the fees charged to users of these services vary with usage, the impact on all businesses, including small entities, is very similar. Further, even though fees

will be raised, the increase is small (approximately ten percent) and should not significantly affect these entities. Finally, except for those persons who are required to obtain inspections, most of these businesses are typically under no obligation to use these inspection services, and, therefore, any decision on their part to discontinue the use of the services should not prevent them from marketing their products.

### **Executive Order 12988**

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This action is not intended to have retroactive effect. This rule will not preempt any State or local laws, regulations or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule. There are no administrative procedures which must be exhausted prior to any judicial challenge to the provisions of this rule.

## **Proposed Action**

The AMA authorizes official inspection, grading and certification, on a user-fee basis, of fresh fruits, vegetables and other products such as raw nuts, Christmas trees and flowers. The AMA provides that reasonable fees be collected from the users of the services to cover, as nearly as practicable, the costs of the services rendered. This proposed rule will amend the schedule for fees and charges for inspection services rendered to the fresh fruit and vegetable industry to reflect the costs necessary to operate the program.

AMS regularly reviews its user-fee programs to determine if the fees are adequate. While FPB continues to search for opportunities to reduce its costs, the existing fee schedule will not generate sufficient revenues to cover program costs while maintaining an adequate reserve balance (four months of costs) as called for by Agency policy (AMS Directive 408.1). Current revenue projections for destination market inspection work during FY 97 are \$12.0 million with costs projected at \$11.9 million and an end-of-year reserve of \$3.0 million. However, FPB's trust fund balance for this program will be approximately \$1.0 million under the four-month level of approximately \$4.0 million. Further, FPB's costs of operating the destination market program are expected to increase to approximately \$12.9 million during FY 98 and to approximately \$13.2 million in FY 99. These cost increases (which are outlined below) will result from both inflationary increases with regard to current FPB operations and services

and the need to improve or expand current services.

Employee salaries and benefits are major program costs that account for approximately 80 percent of FPB's total operating budget. A general and locality salary increase for Federal employees, ranging from 2.30 to 4.66 percent depending on locality, effective January 1997, significantly increased program costs. Another general and locality salary increase is expected to become effective in January 1998. In addition, inflation also impacts upon FPB's nonsalary costs. These increases will increase FPB's costs of operating this program by approximately \$300,000 per year.

Additional revenues are also needed to enable FPB to cover the costs of improving program integrity by mailing copies of all destination market certificates to the shippers of the products inspected. This is an essential step in FPB's ongoing effort to improve the integrity of the inspection process. This action will assist in preventing industry participants from using falsified inspection certificates to alter the terms of sales between shippers and receivers. In accordance with this effort, the regulations with regard to the disposition of inspection certificates in 7 CFR 51.21 are proposed to be revised to require that one copy of the certificate be provided to the shipper of the inspected product. FPB estimates that it will cost \$200,000 per year for the postage, envelopes and additional staff time to send the approximately 275,000 inspection certificates it issues annually.

Additional revenues are also necessary in order that FPB may cover the costs of securing the additional staff (\$200,000) needed to increase the timeliness of service delivery in several destination markets which are currently in need of additional staffing (e.g., Dallas, Texas). This action responds to industry feedback to FPB's FY 1996 Customer Service Survey which emphasized the importance of timeliness far more than cost containment.

Finally, FPB needs an additional \$200,000 per year for three to four years to cover the costs of securing the equipment (e.g., digital imaging cameras and computers, inspector notebook computers and Agency-mandated information systems upgrades) needed to expand FPB's services and to make existing services more efficient in the future.

This proposed fee increase should result in an estimated \$1.2 million in additional revenues per year (only \$600,000 during FY 98 since any fee

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 8e of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601– 674), requires that whenever the Secretary of Agriculture issues grade, size, quality or maturity regulations under domestic marketing orders for certain commodities, the same or comparable regulations on imports of those commodities must be issued. Import regulations apply only during those periods when domestic marketing order regulations are in effect.

Currently, there are 15 commodities subject to 8e import regulations: avocados, dates (other than dates for processing), filberts, grapefruit, kiwifruit, limes, olives (other than Spanish-style green olives), onions, oranges, Irish potatoes, prunes, raisins, table grapes, tomatoes and walnuts. A current listing of the regulated commodities can be found under 7 CFR Parts 944, 980 and 999. Section 999.600 establishes minimum quality, identification, certification and safeguard requirements for foreign produced farmers stock, shelled and cleaned in-shell peanuts presented for importation into the United States. Import requirements applicable to peanuts may be found under subparagraph (f)(2) of section 108B of the Agricultural Act of 1949 (7 U.S.C. 1445c-3), as amended November 28, 1990, and August 10, 1993, and section 155 of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 (7 U.S.C.

increase would be effective on April 1, 1998) and should enable FPB to cover its costs while maintaining current program reserves. In order to reach a four month reserve, further increases in fees will be likely in future years.

Based on the aforementioned analysis of this program's increasing costs, AMS proposes to increase the fees for destination market inspection services. The following table compares current fees and charges with proposed fees and charges for fresh fruit and vegetable

inspection as found in 7 CFR 51.38. Unless otherwise provided for by regulation or written agreement between the applicant and the Administrator, the charges in the schedule of fees as found in § 51.38 are:

Half carlot equivalent or less of each product For each additional lot of the same product		\$86. 72. 14. 72. 66. 14.
Over a half carlot equivalent of each product Half carlot equivalent or less of each product For each additional lot of the same product Condition only inspections of one to four products each in quantities of 51 or more packages and unloaded from the same land or air conveyance: Over a half carlot equivalent of each product Half carlot equivalent or less of each product For each additional lot of the same product Quality and condition and condition only inspections of five or more products each in quantities of 51 or more packages and unloaded from the same land or air conveyance: For the first five products For each additional product 39		72. 14. 72. 66.
Half carlot equivalent or less of each product For each additional lot of the same product Condition only inspections of one to four products each in quantities of 51 or more packages and unloaded from the same land or air conveyance: Over a half carlot equivalent of each product Half carlot equivalent or less of each product For each additional lot of the same product Quality and condition and condition only inspections of five or more products each in quantities of 51 or more packages and unloaded from the same land or air conveyance: For the first five products For each additional product 39		72. 14. 72. 66.
For each additional lot of the same product		72. 66.
Condition only inspections of one to four products each in quantities of 51 or more packages and unloaded from the same land or air conveyance:  Over a half carlot equivalent of each product  Half carlot equivalent or less of each product  For each additional lot of the same product  Quality and condition and condition only inspections of five or more products each in quantities of 51 or more packages and unloaded from the same land or air conveyance:  For the first five products  For each additional product  277  For each additional product		72. 66.
Condition only inspections of one to four products each in quantities of 51 or more packages and unloaded from the same land or air conveyance:  Over a half carlot equivalent of each product  Half carlot equivalent or less of each product  For each additional lot of the same product  Quality and condition and condition only inspections of five or more products each in quantities of 51 or more packages and unloaded from the same land or air conveyance:  For the first five products  For each additional product  277  For each additional product  39		66.
unloaded from the same land or air conveyance: Over a half carlot equivalent of each product Half carlot equivalent or less of each product For each additional lot of the same product Quality and condition and condition only inspections of five or more products each in quantities of 51 or more packages and unloaded from the same land or air conveyance: For the first five products For each additional product  277 For each additional product 39		66.
Half carlot equivalent or less of each product 60.  For each additional lot of the same product 31.  Quality and condition and condition only inspections of five or more products each in quantities of 51 or more packages and unloaded from the same land or air conveyance:  For the first five products 277  For each additional product 39.		66.
Half carlot equivalent or less of each product		
For each additional lot of the same product		14.
Quality and condition and condition only inspections of five or more products each in quantities of 51 or more packages and unloaded from the same land or air conveyance:  For the first five products		
51 or more packages and unloaded from the same land or air conveyance:  For the first five products		
For the first five products		
For each additional product		305.
		43.
For each additional lot of any of the same product		14.
Quality and condition and condition only inspections of products each in quantities of 50 or less		
packages unloaded from the same land or air conveyance:		
		43.
		14.
Dock-side inspections of an individual product unloaded directly from the same ship:		
	ent	1.1 cents.
	ents	2.2 cents.
	ents	3.3 cents.
		14.
, ,		86.
	per hour	43 per hour.
scheduled work week.	701 110ui	To por riour.
	50 per hour	21 50 per hour
grader's regularly scheduled work week.	o per riour	21.00 per flour.

### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 51

Agricultural commodities, Food grades and standards, Fruits, Nuts, Reporting and record keeping requirements, Trees, Vegetables.

For reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR Part 51 is proposed to be amended as follows:

## PART 51—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 51 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1621-1627.

2. Section 51.21 is revised to read as follows:

## §51.21 Disposition of inspection certificates.

The original certificate, and not to exceed four copies (if requested by applicant prior to issuance), shall be delivered or mailed promptly to the applicant or to a person designated by him. One copy shall be delivered or mailed to the shipper of the inspected product. One copy shall be filed in the office of the inspector when the inspection is made by a Federal Government employee, otherwise, it

shall be filed in the appropriate office of the cooperating Federal-State Inspection Agency. Unless otherwise directed by the Administrator, two copies of each official certificate issued on products received in destination markets shall be forwarded to the Administrator to be kept on file in Washington and no copies of official certificates issued at shipping point need be so forwarded. In the case of any product covered by a marketing agreement and/or order effective pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), at least one copy of each certificate covering the inspection of such product shall, on request, be delivered to the administrative agency established thereunder, subject to such terms and conditions as the Administrator may prescribe. Copies may be furnished to other interested parties as outlined in § 51.41.

3. Section 51.38 is revised to read as follows:

### § 51.38 Basis for fees and rates.

(a) When performing inspections of product unloaded directly from land or

air transportation, the charges shall be determined on the following basis:

- (1) For products in quantities of 51 or more packages:
- (i) Quality and condition inspection of 1 to 4 products unloaded from the same conveyance:
- (A) \$86 for over a half carlot equivalent of an individual product.
- (B) \$72 for a half carlot equivalent or less of an individual product.
- (C) \$14 for each additional lot of the same product.
- (ii) Condition only inspection of 1 to 4 products unloaded from the same conveyance:
- (A) \$72 for over a half carlot equivalent of an individual product.
- (B) \$66 for a half carlot equivalent or less of an individual product.
- (C) \$14 for each additional lot of the same product.
- (iii) Quality and condition inspection and/or condition only inspection of 5 or more products unloaded from the same conveyance:
  - (A) \$305 for the first 5 products.
  - (B) \$43 for each additional product.
- (C) \$14 for each additional lot of any of the same product.

- (2) For quality and condition inspection and/or condition only inspection of products in quantities of 50 or less packages unloaded from the same conveyance:
- (i) \$43 for each individual product.(ii) \$14 for each additional lot of any of the same product.
- (b) When performing inspections of palletized products unloaded directly from sea transportation or when palletized product is first offered for inspection before being transported from the dock-side facility, charges shall be determined on the following basis:
- (1) For each package inspected according to the following rates:
- (i) 1.1 cent per package weighing less than 15 pounds;
- (ii) 2.2 cents per package weighing 15 to 29 pounds; and,
- (iii) 3.3 cents per package weighing 30 or more pounds.
- (2) \$14 for each additional lot of any of the same product.
- (3) A minimum charge of \$86 for each product inspected.
- (c) When performing inspections of products from sea containers unloaded directly from sea transportation or when palletized products unloaded directly from sea transportation are not offered for inspection at dockside, the carlot fees in § 51.38(a) shall apply.
- (d) When performing inspections for Government agencies, or for purposes other than those prescribed in the preceding paragraphs, including weight-only and freezing-only inspections, fees for inspection shall be based on the time consumed by the grader in connection with such inspections, computed at a rate of \$43 an hour: *Provided*, That:
- (1) Charges for time shall be rounded to the nearest half hour;
- (2) The minimum fee shall be two hours for weight-only inspections, and one-half hour for other inspections; and
- (3) When weight certification is provided in addition to quality and/or condition inspection, a one-hour charge shall be added to the carlot fee.
- (4) When inspections are performed to certify product compliance for Defense Personnel Support Centers, the daily or weekly charge shall be determined by multiplying the total hours consumed to conduct inspections by the hourly rate. The daily or weekly charge shall be prorated among applicants by multiplying the daily or weekly charge by the percentage of product passed and/or failed for each applicant during that day or week. Waiting time and overtime charges shall be charged directly to the applicant responsible for their incurrence.
- (e) When performing inspections at the request of the applicant during

periods which are outside the grader's regularly scheduled work week, a charge for overtime or holiday work shall be made at the rate of \$21.50 per hour or portion thereof in addition to the carlot equivalent fee, package charge, or hourly charge specified in this subpart. Overtime or holiday charges for time shall be rounded to the nearest half hour.

(f) When an inspection is delayed because product is not available or readily accessible, a charge for waiting time shall be made at the prevailing hourly rate in addition to the carlot equivalent fee, package charge, or hourly charge specified in this subpart. Waiting time shall be rounded to the nearest half hour.

Dated: December 11, 1997

### Robert C. Keeney,

Deputy Administrator, Fruit and Vegetable Programs.

[FR Doc. 97-32813 Filed 12-16-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration

### 7 CFR Parts 800 and 810

## **United States Standards for Rye**

**AGENCY:** Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration, USDA. **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Grain Inspection Service (FGIS) of the Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration (GIPSA) proposes to revise the United States Standards for Rye to certificate dockage to the nearest tenth of a percent. The current method of dockage certification rounds the actual dockage percentage down to the nearest whole percent. This method may result in understating the level of dockage up to 0.99 percent on the certificate. Certification of dockage to the nearest tenth of a percent is more precise than the current method and should enhance the marketability of U.S. Rye traded in the domestic and export markets. This change would also require the establishment of new inspection tolerances or breakpoints, as appropriate.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before February 17, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments must be submitted to George Wollam, GIPSA, USDA, Room 0623–S, Stop 3649, Washington, D.C., 20250–3649; FAX (202) 720–4628; or E-mail gwollam@fgisdc.usda.gov.

All comments received will be made available for public inspection at Room 0623 South Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C., during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27 (b)).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Wollam, address as above, telephone (202) 720–0292.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Executive Order 12866**

The Department of Agriculture is issuing this rule in conformance with Executive Order 12866.

### **Executive Order 12988**

This proposed rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This action is not intended to have a retroactive effect. The United States Grain Standards Act provides in Section 87g that no State or subdivision may require or impose any requirements or restrictions concerning the inspection, weighing, or description of grain under the Act. Otherwise, this proposed rule will not preempt any State or local laws, regulations, or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this proposed rule. There are no administrative procedures which must be exhausted prior to any judicial challenge to the provisions of this proposed rule.

### **Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification**

GIPSA has determined that this proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, as defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), because most users of the official inspection and weighing services and those entities that perform these services do not meet the requirements for small entities. Further, the regulations are applied equally to all entities.

The rye industry, including producers, handlers, exporters and processors, are the primary users of the U.S. Standards for Rye and utilize the official standards as a common trading language to market rye.

The rye industry in the United States is regional in nature, concentrated primarily in the upper midwest area. There are an estimated 10 processors of rye, utilizing a crop produced on approximately 355,000 acres in the United States. The average annual production of rye for the period 1988 through 1997 was 10,045,000 bushels. No rye has been officially inspected for export from the United States for several years.