indicated under "DATES" or at locations other than the Tulsa Field Office will not necessarily be considered in the final rulemaking or included in the Administrative Record.

#### Public Hearing

Persons wishing to speak at the public hearing should contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT by 4:00 p.m., c.s.t. on December 30, 1997. The location and time of the hearing will be arranged with those persons requesting the hearing. If no one requests an opportunity to speak at the public hearing, the hearing will not be held.

Filing of a written statement at the time of the hearing is requested as it will greatly assist the transcriber. Submission of written statements in advance of the hearing will allow OSM officials to prepare adequate responses and appropriate questions. Any disabled individual who has need for a special accommodation to attend a public hearing should contact the individual listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

The public hearing will continue on the specified date until all persons scheduled to speak have been heard. Persons in the audience who have not been scheduled to speak will be heard following those who have been scheduled. The hearing will end after all persons scheduled to speak and persons present in the audience who wish to speak have been heard.

#### Public Meeting

If only one person requests an opportunity to speak at a hearing, a public meeting, rather than a public hearing, may be held. Persons wishing to meet with OSM representatives to discuss the proposed amendment may request a meeting by contacting the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. All such meetings will be open to the public and, if possible, notices of meetings will be posted at the locations listed under ADDRESSES. A written summary of each meeting will be made a part of the Administrative Record.

## IV. Procedural Determinations

#### Executive Order 12866

This proposed rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

#### Executive Order 12988

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988

(Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State and Tribal abandoned mine land reclamation plans and revisions thereof since each such plan is drafted and promulgated by a specific State or Tribe, not by OSM. Decisions on proposed abandoned mine land reclamation plans and revisions thereof submitted by a State or Tribe are based on a determination of whether the submittal meets the requirements of Title IV of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1231-1243) and 30 CFR Parts 884 and 888.

## National Environmental Policy Act

No environmental impact statement is required for this rule since agency decisions on proposed State or Tribal abandoned mine land reclamation plans and revisions thereof are categorically excluded from compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332) by the Manual of the Department of the Interior (516 DM 6, appendix 8, paragraph 8.4B(29)).

## Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 *et seq.*).

# Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon corresponding Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions in the analyses for the corresponding Federal regulations.

### **Unfunded Mandates**

OSM has determined and certifies pursuant to the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1502 *et seq.*) that this rule will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on

local, state, or tribal governments or private entities.

#### List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 936

Abandoned mine land reclamation, Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: December 5, 1997.

### **Brent Wahlquist,**

Regional Director, Mid-Continent Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 97–32599 Filed 12–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–05–M

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[MT-001-0002b, MT-001-0003b; FRL-5934-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Montana; 1990 Base Year Carbon Monoxide Emission Inventories for Montana

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing approval of the 1990 base year carbon monoxide (CO) emission inventories for Missoula, Billings, and Great Falls that were submitted by the State to satisfy certain requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA), as amended in 1990. In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. **DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by January

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Richard R. Long, Director, Air Program (8P2–A), United States Environmental Protection

14, 1998.

Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202–2466.

Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday at the following office: United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, Air Program, 999 18th Street, suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202– 2466.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Russ, Air Program (8P2–A), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202–2466, ph. (303) 312–6479.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 3, 1997.

#### William P. Yellowtail,

Regional Administrator, Region VIII. [FR Doc. 97–32645 Filed 12–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[ND-001-0003b; FRL-5933-9]

Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; North Dakota; Control of Landfill Gas Emissions From Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to approve the North Dakota plan for implementing the Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Landfill Emission Guidelines at 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cc, which was required pursuant to section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act (Act). The State's plan, which was submitted to EPA on September 11, 1997, establishes performance standards for existing MSW landfills and provides for the implementation and enforcement of those standards.

In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's submittal in a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated

and the direct final rule will become effective. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by January 14, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to Vicki Stamper, 8P2-A, at the EPA Region VIII Office listed. Copies of the documents relevant to this proposed rule are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations: Air Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202–2466; and the North Dakota Department of Health, Division of Environmental Engineering, 1200 Missouri Avenue, room 304, Box 5520, Bismarck, North Dakota 58506–5520.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Vicki Stamper, EPA Region VIII, (303) 312–6445.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final action which is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 24, 1997.

# William P. Yellowtail,

Regional Administrator, Region VIII. [FR Doc. 97–32639 Filed 12–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

Research and Special Programs Administration

49 CFR Part 195

[Docket No. RSPA-97-2362; Notice 1]

RIN 2137-AD06

Pipeline Safety: Incorporation by Reference of Industry Standard on Leak Detection

**AGENCY:** Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA).

**ACTION:** Correction to RIN number.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects the RIN number of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking [Docket RSPA–97–2362; Notice 1], published in the **Federal Register** on October 29, 1997 (62 FR 56141). In the document heading on page 56141, the RIN number "RIN

2137–AD05" is changed to read "RIN 2137–AD06." The notice proposes to adopt as a referenced document an industry publication for pipeline leak detection, API 1130, "Computational Pipeline Monitoring."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lloyd Ulrich, (202) 366–4556.

Issued in Washington, DC on December 9, 1997.

#### Richard B. Felder,

Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety. [FR Doc. 97–32624 Filed 12–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–60–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Part 679

[Docket No. 971201282-7282-01; I.D. 102897B]

RIN 0648-AK38

Halibut Fisheries in U.S. Convention Waters Off Alaska; Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Management Measures to Reduce Seabird Bycatch in the Hook-and-Line Halibut and Groundfish Fisheries

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS proposes regulations that would require operators of vessels fishing for Pacific halibut in U.S. Convention waters off Alaska to conduct fishing operations in a specified manner and to employ specified measures intended to reduce seabird bycatch and incidental seabird mortality. This rule would also amend the regulations requiring seabird bycatch avoidance measures in the hook-and-line groundfish fisheries of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands (BSAI) and the Gulf of Alaska (GOA) to clarify one of the measures. The proposed halibut fisheries measures are intended to mitigate interactions with the shorttailed albatross (Diomedea albatrus), an endangered species protected under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), and with other seabird species in fisheries in and off Alaska.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by January 14, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to Chief, Sustainable Fisheries Division, Alaska Region, NMFS, P.O. Box 21668,