

Comment date: December 19, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

19. Additional Signatories to PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. Operating Agreement

[Docket No. ER98-703-000]

Take notice that on November 17, 1997, the PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM), filed on behalf of the Members of the LLC, membership applications of Scana Energy Marketing, Inc., and South Jersey Energy Company. PJM requests an effective on the day after received by FERC.

Comment date: December 19, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

20. Central Maine Power Company

[Docket No. ER98-704-000]

Take notice that on November 17, 1997, Central Maine Power Company, filed an amendment to its Wholesale Market Tariff, FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 4. The amendment replaces Sheet No. 4, with First Revised Sheet No. 4.

Comment date: December 19, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

21. Pennsylvania Power Company

[Docket No. ER98-705-000]

Take notice that on November 17, 1997, Pennsylvania Power Company (Penn Power), submitted a revised rate schedule for the Borough of Zelienople, Pennsylvania. The revised rate schedule incorporates the energy imbalance deviation band provided for in the Stipulation and Agreement between Ohio Edison Company, Pennsylvania Power Company and the Boroughs of Ellwood City, Grove City and Zelienople which had been submitted for filing to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission on June 30, 1997, in Docket Nos. OA96-197-000 and ER97-1719-000 and approved by the Commission by letter of October 17, 1997. The proposed effective date for the revised rate schedule is November 17, 1997. Zelienople is the only customer affected by this filing.

Copies of the filing have been provided to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission and The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

Comment date: December 19, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-32531 Filed 12-11-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 11546-000 Minnesota]

City of Thief River Falls; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

December 8, 1997.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) regulations, 18 CFR part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 F.R. 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for an original minor license for the proposed Thief River Falls, Municipal Power Dam Hydroelectric Project located on the Red Lake River in the City of Thief River Falls, Pennington County, Minnesota, and has prepared A Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) for the proposed project. In the DEA, the Commission's staff has the proposed project. In the DEA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the potential environmental impacts of the proposed project and has concluded that approval of the proposed project, with appropriate environmental measures, would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the DEA are available for review in the Public Reference Branch of the Commission's offices at 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Comments should be filed within 45 days from the date of this notice and should be addressed to Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. Please affix

Project No. 11546-000 to all comments. For further information, please contact Monte J. TerHaar at (202) 219-2768.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-32501 Filed 12-11-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Transfer of License

December 8, 1997.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. *Type of Application:* Transfer of License.

b. *Project No.:* 287-006.

c. *Date filed:* December 2, 1997.

d. *Applicants:* Hydro-Op One Associates and Midwest Hydro, Inc.

e. *Name of project:* Dayton.

f. *Location:* On the Fox River in LaSalle County, Illinois.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 791(a)-825(r).

h. *Applicant Contacts:* Mr. Robert L. Winship, Hydro-Op One Associates, c/o National Hydro, 745 Atlantic Avenue, 10th Floor, Boston, MA 02111-2735, (617) 357-9029; Mr. David B. Ward, Ward & Anderson, P.C., 1000 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W., Suite 503, Washington, DC 20007-3805, (202) 298-6910.

i. *FERC Contact:* James Hunter, (202) 219-2839.

j. *Comment Date:* January 6, 1998.

k. *Description of Transfer:* Transfer of the license for this project is being sought in connection with the sale of the project from Hydro-Op One Associates to Midwest Hydro, Inc.

l. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1, and D2.

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title “COMMENTS”, “RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS”, “PROTEST”, OR “MOTION TO INTERVENE”, as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-32500 Filed 12-11-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5487-2]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 OR (202) 564-7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed December 01, 1997 Through December 05, 1997 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 970464, Draft EIS, COE, AZ, Rio Salado Environmental Restoration of two Sites along the Salt River: (1) Phoenix Reach and (2) Tempe Reach, Feasibility Report, in the Cities of Phoenix and Tempe, Maricopa County, AZ, Due: January 26, 1998, Contact: Alex Watt (213) 452-4204.

EIS No. 970465, Revised Draft EIS, AFS, CA, Rock Creek Recreational Trails Management Plan, Implementation, Additional Information, Eldorado National Forest, Georgetown Ranger Director, Eldorado County, CA, Due:

January 26, 1998, Contact: Linda Earley (916) 333-4312.

EIS No. 970466, Final EIS, AFS, AK, Helicopter Landings within Wilderness, Implementation, Tongass National Forest, Chatham, Stikine and Ketchikan Area, AK, Due: January 12, 1998, Contact: Larry Roberts (907) 772-3841.

EIS No. 970467, Draft EIS, NPS, OR, Crater Lake National Park, Implementation of New Concession Contract for Visitor Services Plan, OR, Due: January 26, 1998, Contact: Al Kendricks (541) 594-2211.

EIS No. 970468, Draft Supplement, APH, Logs, Lumber and Other Unmanufactured Wood Articles Importation, Additional Updated Information, Improvements to the existing system to Prohibit Introduction of Plant Pests into the United States, Due: February 10, 1998, Contact: Jack Edmundson (301) 734-8565.

EIS No. 970469, Draft EIS, USN, CA, US Pacific Fleet F/A 18 E/F Aircraft for Development of Facilities to Support Basing on the West Coast of the United States, Possible Site Installations are (1) Lemoore Naval Air Station and (2) El Centro Naval Air Facility, Fresno, King and Imperial Counties, CA, Due: January 26, 1998, Contact: Surinder Sikand (415) 244-3020.

Dated: December 9, 1997.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 97-32567 Filed 12-11-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5487-3]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared November 24, 1997 Through November 28, 1997 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 11, 1997 (62 FR 16154).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-COE-K36122-CA Rating EC2, Upper Guadalupe River Feasibility Study, Flood Control Protection, Construction, National Economic Development Plan (NED), Santa Clara Valley Water District, City of San Jose, Santa Clara County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the lack of a discussion as to whether a combination of structural and non-structural features may be a reasonable alternative, whether the project would comply with applicable Water Quality Standards, and the potential impacts and mitigation measures associated with using herbicides to control vegetation under the Channel Bypass Plan. EPA recommended that the FEIS incorporate pollution prevention features in the project's design, construction and operation.

ERP No. DS-COE-G39002-00 Rating LO, Red River Chloride Control Project, Construction and Operation Methods, Updated and Additional Information, several counties TX and OK.

Summary: EPA had no objection; however, EPA recommended that the description of the no action alternative be expanded to include the economic consequences of not developing additional water supply sources to users in the Red River Basin.

ERP No. D1-COE-K35012-CA Rating EC2, Sacramento River Bank Protection Project, Implementation of Streambank Protection for the Lower American River between RM-0 and 13.7, Updated Information, City of Sacramento, Sacramento County, CA.

Summary: EPA commended the Corps for the collaborative process with Federal, State and local agencies that lead to the project's design and environmental documentation. However, EPA expressed concerns regarding potential impacts to aquatic resources. The lack of a discussions of mitigation measures to compensate for the unavoidable loss of aquatic resources. EPA asked that the FSEIS clearly indicate whether adverse impacts to aquatic resources would be avoided and minimized to the greatest extent practicable while still executing the project's purpose and need. EPA also asked that the FSEIS discuss whether the project would comply with Water Quality Standards and protect beneficial uses.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-L61214-OR, Kalmiopsis Wilderness, Approval for Motorized Vehicular Access to the Private Property within the Chetco River