

proprietary information under administrative protective order no later than 10 days after the representative's client or employer becomes a party to the proceeding, but in no event later than the date the case briefs, under 19 CFR section 355.38, are due. The Department will publish the final results of these administrative reviews, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any case or rebuttal brief or at a hearing.

These administrative reviews and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)).

Dated: December 1, 1997.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97-32214 Filed 12-8-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

List of Institutions of Higher Education Ineligible for Federal Funds

AGENCY: Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This document is published to identify institutions of higher education that are ineligible for contracts and grants by reason of a determination by the Secretary of Defense that the institution prevents military recruiter access to the campus or students or maintains a policy against ROTC. It also implements the requirements set forth in the Omnibus Consolidated Appropriations Act of 1997 and 32 CFR Part 216. Currently, a single institution is ineligible for contracts of grants, the Washington College of Law of American University, Washington, DC.

Recently, William Mitchell College of Law reported modifications to school policies sufficient to merit removal from the list of ineligible schools.

ADDRESSES: Director for Accession Policy, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Force Management Policy, 4000 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-4000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William J. Carr, (703) 697-8444.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 6, 1997 (62 FR 52091), the Department of Defense published 32 CFR part 216 as an interim rule. This rule requires that the Department of Defense semi-annually publish a list of the institutions of higher education

ineligible for Federal funds due to a policy or practice that either prohibits, or in effect prevents, the Secretary of Defense from obtaining, for military recruiting purposes, entry to campuses, access to students on campuses, access to directory information on students or that has an anti-ROTC policy. On November 18, 1997 (62 FR 61495), the Department of Defense published a list of the institutions of higher education ineligible for Federal Funding; this listing updates and supersedes that listing.

Dated: December 3, 1997.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 97-32084 Filed 12-8-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Executive Committee Meeting of the Defense Advisory Committee on Women in the Services (DACOWITS)

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Advisory Committee on Women in the Services.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 10(a), Public Law 92-463, as amended, notice is hereby given of a forthcoming Quarterly Executive Committee Meeting of the Defense Advisory Committee on Women in the Services (DACOWITS). The purpose of the Executive Committee Meeting is to provide transitional training to the incoming 1998 Executive Committee members and an awards ceremony for the 1997 Executive Committee members. The Meeting will be open to the public, unless otherwise noted below.

DATES: December 8, 1997, 9:15 a.m.-11:30 a.m.

ADDRESSES: SECDEF Conference Room 3E869, The Pentagon, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant Colonel Kay Troutt, USAF, or CDR Deborah R. Goodwin, USN, DACOWITS and Military Women Matters, OASD (Force Management Policy), 4000 Defense Pentagon, Room 3D749, Washington, DC 20301-4000; telephone (703) 697-2122.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Meeting agenda:

Monday December, 1997

Time	Event
7:10-9:00 a.m.	DACOWITS member's arrive/Breakfast (closed to public).
9:15-9:30 a.m.	Introductions (3E869—SecDef Conf Rm, open to public).
9:30-10:00 a.m.	Executive Committee Transition (open to public).
10:00-11:00 a.m. ..	Subcommittee Reviews (open to public).
11:00-11:30 a.m. ..	Executive Committee Presentations (open to public).
11:30-1:00 p.m.	Lunch (closed to public).
1:30-4:00 p.m.	Transition training for members (closed to public).

Late submission due to scheduling conflict.

Dated: December 2, 1997.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 97-32083 Filed 12-8-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

HQ USAF Scientific Advisory Board Meeting

The Spring General Board Meeting in support of the HQ USAF Scientific Advisory Board will meet in Colorado Springs, CO, on April 22-24, 1998 from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

The purpose of the meeting is to gather information and receive briefings for 1998 Summer Study.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b of Title 5, United States Code, specifically subparagraphs (1) and (4) thereof.

For further information, contact the HQ USAF Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat at (703) 697-8404.

Barbara A. Carmichael,

Alternate Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 97-32225 Filed 12-8-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Record of Decision for the Realignment of the Naval Sea Systems Command

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of record of decision.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy announces its decision to relocate the Naval Sea Systems Command from leased spaces in Arlington, Virginia to the Washington Navy Yard, Washington DC.

DATES: This Record of Decision is effective December 1, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Tom Peeling, Office of the Chief of Naval Operations (N456), Crystal Plaza #5, 2211 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA 22244, (703) 604-1232.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The text of the entire Record of Decision is provided as follows:

Notice of Record of Decision for the Realignment of the Naval Sea Systems Command.

Pursuant to the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (DBCRA), Pub.L. 101-510, Section 102(2)C of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 and the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing NEPA procedures (40 CFR 1500-1508), the Department of the Navy (Navy) announces its decision to relocate the Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEA) from leased space in Arlington, Virginia to the Washington Navy Yard (WNY) Washington, DC. The realignment will be accomplished as set out in Alternative One described in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) as the preferred alternative.

The Recommendations of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment (DBCR) Commission, which were approved by the President and accepted by the Congress, directed the Navy to relocate NAVSEA to the WNY or other Government-owned property in the metropolitan Washington, DC area. Section 2904 of the DBCRA requires the completion of this realignment no later than six years from the date the President transmitted the recommendations of the 1995 DBCR Commission to Congress. Consequently, the Navy must complete the NAVSEA realignment no later than July 2001.

In response to the 1995 DBCR Commission recommendation, the Navy established criteria for screening available sites in the metropolitan Washington, DC area. To qualify as a receiver site for NAVSEA, each site must: (1) have sufficient capacity to accommodate the office space required for the 4,100 NAVSEA employees. Based upon a detailed analysis of NAVSEA space needs, one million square feet of office and associated space is required to accommodate

NAVSEA personnel and functions; (2) have sufficient capacity to allow location of NAVSEA facilities in a single building or in a closely related complex of buildings; (3) have the capacity to meet National Capital Planning Commission (NCPC) criteria that large federal employment centers be served by public transportation and that federal development be consistent with local development plans and policies; and (4) be available to the Navy on a timely and unencumbered basis, so that NAVSEA's realignment can be completed by July 2001.

Using these criteria, the Navy evaluated eighteen Navy-owned or occupied sites in the metropolitan Washington, DC area. Of these sites, only the Washington Navy Yard met the criteria to accommodate a realigned NAVSEA. Fifteen of those sites did not have sufficient physical capacity to accommodate NAVSEA due to lack of available buildable land and were eliminated from detailed analysis. The Naval Surface Warfare Center at White Oak, Maryland had sufficient buildable land, but was eliminated from detailed analysis because it was identified for closure by the 1995 DBCR Commission. Federal Office Building 2 (Navy Annex) in Arlington, Virginia also had sufficient space, but was eliminated from detailed analysis because the facility could not be cleared of its current occupants, renovated, and re-occupied by NAVSEA by July 2001. Additionally, the Office of the Secretary of Defense owns the Navy Annex and plans to dispose of the property after renovation of the Pentagon is complete.

To determine whether other government-owned property in the metropolitan Washington, DC area would be available for NAVSEA use, the Navy sent letters to the Army and Air Force requesting that they identify any properties which could be utilized. Both services responded by letter that no suitable property in the Washington, DC metropolitan area under their ownership could be made available. Additionally, the Navy considered General Services Administration (GSA) property for potential use by NAVSEA. However, because the WNY already has been shown to have sufficient capacity to accommodate a command the size of NAVSEA, use of GSA property would be inconsistent with Federal Property Management Regulations, 41 CFR Ch 101.

While a No-Action alternative was initially identified, it was eliminated from detailed analysis because Section 2905(c) of the DBCRA expressly exempts decisions to close or realign facilities from NEPA analysis. The four

construction alternatives focused on a group of existing buildings within the western area of the WNY. The alternatives vary in the degree of renovation, demolition and new construction required. Alternative One is a mixture of renovation of existing buildings and demolition and new construction. Alternative Two includes the renovation of existing facilities to meet the office space requirement and construction of a twelve level parking garage. Alternative Three favors demolition and new construction over renovation. Alternative Four involves extensive demolition and new construction and a minor amount of renovation.

Alternative One, identified as the Preferred Alternative in the FEIS, provides excellent functionality for the NAVSEA Headquarters. Components of NAVSEA, which must work closely together, are located in a small number of tightly clustered buildings. The employee parking garage is sited directly in the center of the NAVSEA complex with optimum pedestrian access to NAVSEA occupied buildings. The garage can be laid out in a highly efficient four bay configuration, and is served on two sides by two collector streets. Although Alternative One involves potentially adverse impacts on cultural resources due to the demolition of several buildings, the Navy developed a mitigation plan for these impacts. The District of Columbia Office of Historic Preservation and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation reviewed and approved that plan. The mitigation plan is documented in a Memorandum of Understanding signed by the Navy, the District of Columbia Office of Historic Preservation and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation on 23 December 1996.

Alternative Two, which consists entirely of renovating existing WNY buildings and involves no demolition, is the environmentally preferable alternative because it minimizes adverse effects on cultural resources. However, it fragments NAVSEA offices into six separate buildings. This dispersed configuration would adversely affect the functioning of NAVSEA as a systems command headquarters. Additionally, the retention of all the existing WNY buildings leaves no suitable site for the required employee parking garage. The garage would be forced into an awkward and inefficient linear configuration allowing only one bay of parking spaces with extensive external ramping to serve its twelve levels. Also, the garage would be served by only one collector street, increasing the potential for traffic

conflicts and bottlenecks within the base.

Alternatives Three and Four were not selected because they would have greater adverse impacts on cultural resources than either Alternative One or Two and they do not offer significantly better functionality than Alternative One.

There are no significant environmental impacts resulting from implementation of Alternative One. The Navy will implement all practical means to avoid or minimize other impacts to the environment. The mitigation measures are summarized at pages 4-28 through 4-31 of the FEIS.

Comments Received on the FEIS: In response to the FEIS, the Navy received comments from one private individual, an environmental planning group associated with the General Services Administration Southeast Federal Center, and the Arlington County (Virginia) Chamber of Commerce. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) informed the Navy that it could not comment within the 30-day comment period. To date, the Navy has received no comments from EPA. Responses to similar comments are grouped by issue of concern.

All commentors expressed concern over impacts to local traffic in the vicinity of the WNY. The Navy considered traffic as one of the more important issues of concern related to the proposed action, and acquired the services of a professional traffic consultant familiar with local/regional transportation to conduct studies, analyze potential impacts and advise the Navy concerning traffic related matters. The results of these efforts are contained in the FEIS and a WNY Traffic Management Plan. Although the Navy does not have the authority to regulate off-base traffic or personal privileges of its employees with regard to travel to or from their place of employment, it will limit the development of new parking at the WNY, and modify internal circulation and increase operation of the M Street and Isaac Hull Gate to mitigate potential impacts to local traffic from the mandated realignment. The results of traffic analysis for the proposed action show that the resulting traffic related impacts will not be significant.

The Arlington County Chamber of Commerce expressed concern that the Navy Annex was not included in the FEIS as a reasonable alternative for the NAVSEA Headquarters. The Navy provided a discussion in the FEIS of the criteria and rationale used to identify reasonable alternatives and a brief discussion of the reasons for eliminating

other alternatives. As stated in the Background Section of the FEIS, office space at the Navy Annex is currently occupied by Headquarters Marine Corps and the Bureau of Naval Personnel and is therefore unavailable to meet the requirements in terms of space and timing. Utilization of Navy Annex as the receiver site for NAVSEA Headquarters is infeasible because it cannot be vacated of its existing tenants, renovated for new occupancy and re-occupied by NAVSEA within the legally mandated six year timeframe. In addition the property is owned by the Department of Defense, which does not intend to retain this building beyond the completion of the Pentagon renovation project. As a result, it is not analyzed as a feasible alternative in the FEIS.

The Arlington County Chamber of Commerce expressed concern over the adequacy of contamination studies data at the WNY relative to assessing the risks to relocated personnel, and disposal of contaminated materials encountered through project construction. Investigation of contamination at the WNY is being conducted in accordance with established procedures of the Installation Restoration Program and in coordination with the Environmental Protection Agency. These protocols are designed to guide property owners and regulators in deducing the presence of contamination and, if necessary, the appropriate remedial actions. A risk assessment for employees at the WNY, conducted in accordance with Environmental Protection Agency guidelines for contaminated sites, found that there is no significant risk to office workers at the Installation. In direct support of the DBCRA relocation, the Navy also conducted an environmental site investigation of the buildings which will be affected by the NAVSEA projects (Baker, November 1997). The report included several recommendations which will be incorporated into the construction contract to mitigate potential impacts. These mitigations are identified in Section 4.8 of the FEIS. Implementation of the recommendations/mitigation will serve to fully protect construction workers, employees at the WNY, individuals in the surrounding community and the environment. As discussed in the FEIS, specific requirements will be included in the construction contract for the NAVSEA facilities to deal with contaminated materials encountered during construction. These requirements implement applicable regulatory procedures for appropriate treatment and/or disposal of

contaminated materials should such materials be found during construction.

The Arlington County Chamber of Commerce also expressed concern that construction of facilities for NAVSEA at the WNY would impede future remediation efforts at the Installation. Surface soil contamination within the project site (former coal storage area), has been remediated. Implementation of Alternative One will not impede future remediation efforts, if required, because it does not significantly affect access to subsurface soil or ground water. Future remediation of subsurface contaminants, if required, would most likely involve a flushing method which would be unaffected by implementation of Alternative One.

The Arlington County Chamber of Commerce expressed concern over the effects of stormwater runoff from the project site. As stated in the FEIS, the project site is covered by impermeable surfaces and construction at the project site will not increase surface runoff. Surface contamination within the project site, associated with the former coal storage pit, has been remediated as part of the Installation Restoration Program. An approved Erosion and Sediment Control Plan for the project will be implemented to contain eroded materials on-site. Water associated with excavation sites will be tested and treated prior to discharge into the sanitary system in coordination with the District Water and Sewer Authority Pretreatment Office. Stormwater control structures will be incorporated into the project design in accordance with regulatory guidance. The repair and/or replacement of existing stormwater conveyances structures throughout the Installation will be completed as part of the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System Permit requirements for the Installation.

The Arlington County Chamber of Commerce expressed concern that airborne material from construction activities will impact surrounding communities. Airborne contaminants associated with project construction will be controlled through the implementation of specific plans prepared in accordance with regulatory guidance. These include an Asbestos Plan, Lead (paint) Removal and Disposal Plan, an erosion and Sediment Control Plan, and various construction related requirements such as the application of dust suppressants, wet mopping, vacuuming, wet cutting and covering open bed haul trucks. Controlling airborne pollutants at the source protects construction workers, WNY employees and the surrounding community.

The Arlington County Chamber of Commerce expressed concern that the FEIS failed to address Environmental Justice issues with regard to the effectiveness of onsite mitigation to protect the surrounding community and that implementation of the DBCRA action takes precedence over Installation Restoration Program efforts at the WNY. The protection provided to on-site personnel through implementation of project related mitigation would extend to those outside the immediate area of the project site. As discussed in the FEIS, the NAVSEA DBCRA action is separate from remediation at the WNY conducted under the Installation Restoration Program. Each has its own regulatory guidelines, scheduling and funding. Development of facilities at the WNY and implementation of the DBCRA realignment of NAVSEA has no bearing on the priority for scheduling of activities conducted under the authority of Installation Restoration Program. Moreover, the relocation of NAVSEA to the WNY will bring jobs to the area and has the potential to benefit current residents of the neighborhood.

The Navy carefully considered all comments received on the FEIS. The FEIS fully addresses all of the issues and concerns identified in the comments received on the FEIS. Therefore, no additional discussion is necessary in this Record of Decision.

Based on the analysis contained in the FEIS and support provided in the administrative record, I select Alternative One to implement the realignment of NAVSEA.

Dated: December 1, 1997.

Duncan Holaday,

*Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Navy
(Installations and Facilities).*

[FR Doc. 97-32104 Filed 12-8-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education.

ACTION: Submission for OMB review;
comment request.

SUMMARY: The Deputy Chief Information Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before January 8, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Dan Chenok, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503. Requests for copies of the proposed information collection requests should be addressed to Patrick J. Sherrill, Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W., Room 5624, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202-4651.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patrick J. Sherrill (202) 708-8196. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Deputy Chief Information Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes this notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g., new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment at the address specified above. Copies of the requests are available from Patrick J. Sherrill at the address specified above.

Dated: December 3, 1997.

Gloria Parker,

*Deputy Chief Information Officer, Office of
the Chief Information Officer.*

Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services

Type of Review: New.

Title: Uniform Data System for Assistive Technology Devices and Services.

Frequency: On occasion.

Affected Public: Individuals or households; State, local or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 1,346.

Burden Hours: 271.

Abstract: Assistive technology (AT) devices and the services can increase opportunities for education, employment, independence, and integration for persons with disabilities. However, many of these individuals have not gained access to AT, despite several federal initiatives to address their AT needs. This data collection will allow the Department of Education to assemble information on the types of AT currently used by this nation's disabled and the funding sources for these devices and services, as specified in the Technology-Related Assistance for Individuals with Disabilities Act, as amended. Subjects will represent two groups: AT service providers (e.g., district special education coordinators, vocational rehabilitation counselors, and state AT project personnel) and (B) consumers of AT devices and services.

[FR Doc. 97-32147 Filed 12-8-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

[Docket No. EA-165]

Application to Export Electric Energy; NP Energy Inc.

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, DOE.

AGENCY: Notice of application.

SUMMARY: NP Energy Inc. (NP Energy), a power marketer, has submitted an application to export electric energy to Canada pursuant to section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act.

DATES: Comments, protests or requests to intervene must be submitted on or before January 8, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments, protests or requests to intervene should be addressed as follows: Office of Coal & Power Im/Ex (FE-27), Office of Fossil Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585-0350 (FAX 202-287-5736).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ellen Russell (Program Office) 202-586-9624 or Diane Stubbs (Program Attorney) 202-586-6667.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Exports of electricity from the United States to a