

reservoirs, and transmission lines or other facilities necessary for the development, transmission and utilization of power from bodies of water Congress has jurisdiction over. Section 8 of the FPA provides that the voluntary transfer of any license can only be made with the written approval of the Commission. Any successor to the licensee may assign the rights of the original licensee, but is subject to all of the conditions of the license. The information is collected in the form of a written application for transfer of a license, executed jointly by the parties to the proposed transfer. It is used by the Commission staff to determine the qualifications of the proposed transferee to hold the license, and to prepare the transfer of the license order. Respondent Description: The respondent universe currently comprises on average, 23 applicants for transfer of a hydro electric license.

6. *Estimated Burden*: 920 total burden hours, 23 respondents, 1 response annually, 40 hours per response (average).

7. *Estimated Cost Burden to Respondents*: 920 hours ÷ 2,087 hours per year × \$110,000 per year = \$48,491.

Statutory Authority: Sections 4(e), 8 of the Federal Power Act (FPA), 16 U.S.C. 791a *et seq.*

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-31066 Filed 11-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Information Collection Submitted for Review and Request for Comments (FERC-515)

November 21, 1997.

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of submission for review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) has submitted the energy information collection listed in this notice to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under provisions of Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13). Any interested person may file comments on the collection of information directly with OMB and should address a copy of those

comments to the Commission as explained below. The Commission received no comments in response to an earlier **Federal Register** notice of August 21, 1997 (62 FR 44463) and has made this notation in its submission to OMB.

DATES: Comments regarding this collection of information are best assured of having their full effect if received on or before December 26, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Address comments to Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Desk Officer, 726 Jackson Place, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20503. A copy of the comments should also be sent to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Division of Information Services, Attention: Mr. Michael Miller, 888 First Street N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael P. Miller may be reached by telephone at (202) 208-1415, by fax at (202) 273-0873, and by e-mail at mmiller@ferc.fed.us.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Description

The energy information collection submitted to OMB for review contains:

1. *Collection of Information*: FERC-515 "Hydropower License-Declaration of Intention."
2. *Sponsor*: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
3. *Control No.*: OMB No. 1902-0079.

The Commission is now requesting that OMB approve a three-year extension of the current expiration date, with no changes to the existing collection. There is an increase in the reporting burden due to an increase in the number of applicants who intend to undertake hydroelectric projects. These are mandatory collection requirements.

4. *Necessity of Collection of Information*: Submission of the information is necessary to enable the Commission to carry out its responsibilities in implementing the provisions of the Federal Power Act (FPA). The information reported under commission identifier FERC-515 is filed in accordance with Sections 23(b) of the FPA. Section 23(b) of the FPA authorizes the Commission to make a determination as to whether it has jurisdiction over a proposed hydroelectric project. Section 23(b) also requires that any person intending to construct project works on a navigable commerce clause water must file a declaration of their intention to do so with the Commission. If the Commission finds the proposed project

will have an impact on "interstate or foreign commerce", then the person intending to construct the project must obtain a Commission license or exemption before starting constructions. Such sites are generally on streams defined as U.S. navigation waters, and over which the Commission has jurisdiction under its authority to regulate foreign and interstate commerce. The information is collected in the form of a written application, declaring the applicant's intent and used by Commission staff to research the jurisdictional aspects of the project. This research includes examining maps and land ownership records to establish whether or not there is Federal jurisdiction over the lands and waters affected by the project. A finding of non-jurisdiction by the Commission eliminates a substantial paperwork burden for an applicant who might otherwise have to file a license or exemption application.

5. *Respondent Description*: The respondent universe currently comprises on average, 10 applicants for a declaration of intention ("DI").

6. *Estimated Burden*: 800 total burden hours, 10 respondents, 1 response annually, 80 hours per response (average).

7. *Estimated Cost Burden to Respondents*: 800 hours ÷ 2,087 hours per year × \$110,000 per year = \$42,166, average cost per respondent = \$4,216.

Statutory Authority: Sections 23(b), of the Federal Power Act (FPA), 16 U.S.C. 617.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-31067 Filed 11-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP96-190-011]

Colorado Interstate Gas Company; Notice of Tariff Compliance Filing

November 20, 1997.

Take notice that on November 17, 1997, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the tariff sheets listed on Appendix A to the filing, to be effective October 1, 1997 and November 1, 1997, as applicable.

CIG states that on March 29, 1996, in Docket No. RP96-190-000, it filed to implement a general rate increase applicable to its transportation and storage services. Intensive settlement

discussions resulted in CIG filing on August 27, 1997, an Offer of Settlement (August 27 Settlement) which was supported or unopposed by all parties to the proceeding. CIG states it included pro forma tariff sheets as part of the August 27 Settlement. An order issued on October 16, 1997, in Docket No. RP96-190-009 approving the August 27 Settlement as a fair and reasonable resolution of the issues in the proceeding.

CIG further states that Section 2.10 of the August 27 Settlement provides for a filing to implement the terms of the August 27 Settlement on an interim basis pending Commission approval of the August 27 Settlement. On September 16, 1997, CIG filed tariff sheets to implement the August 27 Settlement on an interim basis. The tariff sheets that accompanied the interim filing were the same as the pro forma tariff sheets filed in the August 27 Settlement except they were filed as actual tariff sheets and on each of the filed tariff sheets there was a paragraph that would allow reinstatement of the superseded tariff sheet if the August 27 Settlement did not become effective.

CIG states it is filing to remove this paragraph from its tariff sheets as it is no longer necessary.

CIG states it filed on October 1, 1997, in Docket No. RP97-63-006, Sixth Revised Sheet No. 233, Second Revised Sheet No. 233A, Fifth Revised Sheet No. 234, Second Revised Sheet No. 234A and Fourth Revised Sheet No. 301. All these sheets were filed with the August 27 Settlement with the Section 2.10 paragraph included. CIG is filing substitute tariff sheets to remove this paragraph from both the interim tariff sheets and the RP97-63-006 tariff sheets.

Further, in Docket No. RP97-63-006 CIG states it incorrectly filed First Revised Sheet No. 281B, First Revised Sheet No. 281C and Original Sheet No. 281D. CIG is filing here to correct this pagination error. These sheets should have been filed in Docket No. RP97-63-006 as Second Revised Sheet No. 281B, Second Revised Sheet No. 281C and First Revised Sheet No. 281D. CIG is also filing Original Sheet No. 234D, which is the "No Notice and Firm Storage Service Reservoir Inventory Limit", which was inadvertently omitted when filing tariff sheets for Docket No. RP97-63-006. CIG states it has also reinstated nomination language referring to HUB Nominations on Second Revised Sheet No. 281B.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC

20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Regulations. All such protest must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-31006 Filed 11-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-87-000]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

November 20, 1997.

Take notice that on November 12, 1997, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314-1599, filed in Docket No. CP98-87-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.216) for authorization to abandon by retirement approximately 1.1 miles of 8-inch pipeline located in Hancock County, West Virginia, under Columbia's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-76-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia proposes to abandon a section of lateral transmission Line 306 consisting of approximately 1.1 miles of 8-inch pipeline and appurtenances in Hancock County. Columbia was authorized to own and operate the facilities proposed for abandonment in Docket No. CP71-132 and Columbia has stated that there are no points of delivery from this Line 306 section. According to Columbia, the Line 306 section for which abandonment authority is requested is an uncoated, low pressure pipeline in need of replacement and cathodic protection due to its deteriorating condition. The proposed abandonment will avoid both annual operation and maintenance expenses as well as the costs of future

pipeline replacement. Columbia states that these predictable and certain savings make the abandonment of this section of Line 306 the most practical and least costly alternative to Columbia without impacting Columbia's ability to render service.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-31001 Filed 11-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-91-000]

Florida Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

November 20, 1997.

Take notice that on November 14, 1997, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT), 1400 Smith Street, P.O. Box 1188, Houston, Texas 77251-1188, filed in Docket No. CP98-91-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 18 CFR 157.212) for authorization to construct, own, and operate a lateral and a new meter station in Pasco County, Florida, under FGT's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-553-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

FGT proposes to construct a new meter station to serve Florida Power Corporation (FPC) at the FPC Anmclote Plant and a new lateral to extend from FGT's 30-inch West leg to the FPC Anclote Plant. The meter station is expected to accommodate the current