paragraph (m) of 40 CFR 52.2454 to EPA Region III at the same time the notice is being forwarded for publication in the newspaper.

c. The VADEQ will forward to EPA Region III copies of the final PSD permit and any future permit modifications at the time of issuance.

7. The VADEQ will obtain prior EPA concurrence on any matter involving the interpretation of sections 160–169 of the Clean Air Act or 40 CFR 52.2454 to the extent that implementation, review, administration or enforcement of these sections have not been covered by determinations or guidance sent by EPA to the VADEQ.

8. This delegation of authority should not be construed as a transfer of PSD responsibility under section 110(a)(2)(J) of the Clean Air Act, since such a transfer would involve different procedures and considerations.

Delegation: Pursuant to the authority delegated to him by the Administrator, the Regional Administrator is formally notifying the Director of the VADEQ that the Commonwealth is hereby delegated the authority to implement and enforce the site-specific PSD rule for the Merck Stonewall Plant, 40 CFR 52.2454, as of the publication date of this notice.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

A. Effective Date

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3) and 42 U.S.C. 6930(b)(3), the Regional Administrator finds good cause for making this delegation of authority effective immediately because it is an administrative change and not one of substantive content. Further, the Merck & Co., Inc. Stonewall Plant is the only regulated entity affected by this delegation. Merck has full notice of this delegation and is prepared to comply immediately with the permit to be issued expeditiously under the rule that is being delegated to the Commonwealth of Virginia.

B. Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this regulatory action from E.O. 12866 review.

C. Regulatory Flexibility Act

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 600 *et seq.*, EPA must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis assessing the impact of any proposed or final rule on small entities. 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604. Alternatively, EPA may certify that the rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and government entities with jurisdiction over populations of less than 50,000. This delegation would not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because it only affects one source, the Merck Stonewall Plant, which is not a small entity. Therefore, EPA certifies that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

D. Paperwork Reduction Act

This action applies only to one company, and therefore requires no information collection activities subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act, and therefore no information collection request (ICR) will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA), P.L. 104–4, establishes requirements for Federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions on State, local, and tribal governments and the private sector. Under section 202 of the UMRA, EPA generally must prepare a written statement, including a cost-benefit analysis, for proposed and final rules with ''Federal mandates'' that may result in expenditures to State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or to the private sector, of $100 million or more in any one year. Before EPA establishes any regulatory requirements that may significantly or uniquely affect small governments, including tribal governments, it must have developed under section 203 of the UMRA a small government agency plan.

As noted above, this delegation is limited to Merck's facility in Elkton, Virginia. EPA has determined that this delegation contains no regulatory requirements that might significantly or uniquely affect small governments. EPA has also determined that this delegation does not contain a Federal mandate that may result in expenditures of $100 million or more for State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or the private sector in any one year. Thus, today's delegation is not subject to the requirements of sections 202 and 205 of the UMRA.

Dated: November 17, 1997.

W. Michael McCabe,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 97–30811 Filed 11–21–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–5926–5]

Notice of Availability of and Initiation of a 30 Day Public Comment Period for Two Administrative Orders on Consent for de minimis Waste Contributors and One Administrative Order on Consent for a de micromis Waste Contributor Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA)

Notice is hereby given that on October 15, 1997, 3 administrative orders on consent (''Orders'') between the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII and various parties potentially responsible for costs incurred by the United States for cleaning up the Summitville Mine Superfund Site (collectively, ''the Settling Parties'') were approved by the Assistant Attorney General of the Department of Justice, Environment and Natural Resources Division, on behalf of the Attorney General of the United States.

Because of the minimal nature, by volume and toxicity, of the hazardous substances allegedly contributed by the Settling Parties to the Site, EPA determined that the Settling Parties are eligible for either a *de minimis* or *de micromis* settlement in accordance with Section 122(g) of CERCLA.

The first settlement is a *de micromis* Order with Newmont Exploration Limited, Newmont Mining Corporation, and Newmont Gold Company (collectively, ''Newmont''). It settles Newmont's potential liabilities under CERCLA Sections 106 and 107 and RCRA Section 7003 for extremely limited historic exploration activities Newmont undertook at the Site. Because of the minuscule nature of Newmont's contribution of waste at the Site, and in accordance with EPA guidance, EPA is entering into this without requiring the payment of a settlement amount.

EPA is also entering into 2 *de minimis* Orders—one with ASARCO, Inc. and one with ARCO Environmental Remediation, L.L.C. These Orders settle ARCO and ASARCO's potential liabilities under CERCLA Sections 106 and 107 and RCRA Section 7003 for the limited historic exploration activities they undertook at the Site. ASARCO and ARCO are paying the United States settlement amounts of $86,052.73 and $95,000, respectively. All 3 Orders are based on the respective applicable EPA model Orders.

EPA Region VIII will receive comments relating to the proposed

Orders for a period of thirty days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to Nancy Mangone, Enforcement Attorney (8ENF–L), U.S. EPA Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Denver, Colorado 80202 and should refer to the Summitville Mine Superfund Site, EPA Docket Nos. CERCLA–VIII–98–02, CERCLA–VIII–98–03, and CERCLA–VIII–98–04. In accordance with Section 7003(d) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6973(d), commenters may request a public meeting in the affected areas.

The proposed Orders may be examined in person at the Superfund Records Center, EPA Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202, (303) 312–6489. A copy of each Order may also be obtained by mail from the EPA Region VIII Superfund Records Center (8EPR–PS) at the address listed above. In requesting a copy, please refer to the referenced case and number. There is no cost for requesting this document.

Carol Rushin,

Assistant Regional Administrator, Office of Enforcement, Compliance and Environmental Justice, U.S. EPA Region VIII.

CERCLA Section 122(g)(4) De Micromis Administrative Order on Consent

In the Matter of: Summitville Mine Superfund Site, Site No. Y3; Newmont Exploration Limited, Newmont Gold Company, and Newmont Mining Corporation; Respondents.

Proceeding under section 122(g)(4) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. § 9622(g)(4)). EPA Docket Number CERCLA–VIII–98–02.

I. Jurisdiction

1. This Administrative Order on Consent (''Consent Order'' or ''Order'') is issued pursuant to the authority vested in the President of the United States by Section 122(g)(4) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(4), to reach settlements in actions under section 106 or 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 or 9607. The authority vested in the President has been delegated to the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) by Executive Order 12580, 52 FR 2923 (Jan. 29, 1987), and further delegated to the Regional Administrators of the EPA by EPA Delegation No. 14–14–E. This authority has been redelegated to the Assistant Regional Administrator for Enforcement, Compliance and Environmental Justice.

2. This Order is issued to Newmont Exploration Limited, Newmont Mining Corporation, and Newmont Gold Company (Respondents). The Respondents consent to and will not contest EPA's jurisdiction to issue this Consent Order or to implement or enforce its terms.

II. Statement of Purpose

3. By entering into this Consent Order, the mutual objectives of the Parties are:

a. to reach a final *de micromis* settlement between the Parties with respect to the Site pursuant to Section 122(g) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g), which resolves Respondents potential civil liability under Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607 and Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6973, for injunctive relief with regard to the Site, and for response costs incurred and to be incurred at or in connection with the Site, thereby reducing litigation relating to the Site;

b. to simplify any remaining administrative and judicial enforcement activities concerning the Site by eliminating the potentially responsible parties covered by this Order from further involvement at the Site; and

c. to protect Respondents, and to the extent provided herein, their affliates, successors and assigns, from any lawsuit a potentially responsible party could bring against them for response costs incurred and to be incurred at or in connection with the Site and to provide full and complete contribution protection for Respondents, and to the extent provided herein, their affliates, successors and assigns, with regard to the Site pursuant to Sections 122(f)(2) and 122(g)(5) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(f)(2) and 9622(g)(5).

III. Definitions

Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Consent Order that are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in the statute or regulations. Whenever the terms listed below are used in this Consent Order, the following definitions shall apply:

CERCLA shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9601, *et seq.*

Consent Order or *Order* shall mean this Administrative Order on Consent and all appendices attached hereto. In the event of conflict between this Order and any appendix, the Order shall control.

Day shall mean a calendar day. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday or federal holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next working day.

EPA shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency and any successor departments or agencies.

EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund shall mean the Hazardous Substance Superfund established by the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. 9507.

Information currently known to the United States shall mean that information and those documents contained in the Administrative Record and Site File for the Site as of the effective date of this Order.

New Information shall mean information not contained in the Administrative Record or Site File for the Site as of the effective date of this Order.

Paragraph shall mean a portion of this Consent Order identified by an Arabic numeral.

Parties shall mean EPA and the Respondents.

Respondents shall mean Newmont Exploration Limited, Newmont Mining Corporation, and Newmont Gold Company.

Response Costs shall mean all costs of ''response'' as that term is defined by Section 101(25) of CERCLA.

Section shall mean a portion of this Consent Order identified by a Roman numeral.

Site shall mean the Summitville Mine Superfund Site Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Area within Rio Grande County, Colorado. Approximately 550 acres of the Site, known as the Summitville Minesite, have been disturbed by mining activities and are currently undergoing remedial action. As depicted on the map attached as Appendix A, the Site consists of portions of the Alamosa River Watershed EPA believes may have been impacted by releases of hazardous substances from the Summitville Minesite. More specifically, the Site includes the following areas: Area 1—*Summitville Mine Site*—The area within the mine permit boundaries; Area 2—*Wightman Fork*-The Wightman Fork and associated wetlands between the down stream mine permit boundary to the confluence with the Alamosa River; Area 3—*Alamosa River*-The Alamosa River and associated wetlands from the confluence with the Wightman Fork downstream to the inlet of the Terrace Reservoir; Area 4—*Terrace Reservoir*-The area which contains the Terrace Reservoir; and Area 5—*Below Terrace*

Reservoir-The area below the Terrace Reservoir which has been impacted by contamination transported by the Alamosa River and irrigation canals.

United States shall mean the United States of America, including its departments, agencies and instrumentalities.

IV. Statement of Facts

4. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) initiated removal response actions at the Site on December 18, 1992 to address releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances into the Alamosa River and surrounding environment pursuant to the President's authority under Sections 104 and 106 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, Pub. L. 99–499, 42 U.S.C. 9604 and 9606 (CERCLA).

5. On May 31, 1994, EPA listed the Site on the National Priorities List as a result of releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances at or from the Site.

6. On December 15, 1994, EPA issued four Interim Records of Decision selecting the interim remedial actions to be implemented for the following activities and/or areas at the Summitville Mine Site: Water Treatment (WT IROD), Reclamation, the Heap Leach Pad (HLP IROD) and the Cropsy Waste Pile, Beaver Mud Dump/ Summitville Dam Impoundment, and Mine Pits (CWP IROD).

7. As of March 31, 1997, the United States incurred approximately $109 million in response costs responding to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at or in connection with the Site. The United States continues to incur response costs in responding to the release or threat of release of hazardous substances at or in connection with the Site.

8. Newmont Exploration Limited (NEL) conducted extremely limited exploration activities at the Site. NEL was previously a wholly owned subsidiary of Newmont Mining Corporation and is currently a wholly owned subsidiary of Newmont Gold Company.

9. Newmont Exploration Limited leased some property within the Site for approximately seven months from June 1953 to January 1954. Pursuant to the lease, limited exploratory activities were conducted, including conducting nonintrusive geophysical surveys of the area, collecting small surface soil and rock samples for assaying, drilling approximately nine small diameter exploratory holes and conducting limited reconnaissance examinations of portions of the underground mine workings. Exploratory drilling activities such as those conducted at the Site are designed to collect core samples to evaluate the geology of the area. Respondents assert that such exploratory activities did not generate mine wastes.

10. The total volume of waste rock, tailings and other mine waste (including the Heap Leach Pad) requiring remediation at the Site is approximately 11 million cubic yards. According to the WT IROD, approximately 321,000 pounds of copper per year, if left untreated, would contaminate the receiving waters surrounding the Site, including the Wightman Fork and Alamosa River. EPA has determined parties are eligible for a *de minimis* settlement if their contribution of mine waste and metals loading is equal to or less than 3% of the total volume of hazardous substances contributed to each of these media. The Respondents' contribution of hazardous substances to these media is below the 3% *de minimis* cut-off established by EPA for the Site. *De micromis* parties are parties that have generated less than .0001% of the hazardous substances found at the Site. Respondents' alleged contribution is less than .0001% of the hazardous substances found at the Site.

11. Based on information currently known to the United States, EPA has calculated the Respondents' *de micromis* eligibility as follows: Respondents assert that the activities of NEL did not contribute any mine wastes to the Site. Even assuming a worst case scenario where all of the materials generated by NEL's exploration activity were deposited at the Site, EPA has estimated that the amount of hazardous substances allegedly contributed to the Site by Respondents constitutes substantially less than .0001% of the total volume of waste rock, tailings or mine waste requiring remediation at the Site. EPA has also determined that the Respondents' activities have not contributed any copper loading to the waters at or emanating from the Site.

12. The material allegedly generated and disposed of by the Respondents therefore involves only a minuscule portion of the total hazardous substances generated or disposed of at the Site. EPA has also concluded that the hazardous substances allegedly contributed to the Site by Respondents are not significantly more toxic or of significantly greater hazardous effect than other hazardous substances at the Site.

13. EPA estimates that the total response costs incurred and to be incurred at or in connection with the Site by the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund will be $152 million. EPA has determined that the amount of waste which may have been contributed to the Site by the Respondents is so minor that it would be inequitable to require them to help finance or perform cleanup at the Site.

V. Determination

14. Based upon the Statement of Facts set forth above and on the information currently known to the United States, EPA has determined that:

(1) The Site is a ''facility'' as that term is defined in Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9601(9).

(2) Each of the Respondents is a ''person'' as that term is defined in Section 101(21) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9601(21).

(3) Each of the Respondents may be a ''potentially responsible party'' within the meaning of Section 122(g)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1).

(4) There has been an actual or threatened ''release'' of a ''hazardous substance'' from the Site as those terms are defined in Sections 101 (22) and (14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9601 (22) and (14).

(5) The amount of hazardous substances contributed to the Site by the Respondents and the toxic or other hazardous effects of the hazardous substances contributed to the Site by the Respondents are minuscule in comparison to other hazardous substances at the Site within the meaning of Section 122(g)(1)(A) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1)(A).

(6) Respondents are eligible for a *de micromis* settlement because they have contributed no more than a minuscule amount of hazardous substance, if any, to the Site.

(7) The terms of this Consent Order are consistent with EPA policy and guidance for settlements with *de micromis* waste contributors, including but not limited to, ''Revised Guidance on CERCLA Settlements with De Micromis Waste Contribution,'' OSWER Directive #9834.17 (June 3, 1996).

(8) Prompt final settlement with the Respondents is practicable and in the public interest within the meaning of Section 122(g)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1).

(9) The settlement of this case without litigation and without the admission or adjudication of any issue of fact or law is the most appropriate means of resolving any liability that the Respondents may have for response actions and response costs with respect

to all releases or threatened releases at or in connection with the Site.

VI. Order

15. Based upon the Information currently known to the United States and the Statement of Facts and Determinations set forth above, and in consideration of the promises and covenants set forth herein, the following is hereby *Agreed to and Ordered;*

VII. Parties Bound

16. This Consent Order shall apply to and be binding upon EPA and upon Respondents and their successors and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate or other legal status of the Respondents including, but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter such Respondents' responsibilities under this Consent Order. Each signatory to this Consent Order certifies that he or she is authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Order and to execute and bind legally the party represented by him or her.

VIII. Certification of Respondents

17. By signing this Consent Order, the Respondents certify that, to the best of their knowledge and belief, they have:

i. conducted a thorough, comprehensive, good faith search for documents, and have fully and accurately disclosed to EPA, all non-privileged documents currently in their possession, or in the possession of their officers, directors, employees, contractors or agents, which relate in any way to their liabilities under CERCLA and RCRA for ownership, operation, exploration activities or control of the Site;

ii. not altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed, or otherwise disposed of any records, documents, or other information relating to their potential CERCLA and RCRA liabilities regarding the Site after notification of such potential liabilities; and

iii. fully complied to EPA's satisfaction with any and all EPA requests for information pursuant to Sections 104(e) and 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9604(e) and 9622(e).

IX. Covenants Not To Sue

18. a. Except as provided in Section X (Reservation of Rights) of this Order, the United States covenants not to sue or take any other civil or administrative action against the Respondents for reimbursement of response costs or for injunctive relief pursuant to Section 106 or 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 or 9607(a) or Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6973, relating to the Site.

b. The United States' covenant not to sue extends to Respondents and to their affiliates, successors and assigns, but only to the extent that the liability of such affiliates, successors and assigns is derivative of Respondents' liability for those acts set forth in Paragraph 9, Section IV of this Order. The United States' covenant not to sue does not extend to any other person.

X. Reservation of Rights

19. The covenant not to sue by the United States set forth in Paragraph 18 of this Order does not pertain to any matters other than those expressly specified in Paragraph 18. The United States reserves, and this Order is without prejudice to, all rights against the Respondents with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to the following:

(a) criminal liability;

(b) any liability against Respondents that results from their future disposal activities at the Site; or

(c) liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, including any cost of assessing the injury to, destruction of, or loss of such natural resources.

20. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Consent Order, the United States reserves, and this Consent Order is without prejudice to, the right to institute judicial or administrative proceedings against the Respondents seeking to compel Respondents to perform response actions at the Site and/or to reimburse the United States for response costs if New Information is discovered that the Respondents no longer qualify for a *de micromis* settlement under the criteria stated in Paragraphs 10–12 of this Order.

21. For purposes of Paragraph 20, ''New Information'' shall not include any recalculation of the total volume of waste rock, tailings or mine waste containing hazardous substances requiring remediation at the Site based solely on Information currently known to the United States.

XI. Covenant Not To Sue By Respondents

22. The Respondents covenant not to sue and agree not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States, or its contractors or employees with respect to the Site or this Order, including, but not limited to:

i. any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. 9507) through Sections 106(b)(2) , 111, 112 or 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606(b)(2) , 9611, 9612 or 9613;

ii. any claim arising out of response activities at the Site; and

iii. any claim against the United States pursuant to Sections 107 or 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607 or 9613, relating to the Site.

23. Nothing in this Order shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9611, or 40 CFR 300.700(d).

24. The Respondents also waive any challenge they may have to any response action selected in any Action Memorandum, Interim Record of Decision or final Record of Decision for the Site.

XII. Effect of Settlement; Contribution Protection

25. Nothing in this Order shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a party to this Order. The preceding sentence shall not be construed to waive or nullify any rights that any person not a signatory to this Order may have under applicable law. The United States and the Respondents each reserve any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands and causes of action which each party may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person not a party hereto.

26. Respondents consent and agree to comply with and be bound by the term of this Order. The United States and the Respondents agree that this Order, Respondents' consent to this Order and actions in accordance with this Order shall not in any way constitute or be construed as an admission of any liability by Respondents or of any legal or factual matters set forth in this Order. Further, neither this Order, Respondents' consent to this Order, nor Respondents' actions in accordance with this Order shall be admissible in evidence against Respondents without their consent, except in a proceeding to enforce this Order. Respondents do not admit, and retain the right to controvert in any subsequent proceedings other than proceedings to implement or enforce this Consent Order, the validity of the Statement of Facts and Determinations contained in this Consent Order.

27. With regard to claims for contribution against Respondents and their affiliates, successors and assigns for matters addressed by this Order, the Parties hereto agree that Respondents

and their affiliates, successors and assigns are entitled, as of the effective date this Order, to such protection from contribution actions or claims as is provided by Sections 113(f)(2) and 122(g)(5) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9613(f)(2) and 9622(g)(5) for ''matters addressed'' in this Consent Order. ''Matters addressed'' by this Order shall include all claims the United States has taken or brought or could bring or any other civil or administrative action the United States could take against Respondents, or their affiliates, successors and assigns only to the extent that their liability is derivative of Respondents' liability for those acts set forth in Paragraph 9, Section IV of this Order, for injunctive relief or for reimbursement of response costs pursuant to Section 106 or 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 or 9607(a) or Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6973, related to the Site.

XIII. Public Comment

28. This Order shall be subject to a thirty-day public comment period in accordance with Section 122(i) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(i). In accordance with Section 122(i)(3), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i)(3), EPA may withdraw or modify its consent to this order if comments received disclose any facts or considerations which indicate that this Order is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate.

XIV. Attorney General Approval

29. The Attorney General or her designee has approved the settlement embodied in this order in accordance with Section 122(g)(4) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(4).

XV. Effective Date

30. The effective date of this Order shall be the date upon which the Assistant Regional Administrator, EPA Region VIII notifies the Respondents that the public comment period undertaken pursuant to Paragraph 28 of this Order has closed and that comments received, if any, do not require EPA's withdrawal from or the modification of any terms of this Order.

It is so agreed:

Newmont Mining Corporation, Newmont Exploration Limited and Newmont Gold Company.

Dated: July 28, 1997.

Joy E. Hansen,

Vice President.

It is so ordered and agreed:

Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII.

Dated: September 2, 1997.

Martin Hestmark, for Carol Rushin,

Assistant Regional Administrator, Office of Enforcement, Compliance and Environmental Justice.

CERCLA Section 122(g)(4) De Minimis Waste Contributor Administrative Order

In The Matter Of: Summitville Mine Superfund Site, Site No. 08–Y3; ARCO Environmental Remediation, L.L.C.; Respondent.

Proceeding Under Section 122(g)(4) Of The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, And Liability Act, As Amended (42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(4)). EPA Docket Number CERCLA–VIII–98–03.

I. Jurisdiction

1. This Administrative Order on Consent (Consent Order or Order) is issued pursuant to the authority vested in the President of the United States by Section 122(g)(4) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(4), to reach settlements in actions under Section 106 or 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 or 9607. The authority vested in the President has been delegated to the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) by Executive Order 12580, 52 FR 2923 (Jan. 29, 1987), and further delegated to the Regional Administrators of the EPA by EPA Delegation No. 14–14–E. This authority has been redelegated to the Assistant Regional Administrator for Ecosystems Protection and Remediation.

2. This Order is issued to ARCO Environmental Remediation, L.L.C. (Respondent). The Respondent agrees to undertake all actions required by this Consent Order. The Respondent further consents to and will not contest EPA's jurisdiction to issue this Consent Order or to implement or enforce its terms.

II. Statement of Purpose

3. By entering into this Consent Order, the mutual objectives of the Parties are:

a. to reach a final settlement between the Parties with respect to the Site pursuant to Section 122(g) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g), that allows Respondent to make a cash payment, including a premium, to resolve its alleged civil liability under Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607 and Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6973, for injunctive relief with regard to the Site, and for response costs incurred and to be incurred at or in connection with the Site, thereby reducing litigation relating to the Site;

b. to simplify any remaining administrative and judicial enforcement activities concerning the Site by eliminating one of the potentially responsible parties from further involvement at the Site; and

c. to obtain settlement with Respondent for its fair share, as determined by EPA, of response costs incurred and to be incurred at or in connection with the Site by the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund, and to provide full and complete contribution protection for Respondent with regard to the Site pursuant to Sections 122(f)(2) and 122(g)(5) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(f)(2) and 9622(g)(5).

III. Definitions

Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Consent Order that are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in the statute or regulations. Whenever the terms listed below are used in this Consent Order, the following definitions shall apply:

CERCLA shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9601, *et seq.*

Consent Order or *Order* shall mean this Administrative Order on Consent and all appendices attached hereto. In the event of conflict between this Order and any appendix, the Order shall control.

Day shall mean a calendar day. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or federal holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next working day.

EPA shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency and any successor departments or agencies.

EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund shall mean the Hazardous Substance Superfund established by the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. 9507.

Information currently known to the United States shall mean that information and those documents contained in the Administrative Record and Site File for the Site as of the effective date of this Order.

Interest shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund established by 26 U.S.C. 9507, compounded on October 1 of each year, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. 9607(a).

New Information shall mean information not contained in the Administrative Record or Site File for the Site as of the effective date of this Order.

Paragraph shall mean a portion of this Consent Order identified by an Arabic numeral.

Parties shall mean EPA and the Respondent.

Respondent shall mean ARCO Environmental Remediation, L.L.C.

Response Costs shall mean all costs of ''response'' as that term is defined by Section 101(25) of CERCLA.

Section shall mean a portion of this Consent Order identified by a roman numeral.

Site shall mean the Summitville Mine Superfund Site Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Area within Rio Grande County, Colorado. Approximately 550 acres of the Site, known as the Summitville Minesite, have been disturbed by mining activities and is currently undergoing remedial action. As depicted on the map attached as Appendix A, the Site consists of portions of the Alamosa River Watershed EPA believes may have been impacted by releases of hazardous substances from the Summitville Minesite. More specifically, the Site includes the following areas: Area 1-*Summitville Mine Site*—The area within the mine permit boundaries; Area 2-*Wightman Fork*—The Wightman Fork and associated wetlands between the down stream mine permit boundary to the confluence with the Alamosa River; Area 3-*Alamosa River*—The Alamosa River and associated wetlands from the confluence with the Wightman Fork downstream to the inlet of the Terrace Reservoir; Area 4-*Terrace Reservoir*—The area which contains the Terrace Reservoir; and Area 5-*Below Terrace Reservoir*—The area below the Terrace Reservoir which has been impacted by contamination transported by the Alamosa River and irrigation canals.

United States shall mean the United States of America, including its departments, agencies and instrumentalities.

IV. Statement of Facts

EPA's Response Actions and Costs

4. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) initiated removal response actions at the Site on December 18, 1992 to address releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances into the Alamosa River and surrounding environment pursuant to the President's authority under Sections 104 and 106 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, Pub. L. 99–499, 42 U.S.C. 9604 and 9606(a) (CERCLA).

5. On May 31, 1994, EPA listed the Site on the National Priorities List as a result of releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances at or from the Site.

6. On December 15, 1994, EPA issued 4 Interim Records of Decision selecting the interim remedial actions to be implemented for the following activities and/or areas at the Summitville Mine Site: Water Treatment (WT IROD), Reclamation, the Heap Leach Pad (HLP IROD) and the Cropsy Waste Pile, Beaver Mud Dump/Summitville Dam Impoundment, and Mine Pits (CWP IROD).

7. As of March 31, 1997, the United States had incurred approximately $109 million in response costs responding to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at or in connection with the Site. The United States continues to incur response costs in responding to the release or threat of release of hazardous substances at or in connection with the Site.

Respondent's Activities and Potential Liability

8. EPA alleges that the Respondent is liable for reimbursement of the United States' response costs pursuant to Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607.

9. From mid-1979 until the latter part of 1983, Respondent's predecessor-in-interest, Anaconda Minerals Company (Anaconda), conducted exploration and related activities at the Site. Due to Site access limitations, severe weather and other adverse Site conditions, Anaconda's actual on-Site exploration activities were conducted for an aggregate period of approximately 17 months, with this period generally coinciding with the summer season of each of the years of 1979 through 1983.

10. Anaconda's exploration and related activities at the Site, as referred to in Paragraph 9 above, consisted of: (1) a core drilling program, consisting of the development of 380 drill holes. In accordance with the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Division regulations applicable at the time, these surface drill holes were properly plugged with cement and abandoned; (2) limited access to and exploration of certain underground mine workings, including the Science Mine, Copper Hill Mine, Dexter Mine, Esmond Mine and Chandler Mine, for the purpose of mapping and sampling these workings only; (3) related on-Site activities such as access road maintenance and road construction; and (4) implementation of a hazard elimination program at the Site, including tailings dam stabilization work.

11. Based on Anaconda's findings from these limited exploration and related activities, Anaconda determined it would not be profitable to initiate mining operations at the Site. Accordingly, Anaconda terminated or assigned its leasehold interest in the Site in early 1984, without conducting any ore extraction or physical mine development activities.

12. Anaconda's surface drilling activities resulted in the generation of, at most, 363 cubic yards of waste rock, which may have remained on-Site. Waste rock extracted at the Site was mixed with cement and used to properly plug and close the drill holes, accordance with the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Division regulations applicable at the time. Summitville Consolidated Mining Company Inc. subsequently mined, milled, processed or otherwise disturbed this same waste rock as a result of its unrelated mining operations.

De Minimis Eligibility

13. The total volume of waste rock, tailings and other mine waste (including the Heap Leach Pad) requiring remediation at the Site is approximately 11 million yds.[3] Four million, five hundred thousand cubic yards of this material is being remediated pursuant to the CWP IROD; 6.5 million cubic yards are being remediated pursuant to the HLP IROD.

14. According to the WT IROD, approximately 321,000 pounds of copper per year, if left untreated, would contaminate the receiving waters surrounding the Site, including the Wightman Fork and Alamosa River.

15. EPA has determined parties are eligible for a *de minimis* settlement if their contribution of mine waste and metals loading is equal to or less than 3% of the total volume of hazardous substances contributed to each of these media.

16. EPA has determined that the Respondent's contribution of hazardous substances to each of these media is below the 3% *de minimis* cut-off established by EPA for the Site.

17. Based on Information currently known to the United States, EPA has calculated the Respondent's *de minimis* eligibility as follows: (1) assuming all waste rock, approximately 363 cubic yards, generated by Anaconda during its drilling program remained on-Site, EPA has estimated that the amount of hazardous substances allegedly contributed to the Site by Respondent

constitutes approximately .0033% of the total volume of waste rock, tailings or mine waste requiring remediation at the Site; and (2) because Anaconda's drill holes were properly plugged and it did not rehabilitate or otherwise undertake mining operations in adits, tunnels or mine workings hydraulically connected to the Reynolds Adit, the Respondent's activities have not contributed any copper loading to the waters at or emanating from the Site.

18. As required by Section 122(g)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1), EPA has therefore determined that: (A) the amount of material allegedly contributed by the Respondent is minimal in comparison to the total hazardous substances generated or disposed of at the Site; and (B) the toxic or hazardous effect of the hazardous substances allegedly contributed to the Site by Respondent are minimal in comparison to the other hazardous substances at the Site.

19. Section 122(g)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1), further authorizes EPA to enter into expedited settlements under Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA if such settlements involve only a minor portion of the response costs at the facility concerned. EPA estimates that the total response costs incurred and to be incurred at or in connection with the Site by the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund will be $152 million. EPA calculated the settlement amount to be paid by Respondent as follows: EPA and Respondent agree that the material generated and disposed of by Respondent came to be located in the areas to be remediated pursuant to CWP and HLP IRODs. EPA and Respondent estimated that of the 363 cubic yards of material generated and disposed of by Respondent on the Site, 123 cubic yards came to be located in the area to be remediated by the CWP and 240 cubic yards came to be located in the HLP. EPA then calculated the appropriate settlement amount by: (a) taking the amount it cost to remediate Respondent's volumetric share of the CWP; (b) calculating the cost EPA will incur to remediate Respondent's volumetric share of the HLP; (c) adding a percentage for Respondent's share of Sitewide costs; (d) estimating the enforcement costs associated with negotiating and finalizing this AOC; and (e) applying a 100% ''premium'' payment to Respondent's share of those estimated costs not yet incurred by EPA. In accordance with applicable EPA guidance, this 100% ''premium'' payment on estimated costs to be incurred provides consideration for EPA's granting the Respondent a covenant not to sue without the normal remedy cost overrun reopener.

20. Based on the factors identified in Paragraph 19 above, EPA determined that the appropriate amount to settle Respondent's potential CERCLA Section 106 and 107 and RCRA Section 7003 liabilities is $95,000. The settlement amount required to be paid by the Respondent pursuant to this Order therefore represents only a minor portion of the response costs to be recovered for the cleanup of the Site.

V. Determinations

21. Based upon the Statement of Facts set forth above and on the Information currently known to the United States, EPA has determined that:

(1) The Site is a ''facility'' as that term is defined in Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9601(9).

(2) The Respondent is a ''person'' as that term is defined in Section 101(21) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9601(21).

(3) The Respondent is a ''potentially responsible party'' within the meaning of Section 122(g)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1).

(4) There has been an actual or threatened ''release'' of a ''hazardous substance'' from the Site as those terms are defined in Sections 101 (22) and (14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9601 (22) and (14).

(5) The amount of hazardous substances contributed to the Site by the Respondent and the toxic or other hazardous effects of the hazardous substances contributed to the Site by the Respondent are minimal in comparison to other hazardous substances at the Site within the meaning of Section 122(g)(1)(A) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1)(A).

(6) As to the Respondent, this Consent Order involves only a minor portion of the response costs at the Site within the meaning of Section 122(g)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1).

(7) The terms of this Consent Order are consistent with EPA policy and guidance for settlements with *de minimis* waste contributors, including but not limited to, ''Standardizing the *De Minimis* Premium,'' (July 7, 1995), ''Streamlined Approach for Settling with *De Minimis* Waste Contributors under CERCLA Section 122(g)(1)(A),'' OSWER Directive No. 9834.7–1D (July 30, 1993), and ''Methodology for Early *De Minimis* Waste Contributor Settlements under CERCLA Section 122(g)(1)(A),'' OSWER Directive No. 9834.7–1C (June 2, 1992).

(8) Prompt settlement with the Respondent is practicable and in the public interest within the meaning of Section 122(g)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1).

(9) The settlement of this case without litigation and without the admission or adjudication of any issue of fact or law is the most appropriate means of resolving any liability that the Respondent may have for response actions and response costs with respect to all releases or threatened releases at or in connection with the Site.

VI. Order

22. Based upon the Information currently known to the United States and the Statement of Facts and Determinations set forth above, and in consideration of the promises and covenants set forth herein, the following is hereby *Agreed to and Ordered:*

VII. Parties Bound

23. This Consent Order shall apply to and be binding upon EPA and upon Respondent and its successors and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate or other legal status of the Respondent including, but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter such Respondent's responsibilities under this Consent Order. Each signatory to this Consent Order certifies that he or she is authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Order and to execute and bind legally the party represented by him or her.

VIII. Payment

24. Within 10 days of the effective date of this Order, Respondents shall pay a total of $95,000 to the Hazardous Substance Superfund as provided below.

25. Payment shall be made by cashier's check made payable to ''EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund.'' The check shall reference the Site name, the name and address of the Respondent, EPA CERCLA Number 08–Y3 and DOJ Case No. 90–11–3–1133A and shall be sent to: Mellon Bank, EPA Region VIII, Attn: Superfund Accounting, P.O. Box 360859M, Pittsburgh, PA 15251.

26. If the Respondent fails to make full payment within the time required by Paragraph 25, Respondent shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance. In addition, if Respondent fails to make full payment as required by Paragraph 25, the United States may, in addition to any other available remedies or sanctions, bring an action against the Respondent seeking injunctive relief to compel payment and/or seeking civil penalties under Section 122(l) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(l), for failure to make timely payment.

27. The Respondents' payment includes an amount representing the Respondent's fair share of: (a) past response costs incurred at or in connection with the Site; (b) projected future response costs to be incurred at or in connection with the Site; and (c) a significant premium to cover the risks associated with this settlement, including but not limited to, the risk that total response costs incurred or to be incurred at or in connection with the Site by the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund, or by any private party, will exceed the estimated total response costs upon which Respondent's payment is based.

28. Payments made under this Section may be placed in a site-specific ''special'' or ''reimbursable'' account by EPA. This site-specific reimbursable account within the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund shall be known as the Summitville Mine Superfund Site Special Account and shall be retained and used by EPA to conduct or finance the response actions at or in connection with the Site. Upon completion of the final remedial action for the Site, any balance remaining in the Summitville Mine Superfund Site Special Account shall be transferred by EPA to the general EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund.

IX. Certification of Respondents

29. By signing this Consent Order, the Respondent certifies, that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, it has:

(1) conducted a thorough, comprehensive, good faith search for documents, and has fully and accurately disclosed to EPA, all non-privileged documents currently in its possession, or in the possession of its officers, directors, employees, contractors or agents, which relate in any way to its liability under CERCLA and RCRA for ownership, operation, exploration activities or control of the Site;

(2) not altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed or otherwise disposed of any records, documents, or other information relating to its potential CERCLA and RCRA liability regarding the Site after notification of such potential liability; and

(3) fully complied to EPA's satisfaction with any and all EPA requests for information pursuant to Sections 104(e) and 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9604(e) and 9622(e).

X. Covenants Not To Sue

30. a. Except as provided in Section XI (Reservation of Rights) of this Order, the United States covenants not to sue or take any other civil or administrative action against the Respondent for reimbursement of response costs or for injunctive relief pursuant to Section 106 or 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 or 9607(a) or Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6973, relating to the Site. With respect to present and future liability, this covenant not to sue shall take effect upon full payment of the amount specified in Section VII (Payment) of this Order.

b. The United States' covenant not to sue extends to Respondent, and to its predecessors-in-interest, affiliates, successors and assigns, including the Anaconda Minerals Company and the Atlantic Richfield Company, only to the extent that the liability of such predecessors-in-interest, affiliates, successors and assigns is derivative of Respondent's liability for those acts of Anaconda Minerals Company as set forth in Paragraph 9–12, Section IV of this Order. The United States' covenant not to sue does not extend to any other person.

XI. Reservation of Rights

31. The covenants not to sue by the United States set forth in Paragraph 30 of this Order do not pertain to any matters other than those expressly specified in Paragraph 30. The United States reserves, and this Order is without prejudice to, all rights against the Respondent with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to the following:

(a) claims based on a failure to make the payments required by Section VII (Payment) of this Order;

(b) criminal liability;

(c) any liability against Respondent that results from its future disposal activities at the Site; or

(d) liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, including any cost of assessing the injury to, destruction of, or loss of such natural resources.

32. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Consent Order, the United States reserves, and this Consent Order is without prejudice to, the right to institute judicial or administrative proceedings against the Respondent seeking to compel Respondent to perform response actions at the Site and/or to reimburse the United States for additional costs of response if New Information is discovered that the Respondent contributed: (a) hazardous substances in an amount greater than 1% of the total volume of waste rock, tailings or mine waste containing hazardous substances requiring remediation at the Site; or (b) hazardous substances that contributed to the total copper loading to the waters at or emanating from the Site; or (c) hazardous substances at the Site which are significantly more toxic or are of significantly greater hazardous effect that other hazardous substances at the Site.

33. For purposes of Paragraph 32, ''New Information'' shall not include: (1) any recalculation of the total volume of waste rock, tailings or mine waste containing hazardous substances requiring remediation at the Site based solely on Information currently known to the United States; (2) any recalculation of the Respondent's contribution of waste rock, tailings or mine waste containing hazardous substances requiring remediation at the Site based solely on Information currently known to the United States; or (3) a calculation of Anaconda's activities giving rise to a contribution to the total copper loading to the waters at or emanating from the Site based solely on Information currently known to the United States.

34. In the event the United States institutes judicial or administrative proceedings against the Respondent pursuant to Paragraph 32 above, the Respondent shall:

(i) be credited, in any subsequent settlement or administrative or judicial proceeding relating to the Site, with the $95,000 payment made pursuant to Paragraph 24 of this Order;

(ii) retain any defense it may have to liability and any claim it may have under any applicable statute or the common law with regard to any additional amount demanded by the United States in any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding relating to the Site; and

(iii) continue to grant any waiver or covenant previously granted to the United States under Section XI of this Order for the amount credited to the Respondent, but such waiver or covenant shall be null and void as to any additional amount demanded by the United States in any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding relating to the Site.

XII. Covenant Not To Sue By Respondent

35. The Respondent covenants not to sue and agrees not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States, or its contractors or employees with respect to the Site or this Order, including, but not limited to:

(1) any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. 9507) through Sections 106(b)(2), 111, 112 or 113 of CERCLA,

42 U.S.C. 9606(b)(2), 9611, 9612 or 9613;

(2) any claim arising out of response activities at the Site; and

(3) any claim against the United States pursuant to Sections 107 or 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607 or 9613, relating to the Site.

36. Nothing in this Order shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9611, or 40 CFR 300.700(d).

37. The Respondent also waives any challenge it may have to any response action selected in any Action Memorandum, Interim Record of Decision or final Record of Decision for the Site.

XIII. Effect of Settlement; Contribution Protection

38. Nothing in this Order shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a party to this Order. The preceding sentence shall not be construed to waive or nullify any rights that any person not a signatory to this Order may have under applicable law. The United States and the Respondent each reserve any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands and causes of action which each party may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person not a party hereto.

39. Respondent consents and agrees to comply with and be bound by the terms of this Order. The United States and the Respondent agree that this Order, Respondent's consent to this Order and actions in accordance with this Order shall not in any way constitute or be construed as an admission of any liability by Respondent or of any legal or factual matters set forth in this Order. Further, neither this Order, Respondent's consent to this Order, nor Respondent's actions in accordance with this Order shall be admissible in evidence against Respondent without its consent, except in a proceeding to enforce this Order. Respondent does not admit, and retains the right to controvert in any subsequent proceedings other than proceedings to implement or enforce this Consent Order, the validity of the Statement of Facts and Determinations contained in this Consent Order.

40. With regard to claims for contribution against the Respondent, the Parties hereto agree that, as of the effective date of this Order, the Respondent and its predecessors-in-interest, affiliates, successors and assigns, including the Anaconda Minerals Company and the Atlantic Richfield Company, is entitled to such protection from contribution actions or claims as is provided by Sections 113(f)(2) and 122(g)(5) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9613(f)(2) and 9622(g)(5) for ''matters addressed'' in this Consent Order. ''Matters addressed'' by this Order shall include all claims the United States could bring or any other civil or administrative action the United States could take against the Respondent or its predecessors-in-interest, affiliates, successors and assigns, including the Anaconda Minerals Company and the Atlantic Richfield Company, for injunctive relief or for reimbursement of response costs pursuant to Section 106 or 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 or 9607(a) or Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6973, related to the Site.

XIV. Public Comment

41. This Order shall be subject to a thirty-day public comment period in accordance with Section 122(i) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(i). In accordance with Section 122(i)(3), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i)(3), EPA may withdraw or modify its consent to this Order if comments received disclose any facts or considerations which indicate that this Order is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate.

XV. Attorney General Approval

42. The Attorney General or her designee has approved the settlement embodied in this Order in accordance with Section 122(g)(4) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(4).

XVI. Effective Date

43. The effective date of this Order shall be the date upon which the Assistant Regional Administrator, EPA Region VIII notifies the Respondent that the public comment period undertaken pursuant to Paragraph 41 of this Order has closed and that comments received, if any, do not require EPA's withdrawal from or the modification of any terms of this Order.

It Is So Agreed:

ARCO Environmental Remediation, L.L.C.

Dated: July 2, 1997.

C. Richard Knowles,

President.

It Is So Ordered and Agreed:

Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII.

Dated: September 2, 1997.

Carol Rushin,

Assistant Regional Administrator, Office of Enforcement, Compliance and Environmental Justice.

In The Matter Of: Summitville Mine Superfund Site, Site No. 08–Y3; ASARCO Incorporated; Respondent.

Proceeding Under Section 122(g)(4) Of The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, And Liability Act, As Amended (42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(4)). EPA Docket Number CERCLA–VIII–98–04.

CERCLA Section 122(g)(4) De Minimis Waste Contributor Administrative Order

I. Jurisdiction

1. This Administrative Order on Consent (Consent Order or Order) is issued pursuant to the authority vested in the President of the United States by Section 122(g)(4) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(4), to reach settlements in actions under Section 106 or 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 or 9607. The authority vested in the President has been delegated to the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) by Executive Order 12580, 52 FR 2923 (Jan. 29, 1987), and further delegated to the Regional Administrators of the EPA by EPA Delegation No. 14–14–E. This authority has been redelegated to the Assistant Regional Administrator for Ecosystem Protection and Remediation.

2. This Order is issued to ASARCO Incorporated (Respondent). The Respondent agrees to undertake all actions required by this Consent Order. The Respondent further consents to and will not contest EPA's jurisdiction to issue this Consent Order or to implement or enforce its terms.

II. Statement of Purpose

3. By entering into this Consent Order, the mutual objectives of the Parties are:

a. to reach a final settlement between the Parties with respect to the Site pursuant to Section 122(g) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g), that allows Respondent to make a cash payment, including a premium, to resolve its alleged civil liability under Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607 and Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6973, for injunctive relief with regard to the Site, and for response costs incurred and to be incurred at or in connection with the Site, thereby reducing litigation relating to the Site;

b. to simplify any remaining administrative and judicial enforcement activities concerning the Site by eliminating one of the potentially responsible parties from further involvement at the Site; and

c. to obtain settlement with Respondent for its fair share, as determined by EPA, of response costs incurred and to be incurred at or in connection with the Site by the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund, and to provide full and complete contribution protection for Respondent with regard to the Site pursuant to Sections 122(f)(2) and 122(g)(5) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(f)(2) and 9622(g)(5).

III. Definitions

Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Consent Order that are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in the statute or regulations. Whenever the terms listed below are used in this Consent Order, the following definitions shall apply:

CERCLA shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9601, *et seq.*

Consent Order or *Order* shall mean this Administrative Order on Consent and all appendices attached hereto. In the event of conflict between this Order and any appendix, the Order shall control.

Day shall mean a calendar day. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or federal holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next working day.

EPA shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency and any successor departments or agencies.

EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund shall mean the Hazardous Substance Superfund established by the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. 9507.

Information currently known to the United States shall mean that information and those documents contained in the Administrative Record and Site File for the Site as of the effective date of this Order.

Interest shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund established by 26 U.S.C. 9507, compounded on October 1 of each year, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. 9607(a).

New Information shall mean information not contained in the Administrative Record or Site File for the Site as of the effective date of this Order.

Paragraph shall mean a portion of this Consent Order identified by an Arabic numeral.

Parties shall mean EPA and the Respondent.

Respondent shall mean ASARCO Incorporated.

Response Costs shall mean all costs of ''response'' as that term is defined by Section 101(25) of CERCLA.

Section shall mean a portion of this Consent Order identified by a roman numeral.

Site shall mean the Summitville Mine Superfund Site Remedial Investigation/ Feasibility Study Area within Rio Grande County, Colorado. Approximately 550 acres of the Site, known as the Summitville Minesite, have been disturbed by mining activities and is currently undergoing remedial action. As depicted on the map attached as Appendix A, the Site consists of portions of the Alamosa River Watershed EPA believes may have been impacted by releases of hazardous substances from the Summitville Minesite. More specifically, the Site includes the following areas: Area 1-*Summitville Mine Site*—The area within the mine permit boundaries; Area 2-*Wightman Fork*—The Wightman Fork and associated wetlands between the down stream mine permit boundary to the confluence with the Alamosa River; Area 3-*Alamosa River*—The Alamosa River and associated wetlands from the confluence with the Wightman Fork downstream to the inlet of the Terrace Reservoir; Area 4-*Terrace Reservoir*—The area which contains the Terrace Reservoir; and Area 5-*Below Terrace Reservoir*—The area below the Terrace Reservoir which has been impacted by contamination transported by the Alamosa River and irrigation canals.

United States shall mean the United States of America, including its departments, agencies and instrumentalities.

IV. Statement of Facts

4. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) initiated removal response actions at the Site on December 18, 1992 to address releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances into the Alamosa River and surrounding environment pursuant to the President's authority under Sections 104 and 106 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, Pub. L. 99–499, 42 U.S.C. 9604 and 9606(a) (CERCLA).

5. On May 31, 1994, EPA listed the Site on the National Priorities List as a result of releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances at or from the Site.

6. On December 15, 1994, EPA issued 4 Interim Records of Decision selecting the interim remedial actions to be implemented for the following activities and/or areas at the Summitville Mine Site: Water Treatment (WT IROD), Reclamation, the Heap Leach Pad (HLP IROD) and the Cropsy Waste Pile, Beaver Mud Dump/Summitville Dam Impoundment, and Mine Pits (CWP IROD).

7. As of March 31, 1997, the United States incurred approximately $109 million in response costs responding to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at or in connection with the Site. The United States continues to incur response costs in responding to the release or threat of release of hazardous substances at or in connection with the Site.

8. EPA alleges that the Respondent is liable for reimbursement of the United States' response costs pursuant to Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607.

9. Respondent conducted sporadic exploration and related activities from 1974 through 1980 under a lease that expired in 1981. ASARCO's exploration program consisted of a systematic program of percussion and diamond core drilling, aimed at determining the ore reserves and the viability of conducting mining operations at the Site. First, ASARCO drilled 2 deep holes, to depths of 3,000 and 4,700 feet, respectively, to test its theory that a large porphyry-type copper deposit was present at the Site. In 1975, ASARCO drilled 396 shallow holes and 14 deep holes as part of this drilling program. ASARCO also conducted backhoe trenching as part of its exploration program to generally define the boundaries of outcrops and underground mineral deposits. It is estimated that approximately 31 tons of material was generated from ASARCO's drilling program, some or all of which is believed to have been removed from the Site for sampling and analysis.

10. ASARCO dug 49 trenches amounting to 15,213 linear feet, with an average depth of 6 feet. The procedure for sampling these trenches was to collect approximately ½ pound per linear foot of trench. This sampling effort would have amounted in 2.9 tons of waste material disturbed by ASARCO remaining on-site. The trenches were backfilled and revegetated in accordance with contemporary mining practices and Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board requirements.

11. ASARCO also evaluated several adits, including the Copper Hill, Del Norte, Upper Highland Mary, Esmond, Science, Narrow Gauge, Aztec, Old Pickens, Chandler, Iowa and French adits. A total of 3,915 feet was cleared of ice and mapped and 2,110 feet of these adits was sampled and assayed by ASARCO. The adit rehabilitation program was abandoned, without ASARCO either retimbering or otherwise conducting any rehabilitation activities.

12. As of August 1976, ASARCO also abandoned its plan to dewater and rehabilitate the Missionary Shaft or its underworkings. ASARCO did not conduct any rehabilitation or mining activities at the Missionary Shaft or its associated underworkings.

13. Based on the data available to the Parties, EPA and Respondent estimate that the amount of material generated as a result of ASARCO's limited exploration activities amounts to approximately 31 tons or 25 yds.[3] EPA and ASARCO also agree that its limited diamond drilling program may have disturbed approximately 0.14 acre of the surface of the Site. EPA and ASARCO also agree that the actual amount of time ASARCO conducted its exploration activities lasted a total of approximately 16 months.

14. On July 1, 1987, Hydrometrics, Inc. became a wholly-owned subsidiary of ASARCO. As documented in ASARCO's CERCLA Section 104(e) information request response, Hydrometrics, Inc. performed certain testing, sampling and data compilation functions as a contractor or consultant to Galactic Resources, Ltd. or its wholly-owned subsidiaries, including Galactic Resources, Inc., Galactic Services, Inc. or Summitville Consolidated Mining Company, Inc. There is no indication, however, that any of Hydrometrics' activities resulted in the generation or disposal of any waste materials on-site.

15. The total volume of waste rock, tailings and other mine waste (including the Heap Leach Pad) requiring remediation at the Site is approximately 11 million yds.[3] According to the WT IROD, approximately 321,000 pounds of copper per year, if left untreated, would contaminate the receiving waters surrounding the Site, including the Wightman Fork and Alamosa River. EPA has determined parties are eligible for a *de minimis* settlement if their contribution of mine waste and metals loading is equal to or less than 3% of the total volume of hazardous substances contributed to each of these media. The Respondent's contribution of hazardous substances to these media are below the 3% *de minimis* cut-off established by EPA for the Site.

16. Based on Information currently known to the United States, EPA has calculated the Respondent's *de minimis* eligibility as follows: EPA has estimated that the amount of hazardous substances allegedly contributed to the Site by Respondents constitutes substantially less than 1% of the total volume of waste rock, tailings or mine waste requiring remediation at the Site. EPA has also determined that the Respondent's activities have not contributed any copper loading to the waters at or emanating from the Site.

17. The material allegedly generated and disposed of by the Respondent therefore involves only a minor portion of the total hazardous substances generated or disposed of at the Site. EPA has also concluded that the hazardous substances allegedly contributed to the Site by Respondent are not significantly more toxic or of significantly greater hazardous effect than other hazardous substances at the Site.

18. EPA estimates that the total response costs incurred and to be incurred at or in connection with the Site by the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund will be $152 million. The payment required to be made by the Respondent pursuant to this Order represents only a minor portion of the response costs to be recovered for the cleanup of the Site.

V. Determinations

19. Based upon the Statement of Facts set forth above and on the Information currently known to the United States, EPA has determined that:

(1) The Site is a ''facility'' as that term is defined in Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9601(9).

(2) The Respondent is a ''person'' as that term is defined in Section 101(21) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9601(21).

(3) The Respondent is a ''potentially responsible party'' within the meaning of Section 122(g)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1).

(4) There has been an actual or threatened ''release'' of a ''hazardous substance'' from the Site as those terms are defined in Sections 101 (22) and (14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9601 (22) and (14).

(5) The amount of hazardous substances contributed to the Site by the Respondent and the toxic or other hazardous effects of the hazardous substances contributed to the Site by the Respondent are minimal in comparison to other hazardous substances at the Site within the meaning of Section 122(g)(1)(A) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1)(A).

(6) As to the Respondent, this Consent Order involves only a minor portion of the response costs at the Site within the meaning of Section 122(g)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1).

(7) The terms of this Consent Order are consistent with EPA policy and guidance for settlements with *de minimis* waste contributors, including but not limited to, ''Standardizing the *De Minimis* Premium,'' (July 7, 1995), ''Streamlined Approach for Settling with *De Minimis* Waste Contributors under CERCLA Section 122(g)(1)(A),'' OSWER Directive No. 9834.7–1D (July 30, 1993), and ''Methodology for Early *De Minimis* Waste Contributor Settlements under CERCLA Section 122(g)(1)(A),'' OSWER Directive No. 9834.7–1C (June 2, 1992).

(8) Prompt settlement with the Respondent is practicable and in the public interest within the meaning of Section 122(g)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1).

(9) The settlement of this case without litigation and without the admission or adjudication of any issue of fact or law is the most appropriate means of resolving any liability that the Respondent may have for response actions and response costs with respect to all releases or threatened releases at or in connection with the Site.

VI. Order

20. Based upon the Information currently known to the United States and the Statement of Facts and Determinations set forth above, and in consideration of the promises and covenants set forth herein, the following is hereby Agreed to and ordered:

VII. Parties Bound

21. This Consent Order shall apply to and be binding upon EPA and upon Respondent and its successors and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate or other legal status of the Respondent including, but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter such Respondent's responsibilities under this Consent Order. Each signatory to this Consent Order certifies that he or she is authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Order and to execute and bind legally the party represented by him or her.

VIII. Payment

22. Within 10 days of the effective date of this Order, Respondents shall pay a total of $86,052.73 to the Hazardous Substance Superfund as provided below.

23. Payment shall be made by cashier's check made payable to ''EPA

Hazardous Substance Superfund.'' The check shall reference the Site name, the name and address of the Respondent, EPA CERCLA Number 08–Y3 and DOJ Case No. 90–11–3–1133A and shall be sent to: Mellon Bank, PA Region VIII, Attn: Superfund Accounting, P.O. Box 360859M, Pittsburgh, PA 15251.

24. If the Respondent fails to make full payment within the time required by Paragraph 22, Respondent shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance. In addition, if Respondent fails to make full payment as required by Paragraph 22, the United States may, in addition to any other available remedies or sanctions, bring an action against the Respondent seeking injunctive relief to compel payment and/or seeking civil penalties under Section 122(*l*) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(*l*), for failure to make timely payment.

25. The Respondent's payment includes an amount representing the Respondent's fair share of: (a) past response costs incurred at or in connection with the Site; (b) projected future response costs to be incurred at or in connection with the Site; and (c) a premium to cover the risks associated with this settlement, including but not limited to, the risk that total response costs incurred or to be incurred at or in connection with the Site by the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund, or by any private party, will exceed the estimated total response costs upon which Respondent's payment is based.

26. Payments made under this Section may be placed in a site-specific ''special'' or ''reimbursable'' account by EPA. This site-specific reimbursable account within the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund shall be known as the Summitville Mine Superfund Site Special Account and shall be retained and used by EPA to conduct or finance the response actions at or in connection with the Site. Upon completion of the final remedial action for the Site, any balance remaining in the Summitville Mine Superfund Site Special Account shall be transferred by EPA to the general EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund.

IX. Certification of Respondents

27. By signing this Consent Order, the Respondent certifies, that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, it has:

(1) conducted a thorough, comprehensive, good faith search for documents, and has fully and accurately disclosed to EPA, all non-privileged documents currently in its possession, or in the possession of its officers, directors, employees, contractors or agents, which relates in any way to its liability under CERCLA and RCRA for ownership, operation, exploration activities or control of the Site;

(2) not altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed or otherwise disposed of any records, documents, or other information relating to its potential CERCLA and RCRA liability regarding the Site after notification of such potential liability; and

(3) fully complied to EPA's satisfaction with any and all EPA requests for information pursuant to Sections 104(e) and 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9604(e) and 9622(e).

X. Covenants Not To Sue

28. a. Except as provided in Section XI (Reservation of Rights) of this Order, the United States covenants not to sue or take any other civil or administrative action against the Respondent for reimbursement of response costs or for injunctive relief pursuant to Section 106 or 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 or 9607(a) or Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6973, relating to the Site. With respect to present and future liability, this covenant not to sue shall take effect upon full payment of the amount specified in Section VII (Payment) of this Order.

b. The United States' covenant not to sue extends to Respondent, and to its predecessors-in-interest, affiliates, successors and assigns, including Hydrometrics, Inc., only to the extent that the liability of such predecessors-in-interest, affiliates, successors and assigns is derivative of Respondent's liability for those acts set forth in Paragraph 9–14, Section IV of this Order. The United States' covenant not to sue does not extend to any other person.

XI. Reservation of Rights

29. The covenants not to sue by the United States set forth in Paragraph 28 of this Order do not pertain to any matters other than those expressly specified in Paragraph 28. The United States reserves, and this Order is without prejudice to, all rights against the Respondent with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to the following:

(a) claims based on a failure to make the payments required by Section VII (Payment) of this Order;

(b) criminal liability;

(c) any liability against Respondent that results from its future disposal activities at the Site; or

(d) liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, including any cost of assessing the injury to, destruction of, or loss of such natural resources.

30. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Consent Order, the United States reserves, and this Consent Order is without prejudice to, the right to institute judicial or administrative proceedings against the Respondent seeking to compel Respondent to perform response actions at the Site and/or to reimburse the United States for additional costs of response if New Information is discovered that the Respondent contributed: (a) hazardous substances in an amount greater than 1% of the total volume of waste rock, tailings or mine waste containing hazardous substances requiring remediation at the Site; or (b) hazardous substances that contributed to the total copper loading to the waters at or emanating from the Site; or (c) hazardous substances at the Site which are significantly more toxic or are of significantly greater hazardous effect that other hazardous substances at the Site.

31. For purposes of Paragraph 30, ''New Information'' shall not include any recalculation of the total volume of waste rock, tailings or mine waste containing hazardous substances requiring remediation at the Site based solely on Information currently known to the United States.

32. In the event the United States institutes judicial or administrative proceedings against the Respondent pursuant to Paragraph 30 above, the Respondent shall:

(i) be credited, in any subsequent settlement or administrative or judicial proceeding relating to the Site, with the $86,052.73 payment made pursuant to Paragraph 22 of this Order;

(ii) retain any defense it may have to liability and any claim it may have under any applicable statute or the common law with regard to any additional amount demanded by the United States in any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding relating to the Site; and

(iii) continue to grant any waiver or covenant previously granted to the United States under Section XI of this Order for the amount credited to the Respondent, but such waiver or covenant shall be null and void as to any additional amount demanded by the United States in any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding relating to the Site.

XII. Covenant Not To Sue By Respondent

33. The Respondent covenants not to sue and agrees not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States, or its contractors or employees

with respect to the Site or this Order, including, but not limited to:

(1) any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. 9507) through Sections 106(b)(2), 111, 112 or 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606(b)(2), 9611, 9612 or 9613;

(2) any claim arising out of response activities at the Site; and

(3) any claim against the United States pursuant to Sections 107 or 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607 or 9613, relating to the Site.

34. Nothing in this Order shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9611, or 40 CFR § 300.700(d).

35. The Respondent also waives any challenge it may have to any response action selected in any Action Memorandum, Interim Record of Decision or final Record of Decision for the Site.

XIII. Effect of Settlement; Contribution Protection

36. Nothing in this Order shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a party to this Order. The preceding sentence shall not be construed to waive or nullify any rights that any person not a signatory to this Order may have under applicable law. The United States and the Respondents each reserve any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands and causes of action which each party may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person not a party hereto.

37. Respondent consents and agrees to comply with and be bound by the terms of this Order. The United States and the Respondent agree that this Order, Respondent's consent to this Order and actions in accordance with this Order shall not in any way constitute or be construed as an admission of any liability by Respondents or of any legal or factual matters set forth in this Order. Further, neither this Order, Respondent's consent to this Order, nor Respondent's actions in accordance with this Order shall be admissible in evidence against Respondent without its consent, except in a proceeding to enforce this Order. Respondent does not admit, and retains the right to controvert in any subsequent proceedings other than proceedings to implement or enforce this Consent Order, the validity of the Statement of Facts and Determinations contained in this Consent Order.

38. With regard to claims for contribution against the Respondent, the Parties hereto agree that, as of the effective date this Order, the Respondent and its predecessors-in-interest, affiliates, successors and assigns, including Hydrometrics, Inc., is entitled to such protection from contribution actions or claims as is provided by Sections 113(f)(2) and 122(g)(5) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9613(f)(2) and 9622(g)(5) for ''matters addressed'' in this Consent Order. ''Matters addressed'' by this Order shall include all claims the United States could bring or any other civil or administrative action the United States could take against the Respondent or its predecessors-in-interest, affiliates, successors and assigns, including Hydrometrics, Inc., for injunctive relief or for reimbursement of response costs pursuant to Section 106 or 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 or 9607(a) or Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6973, related to the Site.

XIV. Public Comment

39. This Order shall be subject to a thirty-day public comment period in accordance with Section 122(i) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(i). In accordance with Section 122(i)(3), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i)(3), EPA may withdraw or modify its consent to this Order if comments received disclose any facts or considerations which indicate that this Order is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate.

XV. Attorney General Approval

40. The Attorney General or her designee has approved the settlement embodied in this Order in accordance with Section 122(g)(4) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(4).

XVI. Effective Date

41. The effective date of this Order shall be the date upon which the Assistant Regional Administrator, EPA Region VIII notifies the Respondent that the public comment period undertaken pursuant to Paragraph 39 of this Order has closed and that comments received, if any, do not require EPA's withdrawal from or the modification of any terms of this Order.

It is so agreed:

ASARCO Incorporated

Dated: February 2, 1997.

Michael O. Varner,

Vice President, Environmental Operations.

It is so ordered and agreed:

Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII.

Dated: September 2, 1997.

Martin Hestmark for Carol Rushin,

Assistant Regional Administrator, Office of Enforcement, Compliance and Environmental Justice.

[FR Doc. 97–30822 Filed 11–21–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–5926–9]

Clean Water Act Class II: Proposed Administrative Penalty Assessment and Opportunity To Comment Regarding Glacier Petroleum, Inc., Emporia, KS

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of proposed administrative penalty assessment and opportunity to comment regarding Glacier Petroleum, Inc., Emporia, Kansas.

SUMMARY: EPA is providing notice of opportunity to comment on the proposed assessment.

Under 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6), EPA is authorized to issue orders assessing civil penalties for various violations of the Act. EPA may issue such orders after filing a Complaint commencing either a Class I or Class II penalty proceeding. EPA provides public notice of the proposed assessment pursuant to 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6)(C).

Class II proceedings are conducted under EPA's Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation or Suspension of Permits, 40 CFR part 22. The procedures by which the public may submit written comment on a proposed Class II order or participate in a Class II proceeding, and the procedures by which a respondent may request a hearing, are set forth in the Consolidated Rules. The deadline for submitting public comment on a proposed Class II order is thirty (30) days after issuance of public notice.

On September 26, 1997, EPA commenced the following Class II proceeding for the assessment of penalties by filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, (913) 551–7630, the following Complaint:

In the Matter of, Glacier Petroleum, Inc. Emporia, Kansas; CWA Docket No. VII–97–W–0053.