- (1) Type of Information Collection: Revision of a currently approved collection.
- (2) *Title of the Form/Collection*. Refugee/Asylee Relative Petition.
- (3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Form I–730. Adjudications Division, Immigration and Naturalization Service.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Individuals or Households. The data collected on this form is used by the Service to determine eligibility for the requested benefit.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: 86,400 responses at 35 minutes (583) per response

(.583) per response.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: 50,371 annual burden hours.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, 1001 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: November 6, 1997.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 97–29754 Filed 11–10–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–18–M

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

Records Schedules; Availability and Request for Comments

AGENCY: National Archives and Records Administration, Office of Records Services

ACTION: Notice of availability of proposed records schedules; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) publishes notice at least once monthly of certain Federal agency requests for records disposition authority (records schedules). Records schedules identify records of sufficient value to warrant preservation in the National Archives of the United States. Schedules also authorize agencies after a specified period to dispose of records lacking administrative, legal, research, or other value. Notice is published for records schedules that propose the destruction of records not previously authorized for disposal, or reduce the retention period

for records already authorized for disposal. NARA invites public comments on such schedules, as required by 44 U.S.C. 3303a(a).

DATES: Requests for copies must be received in writing on or before December 29, 1997. Once the appraisal of the records is completed, NARA will send a copy of the schedule. The requester will be given 30 days to submit comments.

ADDRESSES: Address requests for single copies of schedules identified in this notice to the Civilian Appraisal Staff (NWRC), National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road College Park, MD 20740-6001. Requesters must cite the control number assigned to each schedule when requesting a copy. The control number appears in the parentheses immediately after the name of the requesting agency. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael L. Miller, Director, Records Management Programs, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road, College Park, MD 20740-6001, telephone (301) 713-7110. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Each year U.S. Government agencies create billions of records on paper, film, magnetic tape, and other media. In order to control this accumulation, agency records managers prepare records schedules specifying when the agency no longer needs the records and what happens to the records after this period. Some schedules are comprehensive and cover all the records of an agency or one of its major subdivisions. These comprehensive schedules provide for the eventual transfer to the National Archives of historically valuable records and authorize the disposal of all other records. Most schedules, however, cover records of only one office or program or a few series of records, and many are updates of previously approved schedules. Such schedules also may include records that are designated for

Destruction of records requires the approval of the Archivist of the United States. This approval is granted after a thorough study of the records that takes into account their administrative use by the agency of origin, the rights of the Government and of private persons directly affected by the Government's activities, and historical or other value.

permanent retention.

This public notice identifies the Federal agencies and their subdivisions requesting disposition authority, includes the control number assigned to each schedule, and briefly describes the records proposed for disposal. The records schedule contains additional information about the records and their

disposition. Further information about the disposition process will be fur nished to each requester.

Schedules Pending

- 1. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Secretary of Agriculture, Modernization of Administrative Processes office (N1–16–97–1). Subject files related to the improvement of administrative processes.
- 2. Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration (N1–378–97–1). Loan project case files, litigation case files, and other program records.
- 3. Department of Commerce, Patent and Trademark Office (N1–241–98–1). Electronic records of the OPBUDGET system, with related software and documentation.
- 4. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (N1–49–96–3). Records covering law enforcement, fire management and hazardous materials program files.

5. Department of Veterans Affairs (N1–15–97–6). Records relating to computer matching agreements.

6. National Archives and Records Administration (N2–318–97-2). Administrative and facilitative records relating to the production, inventorying, and delivery of notes and certificates accumulated by the Bureau of Engraving and Printing.

Dated: November 3, 1997.

Michael J. Kurtz,

Assistant Archivist for Record Services—Washington, DC.

[FR Doc. 97–29636 Filed 11–10–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7515–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-302]

Florida Power Corporation; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR 72, issued to the Florida Power Corporation, (FPC or the licensee), for operation of the Crystal River Nuclear generating Unit 3 (CR3) located in Citrus County, Florida.

The proposed amendment addresses the methodology for post-loss of coolant accident (LOCA) boron precipitation prevention for CR-3. FPC concludes that the change in boron precipitation prevention methodology represents an unreviewed safety question (USQ) in that it involves a change in the previously NRC-approved methodologies by incorporating credit for hot leg nozzle gaps into its design and licensing basis as a qualified passive method for boron precipitation mitigation under certain scenarios. Therefore, this action requires NRC approval.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's

regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

This LAR [license amendment request] addresses the methodology that will be used following a design basis LOCA to ensure that the boron concentration in the reactor vessel does not reach the solubility limit during long term cooling. This methodology utilizes systems and procedures that will be implemented following the previously evaluated accident (i.e., a LOCA). This proposed change does not result in any modifications to the plant or change in a procedure that is used prior to the postulated accident; therefore, these changes cannot result in an increase in the probability of an accident previously evaluated.

The methodology in this LAR will be implemented to ensure that boron precipitation, which may interfere with long term cooling, will not occur following a design basis LOCA. This methodology consists of systems and procedures to provide additional defense in depth that for varying plant conditions will prevent the boron concentration in the RV [reactor vessel] from reaching the boron solubility limits. Evaluations are provided in this submittal that conclude that these methods are effective.

By ensuring that boron solubility limits are not reached in the RV, the analyses for the ECCS [emergency core cooling system] that ensure adequate core cooling following a design basis LOCA remain applicable. Therefore, the consequences of accidents previously evaluated are not increased and offsite dose consequences remain a small fraction of 10 CFR Part 100 limits.

2. Does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes reflect the methodology that will be used for CR-3 following a design basis accident to prevent a boron precipitation event, which previously has been evaluated. The proposed LAR does not involve any new accident initiators nor any modification to the plant nor a change in the operation of the plant prior to the postulated design basis LOCA. Therefore, the possibility of a new or different kind of accident is not created.

3. Does not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

This change does not result in a reduction to the margin of safety for any accident. The proposed LAR ensures adequate defense in depth in that systems and procedures available following a design basis LOCA will prevent the precipitation of boron in the RV [reactor vessel] that could interfere with ECCS flow.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period, such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the Federal Register a notice of issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By December 12, 1997, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Coastal Region Library, 8619 W. Crystal Street, Crystal River, Florida.

If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the

subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, and to R. Alexander Glenn, General Counsel, Florida Power Corporation, MAC—A5A, P. O. Box 14042, St. Petersburg, Florida 33733–4042, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)–(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated October 31, 1997, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room, located at the Coastal Region Library, 8619 W. Crystal Street, Crystal River, Florida.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 5th day of November 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

L. Raghavan, Sr.,

Project Manager, Project Directorate II-3, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 97–29714 Filed 97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-302]

Florida Power Corporation; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is

considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR 72, issued to the Florida Power Corporation (FPC or the licensee), for operation of the Crystal River Nuclear Generating Unit 3 (CR3) located in Citrus County, Florida.

The proposed amendment would revise the Operating License No. DPR-72, License Condition 2.C.(5) and delete the requirement for installation and testing of flow indicators in the emergency core cooling system (ECCS) to provide indication of 40 gallons per minute flow for boron dilution. Approval of this amendment will also allow removal of the associated flow indicators, DH-45-FI and DH-46-FI, from the Crystal River 3 (CR3) Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR). This Federal Register (FR) notice supersedes the previous notice 62 FR 43368 dated August 13, 1997 in its entirety.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

This License Amendment Request removes the Operating License Condition that requires flow indication in the ECCS system for boron dilution. Under certain post-accident scenarios, boron dilution actions could be required following design basis LOCAs [lossof-coolant-accidents] to ensure that boron precipitation does not occur within the reactor core. Since these methods involve post-accident conditions, they are not the initiators for any design basis accident. Removal of this requirement from the license condition does not involve a change in the Improved Technical Specifications. Since these instruments are no longer used for boron precipitation mitigation during a LOCA, abandonment or removal of flow indicators DH-45-FI and DH-46-FI does not increase the probability of an accident